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GENERAL PREFACE.

ENGLISH IN SCHOOLS.

Why should English Literature be taught in our schools? and, What is the best way of teaching it? These are the questions which I propose to discuss.

As preliminary to such discussion, it will, I think, be rightly in place to consider, briefly, what our people are aiming to prepare their children for, and what sort of an education it is the proper business of the school to give; that is to say, what form of mind and character, and what disposition of the faculties, it is meant to impress.

Now I take it that a vast majority of the pupils in our schools are not to pass their life as students or as authors. Their main business in this world is to gain an honest living for themselves and for those dependent on them. And no plan of education is just that leaves this prime consideration behind, in quest of any alleged higher aims: for there really are no higher aims; and all pretence of such is a delusion and a snare. Some men, it is true, do more than gain an honest living; but this is the best thing that any man does; as, on the other hand, shining intellectually is the poorest thing that any man does, or can possibly learn to do. Then too most of the pupils in our schools, ninety-nine hundredths of them at the least, are to get their living by hand-work, not by head-work; and what they need is, to have their heads
so armed and furnished as to guard their hand-work against error and loss, and to guide it to the most productive means and methods. And, for gaining an honest living by hand-work, the largest and best part of their education is not to be had in school; it must be got somewhere else, or not at all. The right place, the only right place, for learning the trade of a farmer or a mechanic is on the farm or in the shop. For instance, Mr. Edward Burnett's "Deerfoot Farm," in Southborough, Massachusetts, is, I undertake to say, a better school for learning agriculture than any "agricultural college" is likely to be. There is no practicable, nay, no possible way of acquiring the use of tools but by actually handling them, and working with them. And this rule holds equally true in all the walks of life,—holds as true of the lawyer, the physician, the merchant, as of the shoemaker, the bricklayer, the machinist, the blacksmith.

On this point, our people generally, at least a very large portion of them, have their notions all wrong side up: their ideas and expectations in the matter are literally preposterous. How the thing came to be so, it were bootless to inquire; but so it clearly is. Parents, with us, are manifestly supposing that it is the business of the school to give their children all the education needful for gaining an honest living; that their boys and girls ought to come from the school-teachers' hands fully armed and equipped for engaging, intelligently and successfully, in all sorts of work, whether of head or of hand. And they are evermore complaining and finding fault because this is not done; that their children, after all, have only learnt how to use books, if indeed they have learnt that, and know no more how to use tools, are no better fitted to make or procure food and clothes, than if they had spent so much time in stark idleness or in sleep.
But the fault is in themselves, not in the school; their expectations on this head being altogether unreasonable, and such as the school cannot possibly answer. That, say what you please, is the plain English of the matter; and it may as well be spoken.

I repeat that, with very few exceptions, and those mostly applicable to girls, the most and the best that the school can do, or can reasonably be expected to do, is to educate the mind and the heart: as for the education of their children's hands, parents must, yes, must look for this elsewhere: probably their best way is to take it into their own immediate care, and hold themselves religiously bound to attend to it. Possibly, withal, some parents, as also some who drive the trade of idealizing about education, may need to be taught, or warned, that unless the school have something ready made to its hand, unless the pupil bring to it something inside his skull, it cannot educate his mind: brains it cannot furnish; though it is often blamed for not doing this too. And, good as vocal intelligence may be, yet, for all the practical ends, and even the dignities, of life, manual intelligence is vastly better: this it is that makes both the artist and the artisan; and without this the former, however it may prattle and glitter, can neither plough the field nor reap the corn, neither tan the leather nor make the shoe, neither shape the brick nor build the wall, neither grind the flour nor bake the bread.

But I suspect our American parents have become somewhat absurdly, and not very innocently, ambitious of having their boys and girls all educated to be gentlemen and ladies; which is, I take it, the same in effect as having them educated to be good for nothing; too proud or too lazy to live by hand-work, while they are nowise qualified to live by
head-work, nor could get any to do, if they were. And so they insist on having their children taught how to do something, perhaps several things, without ever soiling their fingers by actually doing any thing. If they would, in all meekness and simplicity of heart, endeavour to educate their children to be good for something, they would be infinitely more likely to overtake the aim of their sinful and stupid ambition. The man who has been well and rightly educated to earn, and does earn, a fair living by true and solid service, he is a gentleman in the only sense in which it is not both a sin and a shame to be called by that title. Any form of honest service, however plain and humble, has manliness in it, and is therefore a higher style of gentility, and a sounder basis of self-respect, than any, even the proudest, form of mere social ornamentation. The dull boy, who cannot prate science, but can drive a cart as a cart ought to be driven, or the dull girl who cannot finger a piano, but can rightly broil a beefsteak, is, in the eye of all true taste, a far more sightly and attractive object than the most learned and accomplished good-for-nothing in the world. I have seen men calling themselves doctors, who, week after week, month after month, year after year, were going about making sham calls on bogus patients, that so they might either get themselves a practice or make men believe they had got one; and have thought that the poorest drudge, who honestly ate his bread, or what little he could get, in the sweat of his face, was a prince in comparison with them. An aristocratic idler or trifler or spendthrift or clothes-frame, however strong he may smell of the school and the college, of books and of lingual culture, is no better than a vulgar illiterate loafer; nor can his smart clothes and his perfumes and his lily hands and his fashionable airs shield him from the just contempt of thoughtful men and sensible women.
Now so long as people proceed upon the notion that their children's main business in this world is to shine, and not to work, and that the school has it in special charge to fit them out at all points for a self-supporting and reputable career in life; just so long they will continue to expect and demand of the school that which the school cannot give; to grumble and find fault because it fails to do what they wish; and to insist on having its methods changed till their preposterous demands are satisfied. On the other hand, the school could do its proper work much better, if people would but come down, or rather come up, to a just conception of what that work is. But it must needs fail, in a greater or less degree, to do that part of education which falls within its legitimate province, while struggling and beating about in a vain endeavour to combine this with that part which fairly lies outside of its province. For, in straining to hit the impossible, we are pretty sure to miss the possible. And all experienced teachers know right well that those parents who faithfully do their own part in the education of their children are most apt to be satisfied with what the school is doing.

It is, then, desirable that children should learn to think, but it is indispensable that they should learn to work; and I believe it is possible for a large, perhaps the larger, portion of them to be so educated as to find pleasure in both. But the great question is, how to render the desirable thing and the indispensable thing mutually helpful and supplementary. For, surely, the two parts of education, the education of the mind and the education of the hand, though quite distinct in idea, and separate in act, are not, or need not be, at all antagonistic. On the contrary, the school can, and should, so do its part as to coöperate with and further that part which lies beyond its province. And it is both the
office and the aim of a wise benevolence in teachers so to deal with the boys under their care as to make them, if possible, intelligent, thoughtful, sober-minded men, with hearts set and tuned to such services and such pleasures as reason and religion approve; also, to make them prudent, upright, patriotic citizens, with heads so stocked and tempered as not to be "cajoled and driven about in herds" by greedy, ambitious, unprincipled demagogues, and the political gamesters of the day. And here it is to be noted, withal, that any man who gains an honest living for himself, whether lettered or unlettered, is a good citizen in the right sense of the term; and that human slugs and do-nothings, however book-learned they may be, are not good citizens.

As for the women, let it suffice that their rights and interests in this matter are coördinate with those of the men; just that, and no more. Their main business, also, is to get an honest living. And the education that unprepares them or leaves them unprepared for this is the height of folly and of wrong. And I hope the most of them are not going to turn students or authors by profession, nor to aim at eating their bread in the sweat of the brain. For things have already come to that pass with us, that any fool can write a book: the great difficulty is in finding people who know enough and have strength enough not to attempt it.

And here let me say that the greatest institution in the world is the family; worth all the others put together, and the foundation of them all. So, again, the greatest art known among men is housekeeping, which is the life of the family. For what are we poor mortals good for, in head, heart, hand, or any thing else, without healthy, eueptic stomachs? and how are we to have such stomachs without good cooking? So that I reckon housekeeping to be just
the last thing that any lady can afford to be ignorant of. The finest accomplishment too that woman was ever beauti-
fied with. This part of woman's education, also, is to be
gained at home; it cannot be gained anywhere else. As for
those young ladies who are above going into the kitchen, and
learning this great art by actually working at it, my advice is,
that they forthwith migrate to a world where the home and
the family have no place, and where babies are not to be
born and nursed.

Our girls in school, then, should, first of all, be fashioned
for intelligent, thoughtful, sober-minded women; with souls
attenuated and attuned to the honest and ennobling delec-
tations of the fireside; their heads furnished and disposed
to be prudent, skillful, dutiful wives and mothers and house-
keepers; home-loving and home-staying; formed for steady
loves, serene attachments, quiet virtues, and the whole flock
of household pieties; all suited to the office of

A creature not too bright or good
For human nature's daily food.

The love of home, and the art of making home lovely, must
be mainly acquired in the works and enjoyments of home;
and the best thing that the school can do is to cooperate
with the home to that end.

But the most important item in this account, and that
which is the main subject of what I have to say, is yet to
come.

We have reached a stage of civilization and general cul-
ture in which both the virtue and the happiness of people
depend very much on their intellectual forming and furnish-
ing. And as this holds true alike of both sexes, so both will
be included alike in the scope of what I have in mind to
speak further. Books, of one sort or another, are now, on every hand, a common resort for entertainment and pleasure, and are likely to become more and more so. Wealth has greatly accumulated; machinery has come to do a large part of our work; and all sorts of people have more or less of leisure on their hands. This leisure ought not to be spent in idleness, neither will it be. In the vacancy of their hands people's thoughts will needs be busy either for the better or for the worse: if their minds are not dressed for the abode of the Deity, they will be workshops of the Devil. And reading does in fact bear a large part in filling up such vacant time.

Now the world is getting full of devils, very potent ones too, in the shape of foolish and bad books. And I am apt to think the foolish devils in that shape even worse than the wicked: for they only begin the work of evil somewhat further off, so as to come at it the more surely; and a slow creeping infection is more dangerous than a frank assault. Nothing so bad here as that which eludes or seduces the moral sentinels of the heart. I am not exactly a believer in the old doctrine of total depravity; but I fear it must be confessed that the greater number of people take much more readily to that which is false and bad than to that which is good and true. Certainly what intoxicates and lowers stands a better chance with them than what sobers and elevates. Virtue and wisdom are an up-hill road, where they do not advance without some effort; folly and vice a down-hill path, where it requires some effort not to advance. And this is quite as true in intellectual matters as in moral. Here, to most people, delight in what is false and bad comes spontaneously; delight in what is true and good is the slow result of discipline and care, and grows by postponement of impulse to law.
I suspect it has been taken for granted much too generally, that if people know how to read they will be apt enough to make good use of that knowledge without further concern. A very great mistake! This faculty is quite as liable to abuse as any other: probably there is none other more sadly abused at this very time; none that needs to be more carefully fenced about with the safeguards of judgment and taste. Through this faculty crowds of our young people are let into the society of such things as can only degrade and corrupt, and, to a great extent, are positively drawn away from the fellowship of such as would elevate and correct. Most, probably not less than seven-eighths, of the books now read are simply a discipline of debasement; ministering fierce stimulants and provocatives to the lower propensities, and habituating the thoughts to the mud and slime of literary cesspools and slop-cooks.

I have indeed no faith in the policy or the efficacy of attempting to squelch these springs of evil by forcible sequestration, or to keep people from eating this poor devil-soup by muzzling them. If they will take to it, probably the best way is to let them have it; perhaps it is best to act somewhat on the plan of glutting them with it, in the hope that so they may outgrow it: but something might well be essayed so to fit and prepare them as that they may not take to it, and may even turn away from it with disgust when it comes to them. Surely, at all events, the education that delivers people over to such feeding is a very doubtful good.

In view of all which, it is clearly of the highest consequence, that from their early youth people should have their minds so bent and disposed as to find pleasure in such books as are adapted to purify and raise. I say pleasure, because we cannot rely, neither ought we, on arguments of right in
this matter. Reading even good books without pleasure, and merely from a sense of duty, is of little benefit, and may even do hurt, by breeding insensibly an aversion to what is good, and by investing it with irksome associations. A genial delight in that which is good is what sets the colours of in the mind: without this, the mind grows at odds with it. People cannot be droned or bored into virtue; and if evil were made as tedious to them as good often is, I suspect their hearts would soon be weaned from ugliness, and won to a marriage with beauty. And the pith of my argument is, that it is what people take pleasure in that really shapes and determines their characters. So experience has taught me that the characters of students in college are influenced far more by their reading than by their studies. From the books they take to you may judge at once whither their spirits are tending, and what they are inwardly made of, because here they generally go by free choice and pleasure. In brief, they study what they must; they read what they love; and their souls are and will be in the keeping of their loves. Even the breath of excellence is apt to be lost, if it be not waited on by delight; while, to love worthy objects, and in a worthy manner, is the top and crown of earthly good, ay, and of heavenly good also. Considering how clear and evident all this is, that so little is done, even in our highest seats of learning, to form the tastes and guide the reading of students, may well be matter of grief and astonishment. I have long wondered at it, and often sickened over it.

Now, to fence against the growing pestilence of foolish and bad books, I know of but one way; and that is by endeavouring systematically so to familiarize the young with the best and purest mental preparations, and so to prepossess them with the culture of that which is wholesome and good,
that they may have an honest, hearty relish for it. The thing is, to plant the mind full of such loves, and so to set and form the intellectual tastes and habits, that the vicious and false will be spontaneously refused, and the healthy and true be freely preferred; this too, not from any novelty in it, but for the experienced sweetness and beauty of it, and for the quiet joy that goes in company with it.

Let the efficacy of a very few good books be seasonably steeped into the mind, and then, in the matter of their reading, people will be apt to go right of their own accord; and assuredly they will never be got to go right except of their own accord. You may thus hope to predispose and attune the faculties of choice to what is noble and sweet, before the springs of choice are vitiated by evil or ignorant conversations. If people have their tastes set betimes to such authors as Spenser and Shakespeare, Addison, Scott, Wordsworth, and Charles Lamb, is it likely that they will stomach such foul stuff as the literary slums and grog-shops of the day are teeming with? I hope it is not so, and I will not readily believe it can be so. Nor can I see any impracticability, any insuperable difficulty here. Instances of native dulness or perversity there will indeed be, such as no soul-music can penetrate: but that, as a general thing, young minds, yet undeflowered by the sensational flash and fury of vulgar book-makers, will be found proof against the might and sweetness of that which is intellectually beautiful and good, provided they be held in communication with it long enough for its virtue to penetrate them, is what I will not, must not, believe, without a fairer trial than has yet been made.

In reference to the foregoing points, a well-chosen and well-used course of study in the best English classics seems
the most eligible and most effective preparation. Whether to the ends of practical use or of rational pleasure, this cannot but be the right line of early mental culture. The direct aids and inspirations of religion excepted, what better nursery can there be of just thoughts and healthy tastes? what more apt to train and feed the mind for the common duties, interests, affections, and enjoyments of life? For the very process here stands in framing and disposing the mind for intercourse with the sayings of the wise, with the gathered treasures of light and joy, and with the meanings and beauties of Nature as seen by the eye, and interpreted by the pen, of genius and wisdom.

We are getting sadly estranged from right ideas as to the nature and scope of literary workmanship. For literature, in its proper character, is nowise a something standing outside of and apart from the practical service of life; a sort of moonshine world, where the working understanding sleeps for the idle fancy to dream. This is no doubt true in regard to most of the books now read; which are indeed no books, but mere devils and dunces in books' clothing; but it is not at all true of books that are books indeed. These draw right into the substance and pith of actual things; the matter of them is "labour'd and distill'd through all the needful uses of our lives"; the soul of their purpose is to arm and strengthen the head, and to inspire and direct the hand, for productive work. That an author brings us face to face with real men and things, and helps us to see them as they are; that he furnishes us with enablements for conversing rationally, and for wrestling effectively, with the problems of living, operative truth; that he ministers guidance and support for thinking nobly and working bravely in the services, through the perils, under the difficulties and adversities of our state,
—this is the test and measure of his worth; this is the sole basis of his claim to rank as a classic. This, to be sure, is not always done directly, neither ought it to be; for the helps that touch our uses more or less indirectly often serve us best, because they call for and naturally prompt our own mental and moral coöperation in turning them to practical account.

It is such literature that the poet has in view when he tells us,—

books, we know,
Are a substantial world, both pure and good:
Round these, with tendrils strong as flesh and blood,
Our pastime and our happiness will grow.

And books are yours,
Within whose silent chambers treasure lies
Preserved from age to age; more precious far
Than that accumulated store of gold
And orient gems which, for a day of need,
The Sultan hides deep in ancestral tombs:
These hoards you can unlock at will.

Nor is it the least benefit of such authors that they reconcile and combine utility with pleasure, making each ministrative to the other; so that the grace of pleasant thoughts becomes the sweeter for their usefulness, and the virtue of working thoughts the more telling for their pleasantness; the two thus pulling and rejoicing together. For so the right order of mental action is where delight pays tribute to use, and use to delight; and there is no worse corruption of literature in the long run than where these are divorced, and made to pull in different lines. Such pleasure is itself uplifting, because it goes hand in hand with duty. And as life, with its inevitable wants and cares and toils, is apt to be hard enough at the best with most of us, there is need of all the assuage-
ments and alleviations that can come from this harmonizing process. Pressed as we are with heavy laws, happy indeed is he

Who from the well-spring of his own clear breast
Can draw, and sing his griefs to rest.

Next to a good conscience and the aids of Christian faith, there is no stronger support under the burdens of our lot than the companionship of such refreshing and soul-lifting thoughts as spring up by the wayside of duty, from our being at home with the approved interpreters of Nature and truth. This is indeed to carry with us in our working hours a power

That beautifies the fairest shore,
And mitigates the harshest clime.

Now I do not like to hear it said that our school-education can do nothing towards this result. I believe, nay, I am sure, it can do much; though I have to admit that it has done and is doing far less than it might. I fear it may even be said that our course is rather operating as a hindrance than as a help in this respect. What sort of reading are our schools planting an appetite for? Are they really doing anything to instruct and form the mental taste, so that the pupils on leaving them may be safely left to choose their reading for themselves? It is clear in evidence that they are far from educating the young to take pleasure in what is intellectually noble and sweet. The statistics of our public libraries show that some cause is working mightily to prepare them only for delight in what is both morally and intellectually mean and foul. It would not indeed be fair to charge our public schools with positively giving this preparation; but it is their business to forestall and prevent such a result. If, along with the faculty of reading, they cannot also impart some safe-
guards of taste and habit against such a result, will the system prove a success?

As things now go, English literature is postponed to almost every thing else in our public schools: much as ever it can gain admission at all; and the most that can be got for it is merely such fag-ends of time as may possibly be spared from other studies. We think it a fine thing to have our children studying Demosthenes and Cicero; but do not mind having them left almost totally ignorant of Burke and Webster. Yet, in the matter of practical learning, ay, and of liberal learning too, for deep and comprehensive eloquence, for instruction in statesmanship, and in the principles of civil order and social well-being, Burke alone is worth more than all the oratory of Greece and Rome put together; albeit I am far from meaning to disrepute the latter. And a few of Webster's speeches, besides their treasure of noble English, —"a manly style fitted to manly ears," — have in them more that would come home to the business and bosoms of our best American intelligence, more that is suited to the ends of a well-instructed patriotism, than all that we have inherited from the lips of ancient orators.

So, again, we spare no cost to have our children delving in the suburbs and outskirts of Homer and Virgil; for not one in fifty of them ever gets beyond these; yet we take no pains to have them living in the heart of Shakespeare and Wordsworth: while there is in Shakespeare a richer fund of "sweetness and light," more and better food for the intellectual soul, a larger provision of such thoughts as should dwell together with the spirit of a man, and be twisted about his heart for ever, than in the collective poetry of the whole ancient heathen world.

It may indeed be said that these treasures are in a language
already known, and so are accessible to people without any special preparation; and that the school is meant to furnish the keys to such wealth as would else be locked up from them. But our public schools leave the pupils without any taste for those native treasures, or any aptitude to enjoy them: the course there pursued does almost nothing to fit and dispose the pupils for communing with the wisdom and beauty enshrined in our mother-tongue; while hardly any so master the Greek and Latin as to hold communion with the intellectual virtue which they enshrine. Few, very few, after all, can be trained to love Homer; while there are, I must think, comparatively few who cannot be trained to love Shakespeare; and the main thing is to plant that love. The point, then, is just here: Our schools are neither giving the pupils the key to the wisdom of Homer, nor disposing them to use the key to the wisdom of Shakespeare. And so the result is that, instead of bathing in the deep, clear streams of thought, ancient or modern, they have no taste but for waddling or wallowing in the shallow, turbid puddles of the time;—

Best pleased with what is aptliest framed
To enervate and defile.

It is a notorious fact that among our highly-educated people, the graduates of our colleges, really good English scholars are extremely rare. I suspect it is not too much to say that among our instructors there are at least twenty competent to teach Greek and Latin, where there is one competent to teach English literature. Very few indeed of them are really at home in the great masters of our native tongue, so as to make them matter of fruitful exercise in the class-room. They know not how to come at them, or to shape their course in teaching them. Their minds are so engrossed
with the verbal part of learning, that, unless they have a husk of words to stick in, as in studying a foreign tongue, they can hardly find where to stick at all.

This habit, I suppose, comes mainly as a tradition from a former age; a habit which, though begun upon good causes, has been kept up long after those causes were done away. The prevailing ideas herein got fixed at a time when there was no well-formed English literature in being; when the language itself was raw and rude; and when the world's whole stock of intellectual wealth was enshrined in other tongues. The custom thus settled from necessity is continued to this day, when the English tongue, besides its own vast fund of original treasure, has had the blood of all the best human thought transfused into its veins, and when its walks have grown rich and delectable with the spoils of every earlier fruitage of genius and learning.

Three centuries ago Chaucer was the only really good English author; he was then two hundred years old; and the language had changed so much since his time, that reading him was almost like studying a foreign tongue. So much was this the case, that Bacon thought the English was going to bankrupt all books entrusted to its keeping: he therefore took care to have most of his own works translated into Latin; and now our greatest regret touching him is, that we have not all those works in his own noble English. Before his time, the language changed more in fifty years than it has done in all the three hundred years since. This is no doubt because the mighty workmen of that age, himself among them, did so much to "bolt off change," by the vast treasures of thought and wisdom which they found or made the language capable of expressing. The work then so gloriously begun has been going on ever since, though not always with
the same grand results; until now the English is commonly held to be one of the richest and noblest tongues ever spoken, and the English literature is, in compass and variety of intellectual wealth, unsurpassed by any in the world.

How strange it is, then, that, with such immense riches at hand in our vernacular, we should so much postpone them to the springs that were resorted to before those riches grew into being! Because Homer and Sophocles had to be studied before Shakespeare wrote, why should Shakespeare still be ignored in our liberal education, when his mighty works have dwarfed Homer and Sophocles into infants? There might indeed be some reason in this, if he had been in any sort the offspring of those Greek masters: but he was blessedly ignorant of them; which may partly account for his having so much surpassed them. He did not conceive himself bound to think and write as they did; and this seems to have been one cause why he thought and wrote better than they did. I really can see no reason for insisting on learning from them rather than from him, except that learning from him is vastly easier.

Nevertheless I am far from thinking that the Greek and Latin ought to be disused or made little of in our course of liberal learning. On the contrary, I would, of the two, have them studied in college even more thoroughly than they commonly are; and this, not only because of their unequalled use in mental training and discipline, and as a preparation for solid merit and success in the learned professions, but also because a knowledge of them is so largely fundamental to a practical mastery of our own tongue. And here I am moved to note what seems to me a change for the worse within the last forty years. Forty years ago, besides that the Greek and Latin were made more of in college, at least
relatively, than they are now, the students had both more time for English studies, and also more of judicious prompting and guidance in their reading. But, of late, there has been so much crowding-in of modern languages and recent branches of science, that students have a good deal less time than formerly for cultivating English literature by themselves. In short, our colleges, it seems to me, did much more, forty years ago, towards setting and forming right literary and intellectual tastes than they are doing now. I believe they are now turning out fewer English scholars, and that these are not so well grounded and cultured in the riches of our native tongue. The fashion indeed has been growing upon us of educating the mouth much more than the mind; which seems to be one cause why we are having so many more talkers and writers than thinkers. An unappeasable itch of popularity is eating out the old love of solid learning, and the old relish for the haunts of the Muses.

It may have been observed, that in this argument I distinguish somewhat broadly between a liberal and a practical education. Our colleges ought to give, and, I suppose, aim at giving the former; while the latter is all that our public schools can justly be expected to give. And a large majority of the pupils, as I said before, are to gain their living by hand-work, not by head-work. But then we want them made capable of solid profit and of honest delight in the conversation of books; for this, as things now are, is essential both to their moral health and also to their highest success in work; to say nothing of their duties and interests as citizens of a republican State. And, to this end, what can be more practical, in the just sense of the term, than planting and nursing in them right intellectual tastes, so that their reading shall take to such books as are really wholesome and improving?
On the general subject, however, I have to remark further, that our education, as it seems to me, is greatly overworking the study of language, especially in the modern languages. From the way our young people are hurried into French and German, one would suppose there were no English authors worth knowing, nor any thought in the English tongue worth learning. So we cram them with words, and educate them into ignorance of things, and then exult in their being able to “speak no sense in several languages.” Surely a portion of the time might be as innocently spent in learning something worth speaking in plain mother-English. When we add that, with all this wear and tear of brain, the pupils, ten to one, stick in the crust of words, and never get through into the marrow of thought, so as to be at home in it, our course can hardly be deemed the perfection of wisdom.

Our custom herein seems to involve some flagrant defect or error in our philosophy of education. The true process of education is to set and keep the mind in living intercourse with things: the works and ways of God in Nature are our true educators. And the right office of language is to serve as the medium of such intercourse. And so the secret of a good style in writing is, that words be used purely in their representative character, and not at all for their own sake. This is well illustrated in Shakespeare, who in his earlier plays used language partly for its own sake; but in his later plays all traces of such use disappear: here he uses it purely in its representative character. This it is, in great part, that makes his style so much at once the delight and the despair of those who now undertake to write the English tongue. And in other writers excellence of style is measured by approximation to this standard. This it is that so highly distinguishes Webster's style,—the best yet written on this
continent. His language is so transparent, that in reading him one seldom thinks of it, and can hardly see it. In fact, the proper character of his style is perfect, consummate manliness; in which quality I make bold to affirm that he has no superior in the whole range of English authorship. And in his Autobiography the great man touches the secret as to how this came about. "While in college," says he, "I delivered two or three occasional addresses, which were published. I trust they are forgotten: they were in very bad taste. I had not then learned that all true power in writing is in the idea, not in the style; an error into which the Ars rhetorica, as it is usually taught, may easily lead stronger heads than mine."

Hence it follows that language should be used and studied mainly in its representative character; that is, as a medium for conversing with things; and that studying it merely or even mainly for its own sake is a plain inversion of the right order. For words are of no use but as they bring us acquainted with the facts, objects, and relations of Nature in the world about us. The actual things and ideas which they stand for, or are the signs of, are what we ought to know and have commerce with. In our vernacular, words are, for the most part, naturally and unconsciously used in this way; except where a perverse system has got us into a habit of using them for their own sake; which is indeed the common bane of American authorship, making our style so intensely self-conscious, that an instructed taste soon tires of it. But, in studying a foreign tongue, the language itself is and has to be the object of thought. Probably not one in fifty of our college graduates learns to use the Greek and Latin freely as a medium of converse with things. Their whole mental force is spent on the words themselves; or, if
they go beyond these to the things signified, it is to help their understanding of the words.

I freely admit that language, even our own, ought to be, to some extent, an object of study; but only to the end of perfecting our use and mastery of it as a medium. So that the true end of mental action is missed, where language is advanced into an ultimate object of study; which is practically making the end subordinate to the means. Here, however, I am anxious not to be misunderstood, and lest I may seem to strain the point too far; for I know full well that in such a cause nothing is to be gained by breaches of fairness and candour. It is a question of relative measure and proportion. And I mean that our education treats language quite too much as an object of thought, and quite too little as a medium. Our students, it seems to me, are altogether too much brought up in "the alms-basket of words"; and of too many of them it may not unfairly be said, "They have been at a great feast of languages, and stolen the scraps."

I have said that our custom in this matter stands partly as a tradition from a long-past age when there was no English literature in being. But this does not wholly explain it. The thing proceeds in great part from a perverse vanity of going abroad and sporting foreign gear, unmindful of the good that lies nearer home. Hence boys and girls, especially the latter, are hurried into studying foreign languages before they have learnt to spell correctly or to read intelligibly in their own. I say girls especially, because, since the women set out to equal, perhaps to eclipse, the men in brain-power, a mighty ambition has invaded them to be flourishing their lingual intellectuality in our faces. Besides, the fashion now is to educate young women for any place rather than for
home. Most of them hope some time to spend six months travelling in Europe; and they think far more of preparing for that holiday than for all the working-day honours and services of life. And I fear it must be said withal, that we are the most apish people on the planet. I wish we may not prove "the servum pecus of a Gallic breed." Be that as it may, parents among us apparently hold it a much grander thing to have their children chopping Racine and Voltaire than conversing with the treasures of wisdom and beauty in our own tongue; as if smattering French words were better than understanding English and American things.

Thus our school education is growing to be very much a positive dispreparation for the proper cares, duties, interests, and delectations of life. The further a thing draws from any useful service or common occasion, the more pride there is in studying it. Whatever will serve best to prank up the mind for flaunting out its life away from home, that seems to be our first concern. To this end, we prefer something out of the common way; something that can be turned to no account, save to beguile a frivolous and fashionable leisure, or to mark people off from ordinary humanity, and wrap them up in the poor conceit of an aristocratic style. In short, we look upon the honest study of our honest mother-English as a vulgar thing; and it pleases us to forget that this squeamish turning-up of the nose at what is near and common is just the vulgarest thing in the world. Surely we cannot too soon wake up to the plain truth, that real honour and elevation, as well as solid profit, are to grow by conversing with the things that live and work about us, and by giving our studious hours to those masters of English thought from whom we may learn to read, soberly, modestly, and with clear intelligence, a few pages in the book of life.
The chief argument in support of the prevailing custom is, that the study of languages, especially the Greek and Latin, is highly serviceable as a mental gymnastic. No doubt it is so. But the study, as it is managed with us, may be not unfairly charged with inverting the true relative importance of mental gymnastic and mental diet. Formerly the Greek and Latin were held to be enough; but now, by adding three or four modern languages, we are making the linguistic element altogether too prominent. We thus give the mind little time for feeding, little matter to feed upon; and so keep it exercising when it ought to be feeding: for so the study of words has much exercise and little food. Now such an excess of activity is not favourable to healthy growth. Substituting stimulants for nourishment is as bad for the mind as for the body. Supply the mind with wholesome natural food; do all you can to tempt and awaken the appetite; and then trust somewhat to nature. True, some minds, do your best, will not eat; but, if they do not eat, then they ought not to act. For dulness, let me tell you, is not so bad as disease; and, from straining so hard to stimulate and force the mind into action without eating, nothing but disease can result. Depend upon it, there is something wrong with us here: food and exercise are not rightly proportioned in our method. In keeping the young mind so much on a stretch of activity, as if the mere exercise of its powers were to be sought for its own sake, we are at war with nature. And a feverish, restless, mischievous activity of mind is the natural consequence of such a course; unless, which is sometimes the case, the mental forces get dried into stiffness from mere heat of gymnastic stress.

We are now having quite too much of this diseased mental activity. Perhaps our greatest danger lies in a want of
mental repose. The chronic nervous intensity thus generated is eating the life out of us, and crushing the nobler energies of duty and virtue, ay, and of sound intelligence too. For, while we are thus overworking the mind, the muscular and nutritive systems of course suffer; so that, first we know, the mind itself gives out; and people go foolish or crazy from having been educated all into nerves. Composure is the right pulse of mental health, as it is also of moral; and “a heart that watches and receives” will gather more of wisdom than a head perpetually on the jump. We need “the harvest of a quiet eye,” that feeds on the proportions of truth as she beams from the works of Nature and from the pages of Nature’s high-priests. But now we must be in a giddy whirl of brain-excitement, else we are miserable, and think our mental faculties are in peril of stagnation. Of intellectual athletes we have more than enough; men, and women too, who think to renovate the world, and to immortalize themselves, by being in a continual rapture and tumult of brain-exercise; minds hopelessly disorded from the calmness of reason, and held in a fever of activity from sheer lack of strength to sit still. It was such minds that Bacon had in view when he described man in a certain state as being “a busy, mischievous, wretched thing, no better than a kind of vermin.” To be intellectual, to write books, to do wonders in mental pyrotechny, is not the chief end of man, nor can we make it so. This is indeed what we seem to be aiming at, but we shall fail; Nature will prove too strong for us here: and, if we persist, she will just smash us up, and replace us with a people not so tormentedly smart. It is to the meek, not the brilliant, that the possession of the Earth is promised.

My conclusion from the whole is, that, next to the elementary branches, and some parts of science, such as geography,
astronomy, and what is called natural philosophy, standard authors in English literature ought to have a place in our school education. Nor am I sure but that, instead of thus postponing the latter to science, it were still better to put them on an equal footing with it. For they draw quite as much into the practical currents of our American life as any studies properly scientific do; and, which is of yet higher regard, they have it in them to be much more effective in shaping the character. For they are the right school of harmonious culture as distinguished from mere formal knowledge; that is, they are a discipline of humanity: and to have the soul rightly alive to the difference between the noble and the base is better than understanding the laws of chemical affinity.

As to the best way of teaching English literature, I may speak the more briefly on this, inasmuch as a good deal to the point has been, I hope not obscurely, implied in the remarks already made.

In the first place, I am clear that only a few of the very best and fittest authors should be used; and that these should be used long enough, and in large enough portions, for the pupils to get really at home with them, and for the grace and efficacy of them to become thoroughly steeped into the mind. Bacon tells us that "some books are to be tasted, others to be swallowed, and some few to be chewed and digested." Of course it is only the latter that I deem worthy to be used in school. And I lay special stress on the pupil's coming at an author in such a way, and staying with him so long, as to study him with honest love and delight. This is what sets and fixes the taste. And this is a thing that cannot be extemporized: the process necessarily takes considerable time,
For wise men's thoughts are a presence to live in, to feed upon, and to grow into the likeness of. And the benefit of a right good book all depends upon this, that its virtue just soak into the mind, and there become a living, generative force.

Do you say that this shuts off from pupils the spur and charm of novelty? Yes, that it does, else I would not urge it. What I want first of all is to shut off the flashy, fugitive charm of novelty, so as to secure the solid, enduring charm of truth and beauty; for these are what it does the soul good to be charmed with, and to tie up in the society of,—the charm of a "concord that elevates and stills"; while the charm of novelty is but as "the crackling of thorns under a pot," — not the right music for soul-sweetening. "A thing of beauty is a joy for ever." And they know nothing of the genesis of the human affections, who have not learned that these thrive best in the society of old familiar faces. To be running and rambling over a great many books, tasting a little here, a little there, and tying up with none, is good for nothing in school; nay, worse than nothing. Such a process of "unceasing change" is also a discipline of "perpetual emptiness." It is as if a man should turn free-lover, and take to himself a new wife every week; in which case I suppose he would soon become indifferent to them all, and conclude one woman to be just about as good as another. The household affections do not grow in that way. And the right method in the culture of the mind is to take a few choice books, and weave about them

the fix'd delights of house and home,
Friendships that will not break, and love that cannot roam.

Again: In teaching English literature, I think it is not best
to proceed much, if at all, by recitations, but by what may be called exercises; the pupils reading the author under the direction, correction, and explanation of the teacher. The thing is to have the pupils, with the teacher’s help and guidance, commune with the author while in class, and quietly drink in the sense and spirit of his workmanship. Such communing together of teacher and pupils with the mind of a good book cannot but be highly fruitful to them both: an interplay of fine sympathies and inspirations will soon spring up between them, and pleasant surprises of truth and good will be stealing over them. The process indeed can hardly fail to become a real sacrament of the heart between them; for they will here find how “one touch of nature makes the whole world kin.”

Nor would I attempt to work into these exercises any thing of grammar or rhetoric or philology, any further than this may be clearly needful or conducive to a full and fair understanding of the matter read. To use a standard author mainly as a theme or text for carrying on studies in philology, is in my account just putting the cart before the horse. Here the end is or should be to make the pupils understand and relish what the author delivers; and whatever of philosophical exercise comes in should be held strictly subordinate to this.

With my classes in Shakespeare and Wordsworth, as also in Burke and Webster, I am never at all satisfied, unless I see the pupils freely taking pleasure in the workmanship. For such delight in a good book is to me a sure token and proof that its virtue is striking in and going to the spot. Rather say, it is a pledge, nay, it is the very pulsation, of sympathy and vital magnetism between the mind within and the object without. And without this blessed infection
beaming in the face and sparkling in the eyes, even the honest striving of duty on the pupil's part rather discourages me. So, unless I can get the pupils to be happy in such communion, I am unhappy myself; and this, I suppose, because it is naturally unpleasant to see people standing in the presence and repeating the words of that which is good, and tasting no sweetness therein. For "what is noble should be sweet"; and ought, if possible, to be bound up with none but pleasant associations; that so delight and love may hold the mind in perpetual communion with the springs of health and joy. And if I can plant in young minds a genuine relish for the authors I have named, then I feel tolerably confident that the devils now swarming about us in the shape of bad books will stand little chance with them; for I know right well that those authors have kept legions of such devils off from me.

From all which it follows, next, that, in teaching English literature, I would have nothing to do with any works in formal rhetoric, or with any general outlines, or any rapid and wide surveys, or any of the school reading-books now in use, which are made up of mere chips from a multitude of authors, and so can have little effect but to generate a rambling and desultory habit of mind. To illustrate my meaning, it may not be amiss to observe, that some years ago I knew of a program being set forth officially, which embraced little bits from a whole rabble of American authors, most of them still living; but not a single sentence from Daniel Webster; who, it seems to me, is perhaps the only American author that ought to have been included in the list. This program was drawn up for a course in English literature to be used in the public schools. Instead of such a miscellaneous collection of splinters, my thought was then, and
is now, Give us a good large block of Webster; enough for at least two exercises a week through half a year. This would afford a fair chance of making the pupils really at home with one tall and genuine roll of intellectual manhood; which done, they would then have something to guide and prompt them into the society of other kindred rolls: whereas, with the plan proposed, there is no chance of getting them at home with any intellectual manhood at all; nay, rather, it is just the way to keep them without any intellectual home,—a nomadic tribe of literary puddle-sippers.

As for the matter of rhetoric, all that can be of much use in this is, I think, best learned in the concrete, and by familiarizing the mind with standard models of excellence. For the right use of speech goes by habit, not by rule. And if people should happen to use their vernacular clearly and handsomely without knowing why, where is the harm of it? Is not that enough? What more do you want? If you would learn to speak and write the English tongue correctly, tastefully, persuasively, leave the rhetorics behind, and give your days and nights to the masters of English style. This will tend to keep you from all affectation of "fine writing," than which literature has nothing more empty and vapid. Besides, it is only after the mind has grown largely and closely conversant with standard authors, that studying rhetorical rules and forms can be of much practical use, however it may do for showing off in recitation. And I am in doubt whether it were not better omitted even then: for such study, in so far as it is trusted in for forming a good style, can hardly work any thing but damage in that respect; and this because it naturally sets one to imitating other men's verbal felicities; which is simply a pestilent vice of style. Therewithal the study is but too apt to possess the student,
perhaps unconsciously, with the notion that men are to
"laugh by precept only, and shed tears by rule"; a sort of
laughter and tears from which I shall beg to be excused.
On this point, my first, second, and third counsel is,—

the live current quaff,
And let the groveller sip his stagnant pool,
In fear that else, when Critics grave and cool
Have killed him, Scorn should write his epitaph.

Against the course I have been marking out, the objection
is sometimes urged that it would cut pupils off from contem-
porary authors. It would do so indeed, and I like it the
better for that. I have already implied that no literary
workmanship, short of the best there is to be had, ought to
be drawn upon for use in school. For the natural alliance
of taste and morals is much closer than most people suppose.
In fact, taste is, in my account, a kind of intellectual con-
sience: downright, perfect honesty is the first principal of
it; solidity is its prime law; and all sorts of pretence, affec-
tation, and sham are its aversion: so that it amounts to about
the same thing as the perfect manliness which I find in Web-
ster's style.—Now, for the due approval of excellence in
literary art, a longer time than the individual life is commonly
required. Of the popular writers now living, probably not
one in five hundred will be heard of thirty years hence. I
have myself outlived two generations of just such immortal
writers,—whole regiments of them. Of course there are
fashions in literature, as in other things. These are apt to be
bad enough at the best,—bad enough anywhere; but the
school is just the last place, except the church, where they
ought to be encouraged. Be assured that, in the long run,
it will not pay to have our children in school making
acquaintance with the fashionable writers of the day. For,
long before the pupils now in school reach maturity, another set of writers will be in popular vogue; their tenure to be equally transient in turn.

Unquestionably the right way in this matter is, to start the young with such authors as have been tested and approved by a large collective judgment. For it is not what pleases at first, but what pleases permanently, that the human mind cares to keep alive. What has thus withstood the wear of time carries solid proof of having strength and virtue in it. For example, poetry that has no holiness in it may be, for it often has been, vastly popular in its day; but it has and can have no lasting hold on the heart of man. True, there may be good books written in our day; I think there are: but there needs a longer trial than one generation to certify us of the fact, so as to warrant us in adopting an author for standard use. And that a new book seems to us good, may be in virtue of some superficial prepossession which a larger trial will utterly explode. We need better assurance than that.

It is indeed sometimes urged that, if the young be thus trained up with old authors, they will be in danger of falling behind the age. But it is not so. The surest way of coming at such a result is by pre-engaging them with the literary freaks and fashions and popularities of the day. To hold them aloof from such flitting popularities, to steep their minds in the efficacy of such books as have always been, and are likely to be, above the fashion of the day,—this is the true course for setting them in advance of the time; and, unless they be set in advance of it, they will certainly fail to keep abreast with it. For the wisdom that has had the long and strong approval of the past, is most likely to be the wisdom of the future; and the way to keep pace with the age is by
dwelling with its wisdom, not with its folly. In fact, a taste for the shifting literary fashions and popularities of the hour springs from shallowness and leads to shallowness. And to knit your pupils up close with old standards, is the best thing you can do for them, both mentally and morally.

And I confess I like to see the young growing enthusiastic over the treasured wisdom and eloquence of their forefathers. This is a natural and wholesome inspiration, and such as the soul can hardly drink-in or catch without being lifted and expanded by it. Worth much for the knowledge it furthers, it is worth far more for the manhood it quickens. And I think none the worse of it, that it may do somewhat towards chastising down the miserable conceit now so rife amongst us, that light never really dawned on the world till about that glorious time when our eyes were first opened, and we began to shed our wisdom abroad. To be sure, the atmosphere of the past now stands impeached as being a very dull and sleepy atmosphere: nevertheless I rather like it, and think I have often found much health and comfort in breathing it. Some old writer tells us that “no man having drunk old wine straightway desireth the new; for he saith the old is better.” I am much of the same opinion. In short, old wine, old books, old friends, old songs, “the precious music of the heart,” are the wine, the books, the friends, the songs for me!

Besides, we have quite enough of the present outside of the school; and one of our greatest needs at this very time is more of inspiration from the past. Living too much in the present is not good either for the mind or for the heart: its tendency is to steep the soul in the transient popularities of the hour, and to vulgarize the whole man. Not that the present age is worse than former ages; it may even be better
as a whole: but what is bad or worthless in an age generally dies with the age: so that only the great and good of the past touches us; while of the present we are most touched by that which is little and mean. The shriekings and jabberings of an age's folly almost always drown, for the time being, the eloquence of its wisdom: but the eloquence lives and speaks after the jabberings have gone silent, God's air refusing to propagate them. So let our youth now and then breathe and listen an hour or two in the old intellectual fatherland, where all the foul noises have long since died away, leaving the pure music to sound up full and clear.
INTRODUCTION.

Date of Composition.

TWELFTH NIGHT; OR, WHAT YOU WILL, was never printed, that we know of, till in the folio of 1623. In default of positive information, the play was for a long time set down as among the last-written of the Poet's dramas. This opinion was based upon such slight indications, gathered from the work itself, as could have no weight but in the absence of other proofs. No contemporary notice of the play was discovered till the year 1828, when Collier, delving among the "musty records of antiquity" stored away in the Museum, lighted upon a manuscript Diary, written, as was afterwards ascertained, by one John Manningham, a barrister who was entered at the Middle Temple in 1597. Under date of February 2d, 1602, the author notes, "At our feast we had a play called Twelfth Night, or What You Will, much like The Comedy of Errors, or Menechmi in Plautus, but most like and near to that in the Italian called Inganni." The writer then goes on to state such particulars of the action, as fully identify the play which he saw with the one now under consideration. It seems that the benchers and members of the several Inns-of-Court were wont to enrich their convivialities with a course of wit and poetry. And the forecited notice ascertains that Shakespeare's Twelfth Night
was performed before the members of the Middle Temple on the old Church festival of the Purification, formerly called Candlemas;—an important link in the course of festivities that used to continue from Christmas to Shrovetide. We thus learn that one of the Poet’s sweetest plays was enjoyed by a gathering of his learned and studious contemporaries, at a time when this annual jubilee had rendered their minds congenial and apt, and when Christians have so much cause to be happy and gentle and kind, and therefore to cherish the convivial delectations whence kindness and happiness naturally grow.

As to the date of the composition, we have little difficulty in fixing this somewhere between the time when the play was acted at the Temple and the year 1598. In iii. 2, when Malvolio is at the height of his ludicrous beatitude, Maria says of him, "He does smile his face into more lines than are in the new map, with the augmentation of the Indies." In 1598 was published the second edition of Hakluyt’s Voyages, with a map exactly answering to Maria’s description. This was the first map of the world in which the Eastern Islands were included. So that the allusion can hardly be to anything else; and the words new map would seem to infer that the passage was written not long after the appearance of the map in question.

Again: In iii. 1, the Clown says to Viola, "But, indeed, words are very rascals, since bonds disgraced them." This may be fairly understood as referring to an order issued by the Privy Council in June, 1600, and laying very severe restrictions upon stage performances. This order prescribes that "there shall be about the city two houses and no more, allowed to serve for the use of common stage plays"; that "the two several companies of players, assigned unto the
two houses allowed, may play each of them in their several houses twice a-week, and no oftener’; and that ‘they shall forbear altogether in the time of Lent; and likewise at such time and times as any extraordinary sickness or infection of disease shall appear to be in or about the city.’ The order was directed to the principal magistrates of the city and suburbs, ‘strictly charging them to see to the execution of the same’; and it is plain, that if rigidly enforced it would have amounted almost to a total suppression of play-houses, as the expenses of such establishments could hardly have been met, in the face of so great drawbacks.

Therewithal it is to be noted that the Puritans were specially forward and zealous in urging the complaints which put the Privy Council upon issuing this stringent process; and it will hardly be questioned that the character of Malvolio was partly meant as a satire on that remarkable people. That the Poet should be somewhat provoked at their action in bringing about such tight restraints upon the freedom of his art, was certainly natural enough. Nor is it a small addition to their many claims on our gratitude, that their aptness to ‘think, because they were virtuous, there should be no more cakes and ale,’ had the effect of calling forth so rich and withal so good-natured a piece of retaliation. Perhaps it should be remarked further, that the order in question, though solicited by the authorities of the city, was not enforced; for even at that early date those magistrates had hit upon the method of stimulating the complaints of discontented citizens till orders were taken for removing the alleged grievances, and then of letting such orders sleep, lest the enforcing of them should hush those complaints, and thus take away all pretext for keeping up the agitation.
Originals of the Story.

The story upon which the more serious parts of Twelfth Night were founded appears to have been a general favourite before and during Shakespeare's time. It is met with in various forms and under various names in the Italian, French, and English literature of that period. The earliest form of it known to us is in Bandello's collection of novels. From the Italian of Bandello it was transferred, with certain changes and abridgments, into the French of Belleforest, and makes one in his collection of Tragical Histories. From one or the other of these sources the tale was borrowed again by Barnabe Rich, and set forth as The History of Apolonius and Silla; making the second in his collection of tales entitled Farewell to the Military Profession, which was first printed in 1581.

Until the discovery of Manningham's Diary, Shakespeare was not supposed to have gone beyond these sources, and it was thought something uncertain to which of these he was most indebted for the raw material of his play. It is now held doubtful whether he drew from either of them. The passage I have quoted from that Diary notes a close resemblance of Twelfth Night to an Italian play "called Inganni." This has had the effect of directing attention to the Italian theatre in quest of his originals. Two comedies bearing the title of Gl' Inganni have been found, both of them framed upon the novel of Bandello, and both in print before the date of Twelfth Night. These, as also the three forms of the tale mentioned above, all agree in having a brother and sister, the latter in male attire, and the two bearing so close a resemblance in person and dress as to be indistinguishable; upon which circumstance some of the leading inci-
dents are made to turn. In one of the Italian plays, the sister is represented as assuming the name of Cesare; which is so like Cesario, the name adopted by Viola in her disguise, that the one may well be thought to have suggested the other. Beyond this point, Twelfth Night shows no clear connection with either of those plays.

But there is a third Italian comedy, also lately brought to light, entitled Gl' Ingannati, which is said to have been first printed in 1537. Here the traces of indebtedness are much clearer and more numerous. I must content myself with abridging the Rev. Joseph Hunter's statement of the matter. In the Italian play, a brother and sister, named Fabritio and Lelia, are separated at the sacking of Rome in 1527. Lelia is carried to Modena, where a gentleman resides, named Flamineo, to whom she was formerly attached. She disguises herself as a boy, and enters his service. Flamineo, having forgotten his Lelia, is making suit to Isabella, a lady of Modena. The disguised Lelia is employed by him in his love-suit to Isabella, who remains utterly deaf to his passion, but falls desperately in love with the messenger. In the third Act the brother Fabritio arrives at Modena, and his close resemblance to Lelia in her male attire gives rise to some ludicrous mistakes. At one time, a servant of Isabella's meets him in the street, and takes him to her house, supposing him to be the messenger; just as Sebastian is taken for Viola, and led to the house of Olivia. In due time, the needful recognitions take place, whereupon Isabella easily transfers her affection to Fabritio, and Flamineo's heart no less easily ties up with the loving and faithful Lelia. In her disguise, Lelia takes the name of Fabio; hence, most likely, the name of Fabian, who figures as one of Olivia's servants. The Italian play has also a subordinate character
called Pasquella, to whom Maria corresponds; and another named Malevolti, of which Malvolio is a happy adaptation. All which fully establishes the connection between the Italian comedy and the English. But it does not follow necessarily that the foreign original was used by Shakespeare; so much of the lighter literature of his time having perished, that we cannot affirm with any certainty what importations from Italy may or may not have been accessible to him in his native tongue.

As for the more comic portions of Twelfth Night,—those in which Sir Toby Belch, Sir Andrew Aguecheek, and the Clown figure so delectably,—we have no reason for believing that any part of them was borrowed; there being no hints or traces of any thing like them in the previous versions of the story, or in any other book or writing known to us. And it is to be observed, moreover, that the Poet's borrowings, in this instance as in others, relate only to the plot of the work, the poetry and character being all his own; and that, here as elsewhere, he used what he took merely as the canvas whereon to pencil out and express the breathing creatures of his mind. So that the whole workmanship is just as original, in the only right sense of that term, as if the story and incidents had been altogether the children of his own invention: and he but followed his usual custom of so ordering his work as to secure whatever benefit might accrue from a sort of pre-established harmony between his subject and the popular mind.

**Qualities of Style.**

I am quite at a loss to conceive why Twelfth Night should ever have been referred to the Poet's latest period of authorship. The play naturally falls, by the internal notes of style,
temper, and poetic grain, into the middle period of his productive years. It has no such marks of vast but immature powers as are often met with in his earlier plays; nor, on the other hand, any of "that intense idiosyncrasy of thought and expression,—that unparalleled fusion of the intellectual with the passionate,"—which distinguishes his later ones. Every thing is calm and quiet, with an air of unruffled serenity and composure about it, as if the Poet had purposely taken to such matter as he could easily mould into graceful and entertaining forms; thus exhibiting none of that crushing muscularity of mind to which the hardest materials afterwards or elsewhere became as limber and pliant as clay in the hands of a potter. Yet the play has a marked severity of taste; the style, though by no means so great as in some others, is singularly faultless; the graces of wit and poetry are distilled into it with indescribable delicacy, as if they came from a hand at once the most plentiful and the most sparing: in short, the work is everywhere replete with "the modest charm of not too much"; its beauty, like that of the heroine, being of the still, deep, retiring sort, which it takes one long to find, for ever to exhaust, and which can be fully caught only by the reflective imagination in "the quiet and still air of delightful studies." Thus all things are disposed in most happy keeping with each other, and tempered in the blandest proportion of Art; so as to illustrate how

Grace, laughter, and discourse may meet,
And yet the beauty not go less;
For what is noble should be sweet.
Sir Toby Belch.

If the characters of this play are generally less interesting in themselves than some we meet with elsewhere in the Poet’s works, the defect is pretty well made up by the felicitous grouping of them. Their very diversities of temper and purpose are made to act as so many mutual affinities; and this too in a manner so spontaneous that we see not how they could possibly act otherwise. For broad comic effect, the cluster of which Sir Toby is the centre—all of them drawn in clear yet delicate colours—is inferior only to the unparalleled assemblage that makes rich the air of Eastcheap. Of Sir Toby himself—that most whimsical, madcap, frolicsome old toper, so full of antics and fond of sprees, with a plentiful stock of wit, which is kept in motion by an equally plentiful lack of money—it is enough to say, with Verplanck, that “he certainly comes out of the same associations where the Poet saw Falstaff hold his revels”; and that, though “not Sir John, nor a fainter sketch of him, yet he has an odd sort of a family likeness to him.” Sir Toby has a decided penchant for practical jokes; though rather because he takes a sort of disinterested pleasure in them, than because he loves to see himself in the process of engineering them through: for he has not a particle of ill-nature in him. Though by no means a coward himself, he nevertheless enjoys the exposure of cowardice in others; yet this again is not so much because such exposure feeds his self-esteem, as because he delights in the game for its own sake, and for the nimble pastime it yields to his faculties: that is, his impulses seem to rest in it as an ultimate object, or a part of what is to him the sumnum bonum of life. And it is much the same with his addiction to vinous revelry, and to the moister kind of minstrelsy; an
addiction that proceeds in part from his keen gust of fun, and the happiness he finds in making sport for others as well as for himself: he will drink till the world turns round, but not unless others are at hand to enjoy the turning along with him.

**Sir Andrew the Fatuous.**

Sir Andrew Aguecheek, the aspiring, lackadaisical, self-satisfied echo and sequel of Sir Toby, fitly serves the double purpose of a butt and a foil to the latter, at once drawing him out and setting him off. Ludicrously proud of the most petty, childish irregularities, which, however, his natural fatuity keeps him from acting, and barely suffers him to affect, on this point he reminds us of that impressive imbecility, Abraham Slender; yet not in such sort as to encroach at all on Slender's province. There can scarcely be found a richer piece of diversion than Sir Toby's practice in dandling Sir Andrew out of his money, and paying him off with the odd hope of gaining Olivia's hand. And the funniest of it is, that while Sir Toby understands him thoroughly he has not himself the slightest suspicion or inkling of what he is; he being as confident of his own wit as others are of his want of it. Nor are we here touched with any revulsions of moral feeling, such as might disturb our enjoyment of their fellowship; on the contrary, we sympathize with Sir Toby's sport, without any reluctances of virtue or conscience. To our sense of the matter, he neither has nor ought to have any scruples or compunctions about the game he is hunting. For, in truth, his dealing with Sir Andrew is all in the way of fair exchange. He gives as much pleasure as he gets. If he is cheating Sir Andrew out of his money, he is also cheating him into the proper felicity of his nature, and thus paying
him with the equivalent best suited to his capacity. It suffices
that, in being stuffed with the preposterous delusion about
Olivia, Sir Andrew is rendered supremely happy at the time;
while he manifestly has not force enough to remember it with
any twinges of shame or self-reproach. And we feel that,
while clawing his fatuous crotchets and playing out his
absurdities, Sir Toby is really doing Sir Andrew no wrong,
since the latter is then most himself, is in his happiest mood,
and in the most natural freedom of his indigenous gifts and
graces. All which quite precludes any division of our sym-
pathies, and just makes our comic enjoyment of their inter-
course simply perfect.

Malvolio the Pure.

Malvolio, the self-love-sick Steward, has hardly had justice
done him, his bad qualities being indeed of just the kind
to defeat the recognition of his good ones. He represents
a perpetual class of people, whose leading characteristic is
moral demonstrativeness, and who are never satisfied with a
law that leaves them free to do right, unless it also give
them the power to keep others from doing wrong. To
quote again from Verplanck, Malvolio embodies “a con-
ception as true as it is original and droll; and its truth may
still be frequently attested by comparison with real Malvo-
lios, to be found everywhere from humble domestic life up
to the high places of learning, of the State, and even of
the Church.” From the central idea of the character it
follows in course that the man has too much conscience to
mind his own business, and is too pure to tolerate mirth in
others, because too much swollen and stiffened with self-love
to be merry himself. His highest exhilaration is when he
contemplates the image of his self-imputed virtues: he lives
so entranced with the beauty of his own inward parts, that he would fain hold himself the wrong side out, to the end that all the world may duly appreciate and admire him. Naturally, too, the more he hangs over his own moral beauty, the more pharisaical and sanctimonious he becomes in his opinion and treatment of others. For the glass which magnifies to his view whatever of good there may be in himself, also serves him as an inverted telescope to minify the good of those about him; and, which is more, the self-same spirit that prompts him to invert the instrument upon other men's virtues, naturally moves him to turn the big end upon their faults and the small end upon his own. Of course, therefore, he is never without food for censure and reproof save when he is alone with himself, where, to be sure, his intense consciousness of virtue just breathes around him "the air of Paradise." Thus his continual frothing over with righteous indignation all proceeds from the yeast of pride and self-importance working mightily within him. Maria, whose keen eye and sure tongue seldom fail to hit the white of the mark, describes him as not being "any thing constantly, but a time-pleaser." And it is remarkable that the emphasized moral rigidity of such men is commonly but the outside of a mind secretly intent on the service of the time, and caring little for any thing but to trim its sails to the winds of self-interest and self-advancement. Yet Malvolio is really a man of no little talent and accomplishment, as he is also one of marked skill, fidelity, and rectitude in his calling; so that he would be a right-worthy person all round, but for his inordinate craving

\[\text{to be dress'd in an opinion}\]
\[\text{Of wisdom, gravity, profound conceit;}\]
\[\text{As who should say, I am Sir Oracle,}\]
\[\text{And when I ope my lips, let no dog bark.}\]
This overweening moral coxcombry is not indeed to be reckoned among the worst of crimes; but perhaps there is no other one fault so generally or so justly offensive, and therefore none so apt to provoke the merciless retaliations of mockery and practical wit.

Maria the Gull-Catcher.

Maria, the little structure packed so close with mental spicery, has read Malvolio through and through; she knows him without and within; and she never speaks of him, but that her speech touches the very pith of the theme; as when she describes him to be one “that cons State without book, and utters it by great swaths; the best-persuaded of himself, so crammed, as he thinks, with excellences, that it is his ground of faith that all who look on him love him.” Her quaint stratagem of the letter has and is meant to have the effect of disclosing to others what her keener insight has long since discovered; and its working lifts her into a model of arch, roguish mischievousness, with wit to plan and art to execute whatsoever falls within the scope of such a character. Her native sagacity has taught her how to touch him in just the right spots to bring out the reserved or latent notes of his character. Her diagnosis of his inward state is indeed perfect; and when she makes the letter instruct him,—“Be opposite with a kinsman, surly with servants; let thy tongue twang arguments of State; put thyself into the trick of singularity,”—her arrows are so aimed as to cleave the pin of his most characteristic predispositions.

The scenes where the waggish troop, headed by this “noble gull-catcher” and “most excellent devil of wit,” bewitch Malvolio into “a contemplative idiot,” practising upon his vanity and conceit till he seems ready to burst
with an ecstasy of self-consequence, and they "laugh themselves into stitches" over him, are almost painfully diverting. It is indeed sport to see him "jet under his advanced plumes"; and during this part of the operation our hearts freely keep time with theirs who are tickling out his buds into full-blown thoughts: at length, however, when he is under treatment as a madman, our delight in his exposure passes over into commiseration of his distress, and we feel a degree of resentment towards his ingenious persecutors. The Poet, no doubt, meant to push the joke upon him so far as to throw our sympathies over on his side, and make us take his part. For his character is such that perhaps nothing but excessive reprisals on his vanity and conceit could make us do justice to his real worth.

**Fabian and the Clown.**

The shrewd, mirth-loving Fabian, who in greedy silence devours up fun, tasting it too far down towards his knees to give any audible sign of the satisfaction it yields him, is an apt and willing agent in putting the stratagem through. If he does nothing towards inventing or cooking up the repast; he is at least a happy and genial partaker of the banquet that others have prepared. — Feste, the jester, completes this illustrious group of laughing and laughter-moving personages. Though not, perhaps, quite so wise a fellow as Touchstone, of *As-You-Like-It* memory, nor endowed with so fluent and racy a fund of humour, he nevertheless has enough of both to meet all the demands of his situation. If, on the one hand, he never launches the ball of fun, neither, on the other, does he ever fail to do his part towards keeping it rolling. On the whole, he has a sufficiently facile and apposite gift at jesting out philosophy, and moralizing the scenes where he
moves; and whatever he has in that line is perfectly original with him. It strikes me, withal, as a rather noteworthy circumstance that both the comedy and the romance of the play meet together in him, as in their natural home. He is indeed a right jolly fellow; no note of mirth springs up but he has answering susceptibilities for it to light upon; but he also has at the same time a delicate vein of tender pathos in him; as appears by the touchingly-plaintive song he sings, which, by the way, is one of

The very sweetest Fancy culls or frames,
Where tenderness of heart is strong and deep.

I am not supposing this to be the measure of his lyrical invention, for the song probably is not of his making; but the selection marks at least the setting of his taste, or rather the tuning of his soul, and thus discovers a choice reserve of feeling laid up in his breast.

The Comic Proceedings.

Such are the scenes, such the characters that enliven Olivia’s mansion during the play: Olivia herself, calm, cheerful, of “smooth, discreet, and stable bearing,” hovering about them; sometimes unbending, never losing her dignity among them; often checking, oftener enjoying their merry-makings, and occasionally emerging from her seclusion to be plagued by the Duke’s message and bewitched by his messenger: and Viola, always perfect in her part, yet always shrinking from it, appearing among them from time to time on her embassies of love; sometimes a partaker, sometimes a provoker, sometimes the victim of their mischievous sport.

All this array of comicalities, exhilarating as it is in itself, is rendered doubly so by the frequent changes and playings-
in of poetry breathed from the sweetest spots of romance, and which "gives a very echo to the seat where Love is throned"; ideas and images of beauty creeping and stealing over the mind with footsteps so soft and delicate that we scarce know what touches us,—the motions of one that had learned to tread

As if the wind, not he, did walk,
Nor press'd a flower, nor bow'd a stalk.

Upon this portion of the play Hazlitt has some spirited remarks: "We have a friendship for Sir Toby; we patronize Sir Andrew; we have an understanding with the Clown, a sneaking kindness for Maria and her roggeries; we feel a regard for Malvolio, and sympathize with his gravity, his smiles, his cross-garters, his yellow stockings, and imprison ment: but there is something that excites in us a stronger feeling than all this."

**Olivia the Countess.**

Olivia is a considerable instance how much a fair and candid setting-forth may do to render an ordinary person attractive, and shows that for the homebred comforts and fireside tenour of life such persons after all are apt to be the best. Nor, though something commonplace in her make-up, such as the average of cultivated womanhood is always found to be, is she without bright and penetrative thoughts, whenever the occasion calls for them. Her reply to the Steward, when, by way of scorching the Clown, he "mar vels that her ladyship takes delight in such a barren rascal," gives the true texture of her mind and moral frame: "O, you are sick of self-love, Malvolio, and taste with a dis tempered appetite. To be generous, guiltless, and of free
disposition, is to take those things for bird-bolts that you
deem cannon-bullets. There is no slander in an allowed
Fool, though he do nothing but rail; nor no railing in a
known discreet man, though he do nothing but reprove.”
Practical wisdom enough to make the course of any house-
hold run smooth! The instincts of a happy, placid temper
have taught Olivia that there is as little of Christian virtue
as of natural benignity in stinging away the spirit of kindness
with a tongue of acid and acrimonious pietism. Her firm
and healthy pulse beats in sympathy with the sportiveness in
which the proper decorum of her station may not permit her
to bear an active part. And she is too considerate, withal,
not to look with indulgence on the pleasantries that are partly
meant to divert her thoughts, and air off a too vivid remem-
brance of her recent sorrows. Besides, she has gathered,
even under the discipline of her own afflictions, that as, on
the one hand, “what Nature makes us mourn she bids us
heal,” so, on the other, the free hilarities of wit and humour,
even though there be something of nonsense mixed up with
them, are a part of that “bland philosophy of life” which
helps to knit us up in the unions of charity and peace; that
they promote cheerfulness of temper, smooth down the lines
of care, sweeten away the asperities of the mind, make the
eye sparkling and lustrous; and, in short, do much of the
very best stitching in the embroidered web of friendship and
fair society. So that she finds abundant motive in reason,
with no impediment in religion, to refrain from spoiling the
merry passages of her friends and servants by looking black
or sour upon them.

Olivia is manifestly somewhat inclined to have her own
way. But then it must also be acknowledged that her way
is pretty apt to be right. This wilfulness, or something that
borders upon it, is shown alike in her impracticability to the Duke's solicitations, and in her pertinacity in soliciting his messenger. And it were well worth the while to know, if we could, how one so perverse in certain spots can manage not-withstanding to be so agreeable as a whole. Then too, if it seems rather naughty in her that she does not give the Duke a better chance to try his power upon her, she gets pretty well paid in falling a victim to the eloquence which her obstinacy stirs up. Nor is it altogether certain whether her conduct springs from a pride that will not listen where her fancy is not taken, or from an unambitious modesty that prefers not to "match above her degree." Her "beauty truly blent, whose red and white Nature's own sweet and cunning hand laid on," saves the credit of the fancy-smitten Duke in such an urgency of suit as might else breed some question of his manliness; while her winning infirmity, as expressed in the tender violence with which she hastens on "a contract and eternal bond of love" with the astonished and bewildered Sebastian, "that her most jealous and too doubtful soul may live at peace," shows how well the sternness of the brain may be tempered into amiability by the meekness of womanhood.

Manifold indeed are the attractions which the Poet has shed upon his heroes and heroines; yet perhaps the learned spirit of the man is more wisely apparent in the home-keeping virtues and unobtrusive beauty of his average characters. And surely the contemplation of Olivia may well suggest the question, whether the former be not sometimes too admirable to be so instructive as those whose graces walk more in the light of common day. At all events, the latter may best admonish us,

How Verse may build a princely throne
On humble truth.
Orsino the Duke.

Similar thoughts might aptly enough be suggested by the Duke, who, without any very splendid or striking qualities, manages somehow to be a highly agreeable and interesting person. His character is merely that of an accomplished gentleman, enraptured at the touch of music, and the sport of thick-thronging fancies. It is plain that Olivia has only enchanted his imagination, not won his heart; though he is not himself aware that such is the case. This fancy-sickness—for it appears to be nothing else—naturally renders him somewhat capricious and fantastical, "unstaid and skittish in his motions"; and, but for the exquisite poetry which it inspires him to utter, would rather excite our mirth than enlist our sympathy. To use an illustration from another play, Olivia is not so much his Juliet as his Rosaline; and perhaps a secret persuasion to that effect is the real cause of her rejecting his suit. Accordingly, when he sees her placed beyond his hope, he has no more trouble about her; but turns, and builds a true affection where, during the preoccupation of his imagination, so many sweet and tender appeals have been made to his heart.

In Shakespeare's delineations as in nature, we may commonly note that love, in proportion as it is deep and genuine, is also inward and reserved. To be voluble, to be fond of spreading itself in discourse, or of airing itself in the fineries of speech, seems indeed quite against the instinct of that passion; and its best eloquence is when it ties up the tongue, and steals out in other modes of expression, the flushing of the cheeks and the mute devotion of the eyes. In its purest forms, it is apt to be a secret even unto itself, the subjects of it knowing indeed that something ails them,
but not knowing exactly what. So that the most effective
love-making is involuntary and unconscious. And I suspect
that, as a general thing, if the true lover's passion be not
returned before it is spoken, it stands little chance of being
returned at all.

Now, in Orsino's case, the passion, or whatever else it
may be, is too much without to be thoroughly sound within.
Like Malvolio's virtue, it is too glass-gazing, too much en-
amoured of its own image, and renders him too apprehensive
that it will be the death of him, if disappointed of its object.
Accordingly he talks too much about it, and his talking
about it is too ingenious withal; it makes his tongue run
glib and fine with the most charming divisions of poetic
imagery and sentiment; all which shrewdly infers that he
lacks the genuine thing, and has mistaken something else
for it. Yet, when we hear him dropping such riches as this,

O, when mine eyes did see Olivia first,
Methought she purged the air of pestilence!

and this,

She that hath a heart of that fine frame
To pay this debt of love but to a brother,
How will she love when the rich golden shaft
Hath kill'd the flock of all affections else
That live in her!

we can hardly help wishing that such were indeed the true
vernacular of that passion. But it is not so, and on the
whole it is much better than so: for love, that which is rightly
so called, uses a diviner language even than that; and this
it does when, taking the form of religion, it sweetly and silently
embodies itself in deeds. And this is the love that Southey
had in mind when he wrote,

They sin who tell us love can die.


The Heroine.

In Viola, divers things that were else not a little scattered are thoroughly composed; her character being the unifying power that draws all the parts into true dramatic consistency. Love-taught herself, it was for her to teach both Orsino and Olivia how to love: indeed she plays into all the other parts, causing them to embrace and cohere within the compass of her circulation. And yet, like some subtile agency, working most where we perceive it least, she does all this without rendering herself a special prominence in the play.

It is observable that the Poet has left it uncertain whether Viola was in love with the Duke before assuming her disguise, or whether her heart was won afterwards by reading "the book even of his secret soul" while wooing another. Nor does it much matter whether her passion were the motive or the consequence of her disguise, since in either case such a man as Olivia describes him to be might well find his way to tougher hearts than Viola's. But her love has none of the skittishness and unrest which mark the Duke's passion for Olivia: complicated out of all the elements of her being, it is strong without violence; never mars the innate modesty of her character; is deep as life, tender as infancy, pure, peaceful, and unchangeable as truth.

Mrs. Jameson—who, with the best right to know what belongs to woman, unites a rare talent for taking others along with her, and letting them see the choice things which her apprehensive eye discerns, and who, in respect of Shakespeare's heroines, has left little for others to do but quote her words—remarks that "in Viola a sweet consciousness of her feminine nature is for ever breaking through her masquerade: she plays her part well, but never forgets, nor allows us to forget, that
she is playing a part.” And, sure enough, every thing about her save her dress “is semblative a woman’s part”: she has none of the assumption of a pert, saucy, waggish manhood, which so delights us in Rosalind in As You Like It; but she has that which, if not better in itself, is more becoming in her,—“the inward and spiritual grace of modesty” pervading all she does and says. Even in her railleries with the comic characters there is all the while an instinctive drawing-back of female delicacy, touching our sympathies, and causing us to feel most deeply what she is, when those with whom she is playing least suspect her to be other than she seems. And the same is true concerning her passion, of which she never so speaks as to compromise in the least the delicacies and proprieties of her sex; yet she lets fall many things from which the Duke easily gathers the drift and quality of her feelings directly he learns what she is. But the great charm of her character lies in a moral rectitude so perfect and so pure as to be a secret unto itself; a clear, serene composure of truth, mingling so freely and smoothly with the issues of life, that while, and perhaps even because she is herself unconscious of it, she is never once tempted to abuse or to shirk her trust, though it be to play the attorney in a cause that makes so much against herself. In this respect she presents an instructive contrast to Malvolio, who has much virtue indeed, yet not so much but that the counter-pullings have rendered him intensely conscious of it, and so drawn him into the vice, at once hateful and ridiculous, of moral pride. The virtue that fosters conceit and censoriousness is like a dyspeptic stomach, the owner of which is made all too sensible of it by the conversion of his food to wind,—a wind that puffs him up. On the other hand, a virtue that breathes so freely as not to be aware of its breathing is the right moral
analogue of a thoroughly eupeptic state; as "the healthy
know not of their health, but only the sick."

Sundry critics have censured, some of them pretty sharply,
the improbability involved in the circumstance of Viola and
Sebastian resembling each other so closely as to be mistaken
the one for the other. Even so just and liberal a critic as
Hallam has stumbled at this circumstance, so much so as
quite to disconcert his judgment of the play. The improba-
bility is indeed palpable enough; yet I have to confess that
it has never troubled me, any more than certain things not
less improbable in *As You Like It*. But even if it had, still
I should not hold it any just ground for faulting the Poet, in-
asmuch as the circumstance was an accepted article in the
literary faith of his time. But indeed this censure proceeds
from that old heresy which supposes the proper effect of a
work of art to depend on the imagined reality of the matter
presented; that is, which substitutes the delusions of insan-
ity for the half-voluntary illusions of a rational and refining
pleasure.

**Sebastian.**

Of Sebastian himself the less need be said, forasmuch as
the leading traits of his character, in my conception of it,
have been substantially evolved in what I have said of his
sister. For the two are really as much alike in the inward
texture of their souls as in their visible persons; at least
their mutual resemblance in the former respect is as close
as were compatible with proper manliness in the one, and
proper womanliness in the other. Personal bravery, for
example, is as characteristic of him as modesty is of her.
In simplicity, in gentleness, in rectitude, in delicacy of
mind, and in all the particulars of what may be termed com-
plexional harmony and healthiness of nature,—in these they are as much twins as in birth and feature. Therewithal they are both alike free from any notes of a pampered self-consciousness. Yet in all these points a nice discrimination of the masculine and feminine proprieties is everywhere maintained. In a word, there is no confusion of sex in the delineation of them: as like as they are, without and within, the man and the woman are nevertheless perfectly differentiated in all the essential attributes of each.

The conditions of the plot did not require nor even permit Sebastian to be often or much in sight. We have indeed but little from him, but that little is intensely charged with significance; in fact, I hardly know of another instance in Shakespeare where so much of character is accomplished in so few words. The scene where he is first met with consists merely of a brief dialogue between him and Antonio, the man who a little before has recovered him from the perils of shipwreck. He there has neither time nor heart for anything but gratitude to his deliverer, and sorrow at the supposed death of his sister: yet his expression of these is so ordered as to infer all the parts of a thorough gentleman; the efficacies of a generous nature, of good breeding, of liberal culture, and of high principle, all concurring in one result, and thus filling up the right idea of politeness as "benevolence guided by intelligence."

General Characteristics.

The society delineated in this play is singularly varied and composite; the names of the persons being a mixture of Spanish, Italian, and English. Though the scene is laid in Illyria, the period of the action is undefined, and the manners and costumes are left in the freedom of whatever
time we may choose antecedent to that of the composition, provided we do not exceed the proper limits of imaginative reason.

This variety in the grouping of the persons, whether so intended or not, very well accords with the spirit in which, or the occasion for which, the title indicates the play to have been written. Twelfth Day, anciently so called as being the twelfth after Christmas, is the day whereon the Church has always kept the feast of “The Epiphany, or the Manifestation of Christ to the Gentiles.” So that, in preparing a Twelfth-Night entertainment, the idea of fitness might aptly suggest, that national lines and distinctions should be lost in the paramount ties of a common Religion; and that people the most diverse in kindred and tongue should draw together in the sentiment of “one Lord, one Faith, one Baptism”; their social mirth thus relishing of universal Brotherhood.

The general scope and plan of Twelfth Night, as a work of art, is hinted in its second title; all the comic elements being, as it were, thrown out simultaneously, and held in a sort of equipoise; so that the readers are left to fix the preponderance where it best suits their several bent or state of mind, and each, within certain limits and conditions, may take the work in what sense he will. For, where no special prominence is given to any one thing, there is the wider scope for individual aptitude or preference, and the greater freedom for each to select for virtual prominence such parts as will best knit in with what is uppermost in his thoughts.

The significance of the title is further traceable in a peculiar spontaneousness running through the play. Replete as it is with humours and oddities, they all seem to spring up of their own accord; the comic characters being free alike
from disguises and pretensions, and seeking merely to let off their inward redundancy; caring nothing at all whether everybody or nobody sees them, so they may have their whim out, and giving utterance to folly and nonsense simply because they cannot help it. Thus their very deformities have a certain grace, since they are genuine and of Nature's planting: absurdity and whimsicality are indigenous to the soil, and shoot up in free, happy luxuriance, from the life that is in them. And by thus setting the characters out in their happiest aspects, the Poet contrives to make them simply ludicrous and diverting, instead of putting upon them the constructions of wit or spleen, and thereby making them ridiculous or contemptible. Hence it is that we so readily enter into a sort of fellowship with them; their foibles and follies being shown up in such a spirit of good-humour, that the subjects themselves would rather join with us in laughing than be angered or hurt at the exhibition. Moreover the high and the low are here seen moving in free and familiar intercourse, without any apparent consciousness of their respective ranks: the humours and comicalities of the play keep running and frisking in among the serious parts, to their mutual advantage; the connection between them being of a kind to be felt, not described.

Thus the piece overflows with the genial, free-and-easy spirit of a merry Twelfth Night. Chance, caprice, and intrigue, it is true, are brought together in about equal portions; and their meeting and crossing and mutual tripping cause a deal of perplexity and confusion, defeating the hopes of some, suspending those of others: yet here, as is often the case in actual life, from this conflict of opposites order and happiness spring up as the final result: if what we call accident thwart one cherished purpose, it draws on something
better, blighting a full-blown expectation now, to help the blossoming of a nobler one hereafter: and it so happens in the end that all the persons but two either have what they will, or else grow willing to have what comes to their hands.

Such, I believe, as nearly as I know how to deliver it, is the impression I hold of this charming play; an impression that has survived, rather say, has kept growing deeper and deeper through many years of study, and after many, many an hour spent in quiet communion with its scenes and characters. In no one of his dramas, to my sense, does the Poet appear to have been in a healthier or happier frame of mind, more free from the fascination of the darker problems of humanity, more at peace with himself and all the world, or with Nature playing more kindly and genially at his heart, and from thence diffusing her benedictions through his whole establishment. So that, judging from this transpiration of his inner poetic life, I should conclude him to have had abundant cause for saying,

Eternal blessings on the Muse,
And her divine employment; —
The blameless Muse who trains her sons
For hope and calm enjoyment.
TWELFTH NIGHT;
OR, WHAT YOU WILL.

PERSONS REPRESENTED.

ORSINO, Duke of Illyria.  |  SIR ANDREW AGUECHEEK.
SEBASTIAN, a young Gentleman.  |  MALVOLIO, Steward to Olivia.
ANTONIO, a Sea Captain, Friend to  |  FABIAN, A Clown,}
  Sebastian.
A Sea Captain, Friend to Viola.
VALENTINE, Gentlemen attending on the Duke.
CURIO,  }
SIR TOBY BELCH, Uncle of Olivia.  |  OLIVIA, a Countess.
                     }
Lords, a Priest, Sailors, Officers, Musicians, and other attendants.

SCENE, a City in Illyria; and the Sea-coast near it.

ACT I.


Enter the Duke, Lords, and Curio; Musicians attending.

Duke. If music be the food of love, play on;
Give me excess of it, that, surfeiting,
The appetite may sicken, and so die.
That strain again! it had a dying fall: ¹

¹ The sense of dying, as here used, is technically expressed by diminuendo.
O, it came o'er my ear like the sweet south,
That breathes upon a bank of violets,
Stealing and giving odour! — Enough; no more:
'Tis not so sweet now as it was before.—
O spirit of love, how quick and fresh art thou!
That, notwithstanding thy capacity
Receiveth as the sea, nought enters there,
Of what validity and pitch soever,
But falls into abatement and low price,
Even in a minute! so full of shapes is fancy,
That it alone is high-fantastical.

_Cur._ Will you go hunt, my lord?

_Duke._

_Cur._ The hart.

_Duke._ Why, so I do, the noblest that I have:
O, when mine eyes did see Olivia first,
Methought she purged the air of pestilence!
That instant was I turn'd into a hart;
And my desires, like fell and cruel hounds,
E'er since pursue me.—

_Enter Valentine._

_How now! what news from her?

_Val._ So please my lord, I might not be admitted;
But from her handmaid do return this answer:

_2 Validity is worth, value._ So in _All's Well_, v. 3: "Behold this ring, whose high respect and rich _validity_ did lack a parallel."

_3 Fancy is continually used by old writers for love._ There is a play on the word here.

_4 Shakespeare seems to think men cautioned against too great familiarity with forbidden beauty by the fable of Actæon, who saw Diana naked, and was torn to pieces by his hounds; as a man indulging his eyes or his imagination with a view of a woman he cannot gain, has his heart torn with incessant longing._
The element itself, till seven years hence,
Shall not behold her face at ample view;
But, like a cloistress, she will veiled walk,
And water once a day her chamber round
With eye-offending brine: all this to season
A brother’s dead love, which she would keep fresh
And lasting in her sad remembrance.

*Duke.* O, she that hath a heart of that fine frame
To pay this debt of love but to a brother,
How will she love, when the rich golden shaft
Hath kill’d the flock of all affections else
That live in her; when liver, brain, and heart,
These sovereign thrones, her sweet perfections,
Are all supplied and fill’d with one self king!—
Away before me to sweet beds of flowers:
Love-thoughts lie rich when canopied with bowers. [*Exeunt.*

---

**SCENE II. — The Sea-coast.**

*Enter Viola, Captain, and Sailors.*

**Vio.** What country, friends, is this?

**Cap.** Illyria, lady.

**Vio.** And what should I do in Illyria?

---

5 *Element* here means the *sky*. So in *2 Henry IV.*, iv. 3: “And I, in the clear sky of fame, o’ershine you as much as the full Moon doth the cinders of the *element*, which show like pins’ heads to her”; *cinders* meaning, of course, the *stars.*

6 *To season* is to *preserve*. In *All’s Well*, i. 1, tears are said to be “the best brine a maiden can *season* her praise in.”

7 The liver, brain, and heart were regarded as the special seats of passion, judgment, and affection, and so were put respectively for their supposed occupants.—*One self king* is equivalent to *one and the same king*. The Poet often uses *self* with the force of *self-same*. 
My brother he is in Elysium.
Perchance he is not drown'd: what think you, sailors?
   Cap. It is perchance¹ that you yourself were saved.
   Vio. O my poor brother! and so perchance may he be.
   Cap. True, madam: and, to comfort you with chance,
Assure yourself, after our ship did split,
When you, and this poor number saved with you,
Hung on our driving boat,² I saw your brother,
Most provident in peril, bind himself—
Courage and hope both teaching him the practice—
To a strong mast that lived upon the sea;
Where, like Arion on the dolphin's back,³
I saw him hold acquaintance with the waves
So long as I could see.
   Vio. For saying so, there's gold:
Mine own escape unfoldeth to my hope,
Whereto thy speech serves for authority,
The like of him. Know'st thou this country?
   Cap. Ay, madam, well; for I was bred and born

1 Viola first uses *perchance* in the sense of *perhaps*; the Captain in that of *by chance, accident, or good luck.*
2 "Driving boat" means, I suppose, boat *driven before the storm.*
3 Arion's feat is worthily described in Wordsworth's poem *On the Power of sound:*

    Thy skill, Arion,
Could humanize the creatures of the sea,
Where men were monsters. A last grace he craves,
Leave for one chant; — the dulcet sound
Steals from the deck o'er willing waves,
And listening dolphins gather round.
Self-cast, as with a desperate course,
Mid that strange audience, he bestrides
A proud one docile as a managed horse;
And singing, while the accordant hand
Sweeps his harp, the master rides.
SCENE II.

WHAT YOU WILL.

Not three hours' travel from this very place.

Vio. Who governs here?

Cap. A noble duke, in nature as in name. [1]

Vio. What is his name?

Cap. Orsino.

Vio. Orsino! I have heard my father name him:

He was a bachelor then.

Cap. And so is now, or was so very late;

For but a month ago I went from hence,

And then 'twas fresh in murmur,—as, you know,

What great ones do, the less will prattle of,—

That he did seek the love of fair Olivia.

Vio. What's she?

Cap. A virtuous maid, the daughter of a count

That died some twelvemonth since; then leaving her

In the protection of his son, her brother,

Who shortly also died: for whose dear loss,

They say, she hath abjured the company

And sight of men.

Vio. O, that I served that lady,

And might not be deliver'd to the world,

Till I had made mine own occasion mellow,

What my estate is! [2]

Cap. That were hard to compass;

Because she will admit no kind of suit,

No, not the Duke's.

[1] An allusion, no doubt, to the great and well-known Italian family of Orsini, from whom the name Orsino is borrowed.

[2] Viola is herself a nobleman's daughter; and she here wishes that her birth and quality — her estate — may be kept secret from the world, till she has a ripe occasion for making known who she is. Certain later passages in the play seem to infer that she has already fallen in love with Duke Orsino from the descriptions she has had of him.
Vio. There is a fair behaviour in thee, captain;
And though that nature with a beauteous wall
Doth oft close-in pollution, yet of thee
I well believe thou hast a mind that suits
With this thy fair and outward character.
I pr'ythee, — and I'll pay thee bounteously,—
Conceal me what I am; and be my aid
For such disguise as haply shall become
The form of my intent. I'll serve this Duke:
Thou shalt present me as an eunuch to him: ⁶
It may be worth thy pains; for I can sing,
And speak to him in many sorts of music,
That will allow me very worth his service.⁷
What else may hap, to time I will commit;
Only shape thou thy silence to my wit.

Cap. Be you his eunuch, and your mute I'll be:
When my tongue blabs, then let mine eyes not see.

Vio. I thank thee: lead me on. [Exeunt.

SCENE III. — A Room in Olivia's House.

Enter Sir Toby Belch and Maria.

Sir To. What a plague means my niece, to take the death of her brother thus? I am sure care's an enemy to life.

Mar. By my troth, Sir Toby, you must come in earlier o'

⁶ This plan of Viola's was not pursued, as it would have been inconsistent with the plot of the play. She was presented as a page, not as an eunuch.

⁷ "Will approve me worth his service"; that is, "will prove that I am worth," &c. This use of to allow for to approve is very common in old English; and Shakespeare has it repeatedly. So in King Lear, ii. 4: "O Heavens, if your sweet sway allow obedience."
nights: your cousin,¹ my lady, takes great exceptions to your ill hours.

Sir To. Why, let her except before excepted.²

Mar. Ay, but you must confine yourself within the modest limits of order.

Sir To. Confine! I'll confine myself no finer than I am:³ these clothes are good enough to drink in; and so be these boots too: an they be not, let them hang themselves in their own straps.

Mar. That quaffing and drinking will undo you: I heard my lady talk of it yesterday; and of a foolish knight that you brought in one night here to be her wooer.

Sir To. Who, Sir Andrew Aguecheek?

Mar. Ay, he.

Sir To. He's as tall a man⁴ as any's in Illyria.

Mar. What's that to the purpose?

Sir To. Why, he has three thousand ducats a year.

Mar. Ay, but he'll have but a year in all these ducats: he's a very fool and a prodigal.

Sir To. Fie, that you'll say so! he plays o' the viol-de-gamboys,⁵ and speaks three or four languages word for word without book, and hath all the good gifts of nature.

¹ Cousin was used, not only for what we so designate, but also for nephew, niece, grandchild, and, indeed, kindred in general.
² The Poet here shows his familiarity with the technical language of the Law; Sir Toby being made to run a whimsical play upon the old legal phrase, "those things being excepted which were before excepted."
³ Sir Toby purposely misunderstands confine, taking it for refine.
⁴ The use of tall for bold, valiant, stout, was common in Shakespeare's time, and occurs several times in his works. Sir Toby is evidently bantering with the word, Sir Andrew being equally deficient in spirit and in stature.
⁵ Viol-de-gamboys appears to be a Tobyism for viol da gamba, an instrument much like the violoncello: so called because it was held between the
Mar. He hath, indeed, all most natural: for, besides that he's a fool, he's a great quarreller; and, but that he hath the gift of a coward to allay the gust he hath in quarrelling, 'tis thought among the prudent he would quickly have the gift of a grave.

Sir To. By this hand, they are scoundrels and substractors that say so of him. Who are they?

Mar. They that add, moreover, he's drunk nightly in your company.

Sir To. With drinking healths to my niece: I'll drink to her as long as there is a passage in my throat and drink in Illyria: he's a coward and a coistrel that will not drink to my niece till his brains turn o' the toe like a parish-top. What, wench! Castiliano volto; for here comes Sir Andrew Agueface.

Enter Sir Andrew Agueface.

Sir And. Sir Toby Belch; how now, Sir Toby Belch!

legs; gamba being Italian for leg. According to Gifford, the instrument "was an indispensable piece of furniture in every fashionable house, where it hung up in the best chamber, much as the guitar does in Spain, and the violin in Italy, to be played on at will, and to fill up the void of conversation. Whoever pretended to fashion affected an acquaintance with this instrument."

6 Maria plays upon natural, which, in one of its senses, meant a fool. See As You Like It, page 15, note 3. — There is also an equivocation in all most, one of the senses being almost.

7 Gust is taste, from the Italian gusto; not much used now, though its sense lives in disgust.

8 Subtractors is another Tobyism for detractors.

9 Holined classes coistrels among the unwarlike followers of an army. It was thus used as a term of contempt.

10 A large top was formerly kept in each village for the peasantry to amuse themselves with in frosty weather. "He sleeps like a town-top," is an old proverb.

11 Meaning, "Put on a Castilian face"; that is, grave, solemn looks.
Sir To. Sweet Sir Andrew!
Sir And. Bless you, fair shrew.
Mar. And you too, sir.
Sir To. Accost, Sir Andrew, accost.¹²
Sir And. What's that?
Sir To. My niece's chambermaid.
Sir And. Good Mistress Accost, I desire better acquaintance.

Mar. My name is Mary, sir.
Sir And. Good Mistress Mary Accost,—
Sir To. You mistake, knight: accost is front her, board her, woo her, assail her.
Sir And. By my troth, I would not undertake her in this company. Is that the meaning of accost?
Mar. Fare you well, gentlemen.
Sir To. An thou let her part so,¹³ Sir Andrew, would thou mightst never draw sword again.
Sir And. An you part so, mistress, I would I might never draw sword again. Fair lady, do you think you have fools in hand?

Mar. Sir, I have not you by the hand.
Sir And. Marry, but you shall have; and here's my hand.
Mar. Now, sir, thought is free: I pray you, bring your hand to the buttery-bar, and let it drink.¹⁴

¹² Sir Toby speaks more learnedly than intelligibly here, using accost in its original sense. The word is from the French accoster, to come side by side, or to approach. Accost is seldom used thus, which accounts for Sir Andrew's mistake.
¹³ Part for depart. A frequent usage.
¹⁴ The buttery was formerly a place for all sorts of gastric refreshments, and a dry hand was considered a symptom of debility.—The relevancy of "thought is free" may be not very apparent. Perhaps the following from Lyly's Euphues, 1581, will illustrate it: "None, quoth she, can judge of wit
Sir And. Wherefore, sweet-heart? what's your metaphor?

Mar. It's dry, sir.

Sir And. Why, I think so: I am not such an ass but I can keep my hand dry. But what's your jest?

Mar. A dry jest, sir.

Sir And. Are you full of them?

Mar. Ay, sir, I have them at my fingers' ends: marry, now I let go your hand, I am barren. [Exit.

Sir To. O knight, thou lack'st a cup of canary: when did I see thee so put down?

Sir And. Never in your life, I think; unless you saw canary put me down. Methinks sometimes I have no more wit than a Christian or an ordinary man has: but I am a great eater of beef, and I believe that does harm to my wit.15

Sir To. No question.

Sir And. An I thought that, I'd forswear it. I'll ride home to-morrow, Sir Toby.

Sir To. Pourquoi, my dear knight?

Sir And. What is pourquoi? do or not do? I would I had bestowed that time in the tongues that I have in fencing, dancing, and bear-baiting: O, had I but followed the Arts!

Sir To. Then hadst thou had an excellent head of hair.16

but they that have it. Why, then, quoth he, dost thou think me a fool? Thought is free, my lord, quoth she."

15 So in The Haven of Health, 1584: "Galen affirmeth that biefe maketh grosse bloude and engendreth melancholie, especially if it is much eaten, and if such as doe eat it be of a melancholy complexion."

16 Sir Toby is quibbling between tongues and tongs, the latter meaning, of course, the well-known instrument for curling the hair. The two words were often written, and probably sounded, alike, or nearly so. So in the introduction to The Faerie Queene: "O, helpe thou my weake wit, and
Sir And. Why, would that have mended my hair?
Sir To. Past question; for thou see’st it will not curl by nature.
Sir And. But it becomes me well enough, does’t not?
Sir To. Excellent; it hangs like flax on a distaff; and I hope to see a housewife take thee and spin it off.
Sir And. Faith, I’ll home to-morrow, Sir Toby: your niece will not be seen; or, if she be, it’s four to one she’ll none of me: the Count himself here hard by wooes her.
Sir To. She’ll none o’ the Count: she’ll not match above her degree, neither in estate, years, nor wit; I have heard her swear’t. Tut, there’s life in’t, man.
Sir And. I’ll stay a month longer. I am a fellow o’ the strangest mind i’ the world; I delight in masques and revels sometimes altogether.
Sir To. Art thou good at these kickshawses, knight?
Sir And. As any man in Illyria, whatsoever he be, under the degree of my betters; and yet I will not compare with a nobleman.
Sir To. What is thy excellence in a galliard, knight?
Sir And. Faith, I can cut a caper.

sharpen my dull tong.” Here the word rhymes with long and wrong. For this explanation, which is not more ingenious than apt and just, I am indebted to a private letter from Mr. Joseph Crosby.

17. The titles Duke and Count are used indifferently of Orsino. The reason of this, if there be any, is not apparent. The Poet of course understood the difference between a duke and a count, well enough. White suggests that in a revival of the play he may have concluded to change the title, and then, for some cause, left the change incomplete.

18. Equivalent to “there is hope in it.” It was a phrase of the time.

19. A Tobyism, probably, for kickshaws, an old word for trifles or knickknacks; said to be a corruption of the French quelque chose.
Sir To. And I can cut the mutton to't.

Sir And. And I think I have the back-trick simply as strong as any man in Illyria.

Sir To. Wherefore are these things hid? wherefore have these gifts a curtain before 'em? are they like to take dust, like Mistress Mall's picture? why dost thou not go to church in a galliard, and come home in a coranto? My very walk should be a jig. What dost thou mean? is it a world to hide virtues in? I did think, by the excellent constitution of thy leg, it was form'd under the star of a galliard.

Sir And. Ay, 'tis strong, and it does indifferent well in a flame-colour'd stock. Shall we set about some revels?

Sir To. What shall we do else? were we not born under Taurus?

Sir And. Taurus! that's sides and heart.

Sir To. No, sir; it is legs and thighs. Let me see thee

---

20 A double pun is probably intended here; the meaning being, "If you can do the man's part in a galliard, I can do the woman's." Mutton was sometimes used as a slang term for a woman.

21 Mistress Mall was a very celebrated character of the Poet's time, who played many parts (not on the stage) in male attire. Her real name was Mary Frith, though commonly known as Moll Cutpurse. In 1610 a book was entered at the Stationers, called The Madde Prankes of Merry Moll of the Banksid, with her Walks in Man's Apparel, and to what purpose, by John Day. Middleton and Dekker wrote a comedy entitled The Roaring Girl, of which she was the heroine. Portraits were commonly curtained to keep off the dust.

22 Galliard and coranto are names of dances: the galliard, a lively, stirring dance, from a Spanish word signifying cheerful, gay; the coranto, a quick dance for two persons, described as "traversing and running, as our country dance, but having twice as much in a strain."

23 "A flame-colour'd stock" is a pretty emphatic sort of stocking. "Indifferent well" is tolerably well. A frequent usage.

24 Alluding to the medical astrology of the almanacs. Both the knights are wrong; the zodiacal sign Taurus having reference to the neck and throat. The point seems to be that Sir Toby is poking fun at Sir Andrew's conceit of agility: "I can cut a caper."
caper. [Sir AND. dances.] Ha! higher: ha, ha! excellent!

[Exeunt.

SCENE IV.—An Apartment in the Duke’s Palace.

Enter Valentine, and Viola in Man’s attire.

Val. If the Duke continue these favours towards you, Cesario, you are like to be much advanced: he hath known you but three days, and already you are no stranger.

Vio. You either fear his humour or my negligence, that you call in question the continuance of his love: is he inconstant, sir, in his favours?

Val. No, believe me.

Vio. I thank you. Here comes the Count.

Enter the Duke, Curio, and Attendants.

Duke. Who saw Cesario, ho?

Vio. On your attendance, my lord; here.

Duke. Stand you awhile aloof.—Cesario, Thou know’st no less but all;¹ I have unclasp’d To thee the book even of my secret soul: Therefore, good youth, address thy gait² unto her; Be not denied access, stand at her doors, And tell them, there thy fixèd foot shall grow Till thou have audience.

Vio. Sure, my noble lord,

¹ That is, “no less than all.” This use of but with the force of than is quite frequent in Shakespeare. In As You Like It, v. 2, page 126, we have five instances of it in one speech: “Your brother and my sister no sooner met, but they looked”; &c.

² The meaning is, “direct thy course,” or thy steps. The Poet often uses to address in the sense of to make ready or prepare; and here the meaning is much the same.
If she be so abandon'd to her sorrow
As it is spoke, she never will admit me.

_Duke_. Be clamorous, and leap all civil bounds,
Rather than make unprofited⁸ return.

_Vio_. Say I do speak with her, my lord, what then?

_Duke_. O, then unfold the passion of my love,
Surprise her with discourse of my dear faith!
It shall become thee well to act my woes;
She will attend it better in thy youth
Than in a nuncio of more grave aspect.

_Vio_. I think not so, my lord.

_Duke_. Dear lad, believe it;
For they shall yet belie thy happy years,
That say thou art a man: Diana's lip
Is not more smooth and rubious;⁴ thy small pipe
Is as the maiden's organ, shrill in sound;
And all is semblative a woman's part.
I know thy constellation⁵ is right apt
For this affair.—Some four or five attend him;
All, if you will; for I myself am best
When least in company.—Prosper well in this,
And thou shalt live as freely as thy lord,
To call his fortunes thine.

_Vio_. I'll do my best
To woo your lady:—[Aside.] yet, a barful strife!⁶
Whoe'er I woo, myself would be his wife.  [Exeunt.

---

⁸ *Unprofited* for *unprofitable*. Shakespeare often uses the endings -able and -ed indiscriminately. So he has *detested* for *detestable*, unnumbered for innumerable, unavoided for unavoidable, and many others.

⁴ Rubious is *red* or *rosy*. This sense lives in *ruby* and *rubicund*.

⁵ An astrological allusion. A man's constellation is the star that was in the ascendant at his birth, and so determined what he had a genius for.

⁶ A strife or undertaking *full of bars or impediments*.
Scene V. — A Room in Olivia’s House.

Enter Maria and the Clown.

Mar. Nay, either tell me where thou hast been, or I will not open my lips so wide as a bristle may enter in way of thy excuse: my lady will hang thee for thy absence.

Clo. Let her hang me: he that is well hang’d in this world needs to fear no colours.¹

Mar. Make that good.

Clo. He shall see none to fear.

Mar. A good lenten answer.² I can tell thee where that saying was born, of, I fear no colours.

Clo. Where, good Mistress Mary?

Mar. In the wars; and that may you be bold to say in your foolery.

Clo. Well, God give them wisdom that have it; and those that are fools, let them use their talents.

Mar. Yet you will be hang’d for being so long absent; or, ‘to be turn’d away,—is not that as good as a hanging to you?

Clo. Many a good hanging prevents a bad marriage; and, for turning away, let Summer bear it out.

Mar. You are resolute, then?

Clo. Not so, neither; but I am resolved on two points.

¹ Both the origin of this phrase and the meaning attached to it, notwithstanding Maria’s explanation, are still obscure. Colours is still used for flag; and probably it is here to be taken in a figurative sense for enemy.

² Probably a short or spare answer; like the diet used in Lent. Lenten might be applied to any thing that marked the season of Lent. Thus Taylor the water-poet speaks of “a lenten top,” which people amused themselves with during Lent; and in Hamlet we have, “what lenten entertainment the players shall receive from you.”
Mar. That, if one break, the other will hold; or, if both break, your gaskins fall.3

Clo. Apt, in good faith; very apt. Well, go thy way; if Sir Toby would leave drinking, thou wert as witty a piece of Eve's flesh as any in Illyria.

Mar. Peace, you rogue, no more o' that. Here comes my lady: make your excuse wisely, you were best. [Exit.

Clo. Wit, an't be thy will, put me into good fooling! Those wits that think they have thee do very oft prove fools; and I, that am sure I lack thee, may pass for a wise man: for what says Quinapalus?4 Better a witty fool than a foolish wit.—

Enter Olivia and Malvolio.

God bless thee, lady!

Oli. Take the Fool away.

Clo. Do you not hear, fellows? Take away the lady.

Oli. Go to, you're a dry Fool; I'll no more of you: besides, you grow dishonest.

Clo. Two faults, madonna, that drink and good counsel will amend: for, give the dry Fool drink, then is the Fool not dry: bid the dishonest man mend himself; if he mend, he is no longer dishonest; if he cannot, let the botcher mend him. Any thing that's mended is but patch'd: virtue that transgresses is but patch'd with sin; and sin that amends is but patch'd with virtue: if that this simple syllogism will serve, so; if it will not, what remedy? As there is no true dishonour

3 Maria quibbles upon points. Gaskins was the name of a man's nether garment, large hose, or trousers; and the points were the tags or laces which, being tied, held them up.

4 Quinapalus is an imaginary author. To invent or to coin names and authorities for the nonce, seems to be a part of this Clown's humour.
but calamity, so beauty's a flower. — The lady bade take away
the Fool; therefore, I say again, take her away.

Oli. Sir, I bade them take away you.

Clo. Misprision in the highest degree! Lady, *cucullus non
facit monachum*; ⁵ that's as much as to say, I wear not mot-
ley in my brain. Good madonna, give me leave to prove you
a fool.

Oli. Can you do it?

Clo. Dexteriously, good madonna.

Oli. Make your proof.

Clo. I must catechize you for it, madonna: good my
mouse of virtue, answer me.

Oli. Well, sir, for want of other idleness, I'll bide your
proof.

Clo. Good madonna, why mourn'st thou?

Oli. Good Fool, for my brother's death.

Clo. I think his soul is in Hell, madonna.

Oli. I know his soul is in Heaven, Fool.

Clo. The more fool, madonna, to mourn for your brother's
soul being in Heaven. — Take away the fool, gentlemen.

Oli. What think you of this Fool, Malvolio? doth he not
mend?

Mal. Yes, and shall do till the pangs of death shake him:
infirmity, that decays the wise, doth ever make the better
fool.

Clo. God send you, sir, a speedy infirmity, for the better
increasing your folly! Sir Toby will be sworn that I am no
fox; but he will not pass his word for twopence that you are
no fool.

⁵ A common proverb; literally, "a hood does not make a monk." Shakespeare has it elsewhere.
Oli. How say you to that, Malvolio?

Mal. I marvel your ladyship takes delight in such a barren rascal: I saw him put down the other day with an ordinary fool, that has no more brain than a stone. Look you now, he's out of his guard already; unless you laugh and minister occasion to him, he is gagged. I protest, I take those wise men, that crow so at these set kind of Fools, to be no better than the Fools' zanies.

Oli. O, you are sick of self-love, Malvolio, and taste with a distemper'd appetite. To be generous, guiltless, and of free disposition, is to take those things for bird-bolts that you deem cannon-bullets: there is no slander in an allow'd Fool, though he do nothing but rail; nor no railing in a known discreet man, though he do nothing but reprove.

Clo. Now Mercury endue thee with leasing, for thou speak'st well of Fools!

6 The sany in Shakespeare's day was the attenuated mime of the mimic. He was the servant or attendant of the professional clown, who accompanied him on the stage or in the ring, attempting to imitate his tricks, and adding to the general merriment by his ludicrous failures and comic imbecility. It is this characteristic, not merely of mimicry, but of weak and abortive mimicry, that gives its distinctive meaning to the word, and colours it with a special tinge of contempt. This feature of the early stage has descended to our own times, and may still be found in the performances of the circus. We have ourselves seen the clown and the sany in the ring together; the clown doing clever tricks, the sany provoking immense laughter by his ludicrous failures in attempting to imitate them. — Edinburgh Review, July, 1869.

7 Bird-bolts were short thick arrows with obtuse ends, used for shooting young rooks and other birds.

8 An allow'd Fool was the domestic or court Fool, like Touchstone in As You Like It; that is, the jester by profession, who dressed in motley; with whom folly was an art; and whose functions are so admirably set forth by Jaques in the play just mentioned, ii. 7.

9 The Clown means, that unless Olivia lied she could not "speak well of Fools"; therefore he prays Mercury to endue her with leasing. Leasing
Re-enter Maria.

Mar. Madam, there is at the gate a young gentleman much desires to speak with you.

Oli. From the Count Orsino, is it?

Mar. I know not, madam: 'tis a fair young man, and well attended.

Oli. Who of my people hold him in delay?

Mar. Sir Toby, madam, your kinsman.

Oli. Fetch him off, I pray you; he speaks nothing but madman: fie on him! [Exit Maria.] — Go you, Malvolio: if it be a suit from the Count, I am sick, or not at home; what you will, to dismiss it. [Exit Malvolio.] — Now you see, sir, how your fooling grows old, and people dislike it.

Clo. Thou hast spoke for us, madonna, as if thy eldest son should be a Fool,—whose skull Jove cram with brains! for here comes one of thy kin has a most weak pia mater.¹⁰

Enter Sir Toby Belch.

Oli. By mine honour, half drunk.—What is he at the gate, cousin?

Sir To. A gentleman.

Oli. A gentleman! what gentleman?

Sir To. 'Tis a gentleman here—a plague o' these pickle-herring!¹¹ — How now, sot!¹²

was about the same as our fibbing. As Mercury was the God of cheats and liars, the Clown aptly invokes his aid.

¹⁰ The membrane that covers the brain; put for the brain itself.

¹¹ Pickled herrings seem to have been a common relish in drunken sprees. Gabriel Harvey says of Robert Greene, the profligate dramatist, that he died "of a surfett of pickle herringe and Rennishe wine."

¹² Sot is used by the Poet for fool; as in The Merry Wives Dr. Caius says, "Have you make-a de sot of us?"
Clo. Good Sir Toby! —

Oli. Cousin, cousin, how have you come so early by this lethargy?

Sir To. Lechery! I defy lechery. There's one at the gate.

Oli. Ay, marry, what is he?

Sir To. Let him be the Devil, an he will, I care not: give me faith, say I. Well, it's all one. [Exit.

Oli. What's a drunken man like, Fool?

Clo. Like a drown'd man, a fool, and a madman: one draught above heat makes him a fool; the second mads him; and a third drowns him.

Oli. Go thou and seek the crowner, and let him sit o' my coz; for he's in the third degree of drink,—he's drown'd: go, look after him.

Clo. He is but mad yet, madonna; and the Fool shall look to the madman. [Exit.

Re-enter Malvolio.

Mal. Madam, yond young fellow swears he will speak with you. I told him you were sick; he takes on him to understand so much, and therefore comes to speak with you: I told him you were asleep; he seems to have a fore-knowledge of that too, and therefore comes to speak with you. What is to be said to him, lady? he's fortified against any denial.

Oli. Tell him he shall not speak with me.

Mal. 'Has been told so; and he says, he'll stand at your door like a sheriff's post, and be the supporter to a bench, he'll speak with you.

To defy was often used for to renounce, or abjure.
The Sheriffs formerly had painted posts set up at their doors on which mations and placards were affixed.
Oli. What kind o' man is he?
Mal. Why, of man kind.
Oli. What manner of man?
Mal. Of very ill manner; he'll speak with you, will you or no.
Oli. Of what personage and years is he?
Mal. Not yet old enough for a man, nor young enough for a boy; as a squash is before 'tis a peascod, or a codling when 'tis almost an apple:\footnote{A codling, according to Gifford, means an involucrum or bell, and was used by our old writers for that early stage of vegetation, when the fruit, after shaking off the blossom, begins to assume a globular and determinate shape. The original of squash was used of such young vegetables as were eaten in the state of immaturity.} 'tis with him e'en standing water, between boy and man. He is very well-favour'd, and he speaks very shrewishly;\footnote{Shrewishly is sharply, tartly; like a shrew. So, of old, shrewd meant keen or biting.} one would think his mother's milk were scarce out of him.
Oli. Let him approach: call in my gentlewoman.
Mal. Gentlewoman, my lady calls. \[Exit.\]

Re-enter Maria.

Oli. Give me my veil: come, throw it o'er my face.
We'll once more hear Orsino's embassy.

Enter Viola.

Vio. The honourable lady of the house, which is she?
Oli. Speak to me; I shall answer for her. Your will?
Vio. Most radiant, exquisite, and unmatchable beauty,—I pray you, tell me if this be the lady of the house, for I never saw her: I would be loth to cast away my speech; for, besides that it is excellently well penn'd, I have taken
great pains to con it. Good beauties, let me sustain no scorn: I am very comptible\(^{17}\) even to the least sinister usage.

_Oli_. Whence came you, sir?

_Vio_. I can say little more than I have studied, and that question's out of my part. Good gentle one, give me modest assurance if you be the lady of the house, that I may proceed in my speech.

_Oli_. Are you a comedian?

_Vio_. No, my profound heart: and yet, by the very fangs of malice I swear I am not that I play. Are you the lady of the house?

_Oli_. If I do not usurp myself, I am.

_Vio_. Most certain, if you are she, you do usurp yourself; for what is yours to bestow is not yours to reserve. But this is from my commission: I will on with my speech in your praise, and then show you the heart of my message.

_Oli_. Come to what is important in't: I forgive you the praise.

_Vio_. Alas, I took great pains to study it, and 'tis poetical.

_Oli_. It is the more like to be feigned: I pray you, keep it in. I heard you were saucy at my gates; and allow'd your approach rather to wonder at you than to hear you. If you be mad, be gone; if you have reason, be brief: 'tis not that time of Moon with me to make one in so skipping a dialogue.

_Mar_. Will you hoist sail, sir? here lies your way.

_Vio_. No, good swabber; I am to hull here\(^{18}\) a little longer.

—Some mollification for your giant,\(^{19}\) sweet lady.

---

\(^{17}\) _Comptible_ is _susceptible_, or _sensitive_. The proper meaning of the word is _accountable_.

\(^{18}\) To _hull_ is a nautical term, probably meaning to haul in sails and lay-to, without coming to anchor. _Swabber_ is also a nautical term, used of one who attends to the swabbing or cleaning of the deck.
Oli. Tell me your mind.
Vio. I am a messenger. 20
Oli. Sure, you have some hideous matter to deliver, when the courtesy of it is so fearful. Speak your office.
Vio. It alone concerns your ear. I bring no overture of war, no taxation of homage: I hold the olive in my hand; my words are as full of peace as matter.
Oli. Yet you began rudely. What are you? what would you?
Vio. The rudeness that hath appear'd in me have I learn'd from my entertainment. What I am, and what I would, are as secret as maidenhood: to your ears, divinity; to any other's, profanation.
Oli. Give us the place alone: we will hear this divinity.
[Exit Maria.] — Now, sir, what is your text?
Vio. Most sweet lady,—
Oli. A comfortable 21 doctrine, and much may be said of it. Where lies your text?
Vio. In Orsino's bosom.
Oli. In his bosom! In what chapter of his bosom?
Vio. To answer by the method, in the first of his heart.
Oli. O, I have read it: it is heresy. Have you no more to say?
Vio. Good madam, let me see your face.
Oli. Have you any commission from your lord to negotiate with my face? You are now out of your text: but we

19 Ladies in romance are guarded by giants. Viola, seeing the waiting-maid so eager to oppose her message, entreats Olivia to pacify her giant, alluding, ironically, to the small stature of Maria.
20 Viola's being a messenger implies that it is not her own mind, but that of the sender, that she is to tell.
21 Comfortable for comforting; the passive form with the active sense. Often so, both in this and in many other words.
will draw the curtain, and show you the picture. Look you, sir, such a one I was this present: is't not well done?

\[Unveiling.\]

Vio. Excellently done, if God did all.

Oli. 'Tis in grain, sir; 'twill endure wind and weather.

Vio. 'Tis beauty truly blent, whose red and white
Nature's own sweet and cunning hand laid on:
Lady, you are the cruell'st she alive,
If you will lead these graces to the grave,
And leave the world no copy.

Oli. O sir, I will not be so hard-hearted; I will give out divers schedules of my beauty: it shall be inventoried, and every particle and utensil labell'd to my will: as, item, two lips, indifferent red; item, two gray eyes, with lids to them; item, one neck, one chin, and so forth. Were you sent hither to 'praise me?\[25\]

Vio. I see you what you are,—you are too proud;
But, if you were the Devil, you are fair.
My lord and master loves you: O, such love
Could be but recompensed, though you were crown'd
The nonpareil of beauty!

Oli. How does he love me?

Vio. With adoratiöns, with fertile tears,\[26\]

---

\[22\] It is to be borne in mind that the idea of a picture is continued; the meaning being, "behold the picture of me, such as I am at the present moment."

\[23\] "Indifferent red" is tolerably red. See page 40, note 23.

\[24\] Blue eyes were called gray in the Poet's time. See As You Like It, page 92, note 45.

\[25\] To appraise me, or set a value upon me; referring to the inventory she has just given of her graces.

\[26\] Fertile appears to be used here in the sense of copious. Shakespeare has fruitful in a like sense. So in Hamlet, i. 2: "No, nor the fruitful river in the eye."
With groans that thunder love, with sighs of fire.

Oli. Your lord does know my mind; I cannot love him:
Yet I suppose him virtuous, know him noble,
Of great estate, of fresh and stainless youth;
In voices well divulged,\(^27\) free, learn’d, and valiant;
And, in dimension and the shape of nature,
A gracious person: but yet I cannot love him;
He might have took his answer long ago.

Vio. If I did love you in my master’s flame,
With such a suffering, such a deadly love,
In your denial I would find no sense;
I would not understand it.

Oli. Why, what would you?

Vio. Make me a willow cabin at your gate,
And call upon my soul within the house;
Write loyal cantons\(^28\) of contemnèd love,
And sing them loud even in the dead of night;
Holla your name to the reverberate hills,
And make the babbling gossip of the air\(^29\)
Cry out, Olivia! O, you should not rest
Between the elements of air and earth,
But you should pity me!

Oli. You might do much. What is your parentage?

Vio. Above my fortunes, yet my state is well:
I am a gentleman.

Oli. Get you to your lord;
I cannot love him: let him send no more;

---

\(^{27}\) Meaning, perhaps, well spoken of, well *voiced* in the public mouth; or it may mean well reputed for knowledge in the languages, which was esteemed a great accomplishment in the Poet’s time.

\(^{28}\) *Cantons* is the old English word for *cantos*.

\(^{29}\) A Shakespearian expression for *echo*. 
Unless, perchance, you come to me again,
To tell me how he takes it. Fare you well:
I thank you for your pains: spend this for me.

Vio. I am no fee'd post, lady; keep your purse:
My master, not myself, lacks recompense.
Love make his heart of flint, that you shall love;
And let your servour, like my master's, be
Placed in contempt! Farewell, fair cruelty. [Exit.

Oli. What is your parentage?
Above my fortunes, yet my state is well:
I am a gentleman. I'll be sworn thou art;
Thy tongue, thy face, thy limbs, actions, and spirit,
Do give thee fivest fold blazon.—Not too fast;—
Soft, soft!—
Unless the master were the man.30—How now!
Even so quickly may one catch the plague?
Methinks I feel this youth's perfections
With an invisible—and subtle stealth
To creep in at mine eyes. Well, let it be.—
What, ho, Malvolio!

Re-enter Malvolio.

Mal. Here, madam, at your service.

Oli. Run after that same peevish31 messenger,
The County's man: he left this ring behind him,
Would I or not: tell him I'll none of it.
Desire him not to flatter with his lord,
SCENE I. WHAT YOU WILL.

Nor hold him up with hopes; I am not for him:
If that the youth will come this way to-morrow,
I'll give him reasons for't. Hie thee, Malvolio.

*Mal.* Madam, I will.  

*Oli.* I do I know not what; and fear to find
Mine eye too great a flatterer for my mind.  
Fate, show thy force: ourselves we do not owe;  
What is decreed must be,—and be this so!

[Exit.]

ACT II.

SCENE I. — The Sea-coast.

*Enter Antonio and Sebastian.*

*Ant.* Will you stay no longer? nor will you not that I go
with you?

*Seb.* By your patience, no. My stars shine darkly over me: the malignancy of my fate might perhaps distemper
yours; therefore I shall crave of you your leave that I may
bear my evils alone: it were a bad recompense for your love,
to lay any of them on you.

*Ant.* Let me yet know of you whither you are bound.

*Seb.* No, sooth, sir: my determinate voyage is mere ex-

---

82 She fears that her eyes have formed so flattering an idea of Cesario, that she will not have the strength of mind to resist the impression.

83 We are not our own masters; we cannot govern ourselves. *Owe* for *own, possess, or have*; as usual.
travagancy. To perceive in you so excellent a touch of modesty, that you will not extort from me what I am willing to keep in; therefore it charges me in manners the rather to express myself. You must know of me, then, Antonio, my name is Sebastian, which I called Roderigo. My father was that Sebastian of Messaline whom I know you have heard of. He left behind him myself and a sister, both born in an hour: if the Heavens had been pleased, would we had so ended! but you, sir, alter’d that; for some hour before you took me from the breach of the sea was my sister drown’d.

Ant. Alas the day!

Seb. A lady, sir, though it was said she much resembled me, was yet of many accounted beautiful; but, though I could not, with such an estimable wonder, over-far believe that, yet thus far I will boldly publish her,—she bore a mind that envy could not but call fair. She is drown’d already, sir, with salt water, though I seem to drown her remembrance again with more.

Ant. Pardon me, sir, your bad entertainment.

Seb. O good Antonio, forgive me your trouble!

Ant. If you will not murder me for my love, let me be your servant.

1 "The purpose of my voyage ends with the voyage itself," or, "I am travelling merely for the sake of travel." Extravagancy is used in the Latin sense of going at large; as in Hamlet, i. 1: "Th’ extravagant and erring spirit hies to his confine."

2 Willing in the sense of choosing, wishing, or preferring.

3 To declare or unfold myself. Sebastian holds himself the more bound to give the information, inasmuch as Antonio’s delicacy keeps him from asking, or from being inquisitive.

4 The meaning is, "Though I could not, when compared with a person of such admirable beauty, over-far believe that I resembled her."

5 This may refer to what is thus delivered by Sir Walter Scott in The Pirate: When Mordaunt has rescued Cleveland from the sea, and is trying
Sc. If you will not undo what you have done, that is, kill him whom you have recover'd desire it not. Fare ye well at once: my bosom is full of kindness; and I am yet so near the manners of my mother, that, upon the least occasion more, mine eyes will tell tales of me. I am bound to the Count Orsino's Court: farewell. [Exit.

Ant. The gentleness of all the gods go with thee! I have many enemies in Orsino's Court, Else would I very shortly see thee there: But, come what may, I do adore thee so, That danger shall seem sport, and I will go. [Exit.

Scene II. — A Street.

Enter Viola, Malvolio following.

Mal. Were not you even now with the Countess Olivia?
Vio. Even now, sir; on a moderate pace I have since arrived but hither.

Mal. She returns this ring to you, sir: you might have saved me my pains, to have taken it away yourself. She adds, moreover, that you should put your lord into a desperate assurance she will none of him: and one thing more, that you be never so hardy to come again in his affairs, unless it be to report your lord’s taking of this. Receive it so.¹

to revive him, Bryce the pedler says to him,—“Are you mad? you, that have so long lived in Zetland, to risk the saving of a drowning man? Wot ye not, if you bring him to life again, he will be sure to do you some capital injury?” Sir Walter suggests in a note that this inhuman maxim was probably held by the islanders of the Orkneys, as an excuse for leaving all to perish alone who were shipwrecked upon their coasts, to the end that there might be nothing to hinder the plundering of their goods; which of course could not well be, if any of the owners survived.

¹"Receive it so" is understand it so. Take is still used in the same way.
Vio. She took no ring of me: I'll none of it.

Mal. Come, sir, you peevishly threw it to her; and her will is, it should be so return'd: if it be worth stooping for, there it lies in your eye; if not, be it his that finds it.

[Exit.

Vio. I left no ring with her: what means this lady? Fortune forbid, my outside have not charm'd her! She made good view of me; indeed, so much, That, as methought, her eyes had lost her tongue,\(^2\) For she did speak in starts distractedly. She loves me, sure; the cunning of her passion Invites me in this churlish messenger. None of my lord's ring! why, he sent her none. I am the man: if it be so,—as 'tis,— Poor lady, she were better love a dream. Disguise, I see thou art a wickedness, Wherein the pregnant\(^3\) enemy does much. How easy is it for the proper-false\(^4\) In woman's waxen hearts to set their forms! Alas, our frailty is the cause, not we! For, such as we are made of, such we be.\(^5\) How will this fadge?\(^6\) my master loves her dearly;

---

\(^2\) Her eyes were so charmed that she lost the right use of her tongue, and let it run as if it were divided from her judgment.

\(^3\) Pregnant is quick-witted, cunning.

\(^4\) Proper is here used in the sense of handsome: the meaning of the passage being, "How easy it is for handsome deceivers to print their forms in the waxen hearts of women." Such compounds as proper-false are not unusual in Shakespeare. Beauteous-evil occurs in this play.

\(^5\) Such evidently refers to frailty in the preceding line; the sense being, "Since we are made of frailty, we must needs be frail."

\(^6\) Fadge, meaning fit or suit, was a polite word in Shakespeare's time, and moved, without question, in the best circles.
And I, poor monster, fond as much on him,
As she, mistaken, seems to dote on me.
What will become of this? As I am man,
My state is desperate for my master’s love;
As I am woman,—now, alas the day!—
What thriftless sighs shall poor Olivia breathe!
O Time, thou must untangle this, not I;
It is too hard a knot for me t’ untie!

[Exit.

Scene III.—A Room in Olivia’s House.

Enter Sir Toby Belch and Sir Andrew Aguecheek.

Sir To. Approach, Sir Andrew: not to be a-bed after
midnight is to be up betimes: and diluculo surgere,\(^1\) thou
know’st,—

Sir And. Nay, by my troth, I know not: but I know, to
be up late is to be up late.

Sir To. A false conclusion: I hate it as an unfill’d can.
To be up after midnight, and to go to bed then, is early: so
that, to go to bed after midnight, is to go to bed betimes.
Does not our life consist of the four elements?\(^2\)

Sir And. Faith, so they say; but I think it rather con-
sists of eating and drinking.

Sir To. Thou’rt a scholar: let us therefore eat and drink.
—Maria, I say! a stoup\(^3\) of wine!

---

\(^1\) Viola calls herself monster from the fact of her being, in a manner, both
woman and man.

\(^2\) Diluculo surgere, saluberrimum est. This adage is in Lily’s Grammar,
It means, “To rise betimes is very wholesome.”

\(^2\) The four elements referred to are earth, water, air, and fire; the right
mixing of which was supposed to be the condition of health in body and
mind.

\(^3\) Stoup is an old word for cup; often used by the Poet.
Sir And. Here comes the Fool, i' faith.

Enter the Clown.

Clo. How now, my hearts! did you never see the picture of We Three?  

Sir To. Welcome, ass. Now let's have a catch.

Sir And. By my troth, the Fool has an excellent breast. I had rather than forty shillings I had such a leg, and so sweet a breath to sing, as the Fool has.—In sooth, thou wast in very gracious fooling last night, when thou spokest of Pigro-gromomitus, of the Vapians passing the equinoctial of Queubus: 'twas very good, i'faith. I sent thee sixpence for thy leman: hadst it?

Clo. I did impetico-Thy gratillity; for Malvolio's nose is no whipstock; my lady has a white hand, and the Myrmidons are no bottle-ale houses.

Sir And. Excellent! why, this is the best fooling, when all is done. Now, a song.

Sir To. Come on; there is sixpence for you: let's have a song.

Sir And. There's a testril of me too: if one knight give a —

---

4 Alluding to an old common sign representing *two* fools or loggerheads, under which was inscribed, "We three loggerheads be"; the point of the joke being, of course, that the spectator was the third.

5 *Breast* was often used for *voice* in the Poet's time. Thus we have the phrase, "singing men well-breasted." This use of the word grew from the form of the breast having much to do with the quality of the voice.

6 Leman is *mistress* or *sweetheart*.

7 Impeticoat, or *impocket*, *thy gratuity*. Some have complained seriously that they could not understand the Clown in this scene; which is shrewd proof they did not understand the Poet!

8 The *testril* or *testern* was originally a French coin, of sixpence value, or thereabouts; so called from having a *tesse* or head stamped upon it.
Clo. Would you have a love-song, or a song of good life? 9
Sir To. A love-song, a love-song.
Sir And. Ay, ay: I care not for good life.

**SONG.**

Clo. *O mistress mine, where are you roaming?*
O, stay and hear; your true-love's coming,
That can sing both high and low:
Trip no further, pretty sweeting;
Journeys end in lovers' meeting,
Every wise man's son doth know.

Sir And. Excellent good, i' faith.
Sir To. Good, good.
Clo. *What is love? 'tis not hereafter;*
Present mirth hath present laughter;
What's to come is still unsure:
In delay there lies no plenty;
Then come kiss me, sweet-and-twenty, 11
Youth's a stuff will not endure.

Sir And. A mellifluous voice, as I am true knight.
Sir To. A contagious breath.
Sir And. Very sweet and contagious, i'faith:

---

9 That is, a civil and virtuous song; so described in *The Mad Pranks of Robin Goodfellow*.

10 This song probably was not written by Shakespeare. Chappell, in his *Popular Music of the Olden Time*, says the tune is in Queen Elizabeth's Virginal Book, arranged by Byrd. He also says it was printed in 1599; and from this he concludes "either that Shakespeare's *Twelfth Night* was written in or before that year, or that in accordance with the then prevailing custom, *O mistress mine* was an old song, introduced into the play." Dyce thinks "the latter supposition is doubtless the true one."

11 *Sweet-and-twenty* appears to have been an old term of endearment.
Sir To. To hear by the nose, it is dulcet in contagion. But shall we make the welkin dance indeed? shall we rouse the night-owl in a catch that will draw three souls out of one weaver? shall we do that?

Sir And. An you love me, let's do't: I am dog at a catch.

Clo. By'r Lady, sir, and some dogs will catch well.

Sir And. Most certain. Let our catch be, Thou knave.

Clo. Hold thy peace, thou knave, knight? I shall be constrained in't to call thee knave, knight.

Sir And. 'Tis not the first time I have constrained one to call me knave. Begin, Fool: it begins, Hold thy peace.

Clo. I shall never begin, if I hold my peace.

Sir And. Good, i'faith. Come, begin.

[They sing the catch.

Enter Maria.

Mar. What a caterwauling do you keep here! If my lady have not call'd up her steward Malvolio, and bid him turn you out of doors, never trust me.

Sir To. My lady's a Cataian, we are politicians; Malvolio's a Peg-a-Ramsey, and Three merry men be we. Am not I consanguineous? am I not of her blood? Tilly-vally, lady! — [Sings.] There dwelt a man in Babylon, lady, lady!

Clo. Beshrew me, the knight's in admirable fooling.

Sir And. Ay, he does well enough if he be disposed, and

12 Drink till the sky seems to turn round.

13 Shakespeare represents weavers as much given to harmony in his time. Sir Toby meant that the catch should be so harmonious that it would hale the soul out of a weaver thrice over.

14 This word generally signified a sharper. Sir Toby is too drunk for precision, and uses it merely as a term of reproach.

15 An interjection of contempt, equivalent to fiddle-faddle.
so do I too: he does it with a better grace, but I do it more natural.

Sir To. [Sings.] *O’ 16 the twelfth day of December,* 17 —

Mar. For the love o’ God, peace!

Enter Malvolio.

Mal. My masters, are you mad? or what are you? Have you no wit, manners, nor honesty, but to gabble like tinkers at this time of night? Do you make an alehouse of my lady’s house, that ye squeak out your coziers’ 18 catches without any mitigation or remorse of voice? Is there no respect of place, persons, nor time, in you?

Sir To. We did keep time, sir, in our catches. Snick-up! 19

Mal. Sir Toby, I must be round 20 with you. My lady bade me tell you, that, though she harbour you as her kinsman, she’s nothing allied to your disorders. If you can separate yourself and your misdemeanours, you are welcome to

---

16 This is not the interjectional *O*, but the elided preposition *on* or *of*.

17 With Sir Toby as wine goes in music comes out, and fresh songs keep bubbling up in his memory as he waxes mellower. A similar thing occurs in *2 Henry IV*, where Master Silence grows merry and musical amidst his cups in “the sweet of the night.” Of the ballads referred to by Sir Toby, *O’ the twelfth day of December* is entirely lost. Percy has one stanza of *There dwelt a man in Babylon*, which he describes as “a poor dull performance, and very long.” *Three merry men be we* seems to have been the burden of several old songs, one of which was called *Robin Hood and the Tanner*. *Peg-a-Ramsey*, or *Peggy Ramsey*, was an old popular tune which had several ballads fitted to it. *Thou knave* was a catch which, says Sir John Hawkins, “appears to be so contrived that each of the singers calls the other knave in turn.”

18 *Coziers* is *butchers*, whether botching with the needles or with awls.

19 *Snick-up* was an exclamation of contempt, equivalent to “Go hang yourself,” or “go and be hanged.”

20 *Round* is downright or plain-spoken.
the house; if not, an it would please you to take leave of her, she is very willing to bid you farewell.

Sir To. [Sings.] *Farewell, dear heart, since I must needs be gone.*

Mar. Nay, good Sir Toby.

Clo. [Sings.] *His eyes do show his days are almost done.*

Mal. Is’t even so?

Sir To. [Sings.] *But I will never die.*

Clo. Sir Toby, there you lie.

Mal. This is much credit to you.

Sir To. [Sings.] *Shall I bid him go?*

Clo. [Sings.] *What an if you do?*

Sir To. [Sings.] *Shall I bid him go, and spare not?*

Clo. [Sings.] *O, no, no, no, no, you dare not.*

Sir To. Out o’ time, sir? ye lie. Art any more than a steward? Dost thou think, because thou art virtuous, there shall be no more cakes and ale?

Clo. Yes, by Saint Anne; and ginger shall be hot i’ the mouth too.

Sir To. Thou’rt i’ the right.—Go, sir, rub your chain with crumbs.—A stoup of wine, Maria!

Mal. Mistress Mary, if you prized my lady’s favour at any

---

21 This is the first line of an old ballad, entitled *Corydon’s Farewell to Phillis.* It was inserted in Percy’s *Reliques* from an ancient miscellany, called *The Golden Garland of Princely Delights.* The musical dialogue that follows between Sir Toby and the Clown is adapted to their purpose from the first two stanzas of the ballad.

22 Stewards anciently wore a chain of silver or gold, as a mark of superiority, as did other principal servants. Wolsey’s chief cook is described by Cavendish as wearing “velvet or satin with a chain of gold.” One of the methods used to clean gilt plate was *rubbing it with crumbs.* So in Webster’s *Duchess of Malfi:* “Yea, and the chippings of the buttery fly after him, to scour his gold chain.”
thing more than contempt, you would not give means for this uncivil rule: she shall know of it, by this hand. [Exit.

Mar. Go shake your ears. 23

Sir And. 'Twere as good a deed as to drink when a man's a-hungry, to challenge him the field, and then to break promise with him, and make a fool of him.

Sir To. Do't, knight: I'll write thee a challenge; or I'll deliver thy indignation to him by word of mouth.

Mar. Sweet Sir Toby, be patient for to-night: since the youth of the Count's was to-day with my lady, she is much out of quiet. For Monsieur Malvolio, let me alone with him: if I do not gull him into a nayword, 24 and make him a common recreation, do not think I have wit enough to lie straight in my bed: I know I can do it.

Sir And. Possess us, 25 possess us; tell us something of him.

Mar. Marry, sir, sometimes he is a kind of Puritan.

Sir And. O, if I thought that, I'd beat him like a dog!

Sir To. What, for being a Puritan? thy exquisite reason, dear knight?

Sir And. I have no exquisite reason for't, but I have reason good enough.

Mar. The Devil a Puritan that he is, or any thing constantly, but a time-pleaser; an affection'd ass, 26 that cons State without book, and utters it by great swaths: 27 the best

23 "Shake your ears" is probably used as a metaphor implying that Malvolio has long ears; in other words, that he is an ass.

24 Nay-word here means by-word or laughing-stock. So defined in an old dictionary. Elsewhere the Poet has it in the sense of watch-word.


26 An affected ass. Affection was often used for affectation.

27 By great parcels or heaps. Swaths are the rows of grass left by the scythe of the mower. Maria means that he is full of political strut, and spouts arguments of State by rote.
persuaded of himself, so cram'd, as he thinks, with excellencies, that it is his ground of faith, that all that look on him love him; and on that vice in him will my revenge find notable cause to work.

Sir To. What wilt thou do?

Mar. I will drop in his way some obscure epistles of love; wherein, by the colour of his beard, the shape of his leg, the manner of his gait, the expressure of his eye, forehead, and complexion, he shall find himself most feelingly personated: I can write very like my lady, your niece; on a forgotten matter we can hardly make distinction of our hands.

Sir To. Excellent! I smell a device.

Sir And. I have't in my nose too.

Sir To. He shall think, by the letters that thou wilt drop, that they come from my niece, and that she's in love with him.

Mar. My purpose is, indeed, a horse of that colour.

Sir To. And your horse now would make him an ass.

Mar. Ass, I doubt not.

Sir And. O, 'twill be admirable!

Mar. Sport royal, I warrant you: I know my physic will work with him. I will plant you two, and let the Fool make a third, where he shall find the letter: observe his construction of it. For this night, to bed, and dream on the event. Farewell.

Sir To. Good night, Penthesilea. [Exit Maria.

Sir And. Before me, she's a good wench.

Sir To. She's a beagle, true-bred, and one that adores me: what o' that?

28 Penthesilea was Queen of the Amazons, and killed by Achilles in the Trojan War; politely.

29 A beagle was a small hound, and a keen hunter; applied to Maria from her brevity of person and sharpness of wit.
Sir And. I was adored once too.

Sir To. Let's to bed, knight. Thou hadst need send for more money.

Sir And. If I cannot recover your niece, I am a foul way out.

Sir To. Send for money, knight: if thou hast her not i' the end, call me cut.\textsuperscript{30}

Sir And. If I do not, never trust me, take it how you will.

Sir To. Come, come; I'll go burn some sack;\textsuperscript{31} 'tis too late to go to bed now: come, knight; come, knight. [Exeunt.

\textbf{Scene IV. — An Apartment in the Duke's Palace.}

Enter the Duke, Viola, Curio, and others.

Duke. Give me some music:—now, good morrow, friends.—Now, good Cesario, but that piece of song, That old and antique song we heard last night: Methought it did relieve my passion much, More than light airs and recollected terms\textsuperscript{1} Of these most brisk and giddy-pacèd times.

\textsuperscript{30} Cut was a common contraction of curtail. One of the carriers' horses in \textit{Henry IV.} is called Cut.

\textsuperscript{31} Sack is an old term for sherry wine, which appears to have been Sir Toby's favourite beverage, as it was also Falstaff's. The phrase "burnt sack" occurs twice in \textit{The Merry Wives;} perhaps a preparation of sack and other ingredients finished for the mouth, as fip used to be, by thrusting a red-hot iron into it.

\textsuperscript{1} This is commonly explained as meaning repeated terms, or the repetition of poetical and musical phrases. Some think terms refers to a sort of lyrical embroidery made by running culled expressions together, and so lacking the plainness and simplicity that goes to the heart. \textit{Old and antique}, two lines before, is not a pleonasm, \textit{antique} carrying a sense of quaintness as well as of age.
Come, but one verse.

Cur. He is not here, so please your lordship, that should sing it.

Duke. Who was it?

Cur. Feste, the jester, my lord; a Fool that the Lady Olivia's father took much delight in: he is about the house.

Duke. Go seek him out: — and play the tune the while. —


Come hither, boy: if ever thou shalt love,
In the sweet pangs of it remember me;
For such as I am all true lovers are,—
Unstaid and skittish in all motions else,
Save in the constant image of the creature
That is beloved. How dost thou like this tune?

Vio. It gives a very echo to the seat
Where Love is throned.

Duke. Thou dost speak masterly:
My life upon't, young though thou art, thine eye
Hath stay'd upon some favour that it loves:
Hath it not, boy?

Vio. A little, by your favour.

Duke. What kind of woman is't?

Vio. Of your complexion.

Duke. She is not worth thee, then. What years, if'th faith?

Vio. About your years, my lord.

Duke. Too old, by Heaven: let still the woman take
An elder than herself; so wears she to him,
So sways she level in her husband's heart:
For, boy, however we do praise ourselves,
Our fancies are more giddy and unfirm,

2 Favour for feature. Viola in her reply plays upon the word.
More longing, wavering, sooner lost and won,
Than women's are.

_Vio._ I think it well, my lord.

_Duke._ Then let thy love be younger than thyself,
Or thy affection cannot hold the bent;
For women are as roses, whose fair flower,
Being once display'd, doth fall that very hour.

_Vio._ And so they are: alas, that they are so,—
To die, even when they to perfection grow!

_Re-enter Curio with the Clown._

_Duke._ O, fellow, come, the song we had last night.—
Mark it, Cesario; it is old and plain:
The spinsters and the knitters in the sun,
And the free maids that weave their thread with bones,
Do use to chant it: it is silly sooth,
And dallies with the innocence of love,
Like the old age.

_Clo._ Are you ready, sir?

_Duke._ Ay; prythee, sing.  

_[Music._

**SONG.**

_Clo._ Come away, come away, death,
And in sad cypress let me be laid;
Fly away, fly away, breath;

---

8 Free appears to have been often used in the sense of pure or chaste.
So, in _The Winter's Tale_, ii. 3, Hermione is described as “a gracious innocent soul, more free than he is jealous.” It may, however, mean frank, unsuspecting; the proper style of a plain and guileless heart.

4 Silly sooth is simple truth.

5 The old age is the ages past, times of simplicity.

6 Cypress wood was thought to be the fittest for coffins. — _Come away_ here means come on, or come, simply. Repeatedly so.
I am slain by a fair cruel maid.
My shroud of white, stuck all with yew,
   O, prepare it!
My part of death, no one so true
   Did share it.⁷

Not a flower, not a flower sweet,
   On my black coffin let there be strown;
Not a friend, not a friend greet
   My poor corpse, where my bones shall be thrown:
A thousand thousand sighs to save,
   Lay me, O, where
Sad true-love never find my grave,
   To weep there!

Duke. There's for thy pains.
Clo. No pains, sir; I take pleasure in singing, sir.
Duke. I'll pay thy pleasure, then.
Clo. Truly, sir, and pleasure will be paid one time or another.
Duke. Give me now leave to leave thee.⁸
Clo. Now the melancholy god protect thee; and the tailor make thy doublet of changeable taffeta, for thy mind is a very opal!⁹ I would have men of such constancy put

⁷ Death is a part in the drama of life, which all have to undergo or to act; and the thought here seems to be, that, "of all the actors who have shared in this common lot, I am the truest," or, "no one has been so true as I."

⁸ Probably the Duke's polite way of requesting the Clown to leave. Some, however, think the text corrupt; and so indeed it may be.

⁹ The opal is a gem that varies its hues, as it is viewed in different lights, like what is sometimes called changeable silk, that is, taffeta. "The melancholy god" is Saturn; hence the word saturnine, which means sad or gloomy.
to sea, that their business might be every thing, and their intent every where; for that's it that always makes a good voyage of nothing. Farewell. [Exit.  

Duke. Let all the rest give place.——

[Execunt Curio and Attendants.

Once more, Cesario,

Get thee to yond same sovereign cruelty:
Tell her, my love, more noble than the world,
Prizes not quantity of dirty lands;
The parts that Fortune hath bestow'd upon her,
Tell her, I hold as giddily as Fortune;
But 'tis that miracle and queen of gems,
That nature pranks her in, attracts my soul.

Vio. But if she cannot love you, sir?

Duke. I cannot be so answer'd.

Vio. Sooth, but you must.

Say that some lady——as, perhaps, there is——
Hath for your love as great a pang of heart
As you have for Olivia: you cannot love her;
You tell her so; must she not, then, be answer'd?

Duke. There is no woman's sides
Can bide the beating of so strong a passion
As love doth give my heart; no woman's heart
So big, to hold so much; they lack retention.¹⁰

Alas, their love may be call'd appetite,—
No motion of the liver,¹¹ but the palate,—

¹⁰ Retention here evidently has the sense of capacity. A rather singular use of the word; but the Poet has it so again in his 122d Sonnet: "That poor retention could not hold so much."——"So big, to hold" is "so big, as to hold"; an ellipsis occurring very often.

¹¹ The liver was thought to be the special seat of love and courage. See page 31, note 7.
That suffers surfeit, cloyment, and revolt;
But mine is all as hungry as the sea,
And can digest as much: make no compare
Between that love a woman can bear me
And that I owe Olivia.

_Vio._ Ay, but I know,—

_Duke._ What dost thou know?

_Vio._ Too well what love women to men may owe:
In faith, they are as true of heart as we.
My father had a daughter loved a man,
As it might be, perhaps, were I a woman,
I should your lordship.

_Duke._ And what's her history?

_Vio._ A blank, my lord. She never told her love,
But let concealment, like a worm i' the bud,
Feed on her damask cheek: she pined in thought; 12
And, with a green and yellow melancholy,
She sat, like Patience on a monument,
Smiling at grief. 13 Was not this love indeed?
We men may say more, swear more: but, indeed,
Our shows are more than will; for still we prove
Much in our vows, but little in our love.

_Duke._ But died thy sister of her love, my boy?

_Vio._ I'm all the daughters of my father's House,
And all the brothers too; — and yet I know not.

---

12 The meaning is, "she wasted away through grief." So in Hamlet's soliloquy: "The native hue of resolution is sicklied o'er with the pale cast of thought"; that is, the pale complexion of grief. And in _Julius Caesar_, ii. 1: "If he love Cæsar, all that he can do is to himself; take thought and die for Cæsar"; where _take thought and die_ means "grieve himself to death." So, again, in St. Matthew, vi. 25: "Take no thought for your life, what ye shall eat, or what ye shall drink;" &c.

13 She sat smiling at grief as the image of Patience sits on a monument.
Sir, shall I to this lady?

_Duke._ Ay, that's the theme.
To her in haste; give her this jewel; say,
My love can give no place, bide no denay.\textsuperscript{14} [Exeunt.

**Scene V. — Olivia's Garden.**

*Enter Sir Toby Belch, Sir Andrew Aguecheek, and Fabian.*

_Sir To._ Come thy ways, Signior Fabian.

_Fab._ Nay, I'll come: if I lose a scruple of this sport, let me be boil'd to death with melancholy.\textsuperscript{1}

_Sir To._ Wouldst thou not be glad to have the niggardly rascally sheep-biter\textsuperscript{2} come by some notable shame?

_Fab._ I would exult, man: you know he brought me out o' favour with my lady about a bear-baiting here.

\textsuperscript{14} Denay is an old form of denial; used here for the rhyme.

\textsuperscript{1} Melancholy must be used here to signify a form of madness or lunacy; something such as Milton has in view, in *Paradise Lost*, x. i. 485: "Demoniac frenzy, moping melancholy, and moon-struck madness." Shakespeare repeatedly supposes the brains of crazy people to be in a boiling or highly feverish state; as in *A Midsummer*, v. i.: "Lovers and madmen have such seething brains."

\textsuperscript{2} Sheep-biter, says Dyce, was "a cant term for a thief." But I do not well see how it should be applied to Malvolio in that sense. In *Measure for Measure*, v. i., Lucio says to the Duke, who is disguised as a Friar, "Show your knave's visage, with a pox to you! show your sheep-biting face." Here sheep-biting, as also sheep-biter in the text, seems to have the sense of morose, censorious, fault-finding, or given to biting unoffending persons with harsh language. In Chapman's *May-Day*, iii. i., a lecherous, intriguing old rogue, named Lorenzo, has a sharp trick played upon him by his nephew Lodovico, who speaks of him as follows: "Alas, poor uncle, I have monstrously abused him; and yet marvellous worthy, for he disparageth the whole blood of us; and I wish all such old sheep-bitters might dip their fingers in such sauce to their mutton."
Sir To. To anger him, we'll have the bear again; and we will fool him black and blue:—shall we not, Sir Andrew?

Sir And. An we do not, it is pity of our lives.

Sir To. Here comes the little villain.

Enter Maria.

How now, my metal of India!

Mar. Get ye all three into the box-tree: Malvolio's coming down this walk: he has been yonder i' the sun practising behaviour to his own shadow this half hour: observe him, for the love of mockery; for I know this letter will make a contemplative idiot of him. Close, in the name of jesting!

[The men hide themselves.]

Lie thou there; [Throws down a letter.] for here comes the trout that must be caught with tickling.

[Exit.

Enter Malvolio.

Mal. 'Tis but fortune; all is fortune. Maria once told me she did affect me: and I have heard herself come thus near, that, should she fancy, it should be one of my complexion. Besides, she uses me with a more exalted respect than any one else that follows her. What should I think on't?

Sir To. Here's an overweening rogue!

8 I can hardly imagine what this means, having never met with the phrase anywhere else, that I remember. What it is to be flogged black and blue I have ample cause to know: but to be fooled black and blue, what is it? Is it to mock one, till he turns black in the face from anger and vexation? The best I can do with it is by quoting from one of Mr. Mantalini's speeches in Nicholas Nickleby: "What a demnition long time have you kept me ringing at this confounded old cracked tea-kettle of a bell, every tinkle of which is enough to throw a strong man into blue convulsions, upon my life and soul, oh demmit."

4 "Metal of India" probably means precious girl, or heart of gold.
Fab. O, peace! Contemplation makes a rare turkey-cock of him: how he jets under his advanced plumes!\(^5\)

Sir And. 'Slight,\(^6\) I could so beat the rogue!

Sir To. Peace, I say.

Mal. To be Count Malvolio:—

Sir To. Ah, rogue!

Sir And. Pistol him, pistol him.

Sir To. Peace, peace!

Mal. —there is example for't; the lady of the strachy\(^7\) married the yeoman of the wardrobe.

Sir And. Fie on him, Jezebel!

Fab. O, peace! now he's deeply in: look how imagination blows him.\(^8\)

Mal. Having been three months married to her, sitting in my state,—

Sir To. O, for a stone-bow,\(^9\) to hit him in the eye!

---

\(^5\) To *jet* is to *strut* with pride. So in *Cymbeline*, iii. 3: "The gates of monarchs are arch'd so high, that giants may *jet* through, and keep their impious turbans on, without good morrow to the Sun." — *Advanced plumes* is *raised* or *uplifted feathers*.

\(^6\) 'Slight! is a disguised oath, for *God's light*!

\(^7\) Payne Knight conjectured that *strachy* was a corruption of the Italian *stratico*, a word derived from the low Latin *strategus*, or *straticus*, and often used for the governor of a city or province. But Mr. A. E. Brae offers, I think, a more probable explanation: "Florio, in his *Italian Dictionary*, has a word very like in sound to this *strachy*: 'Stratico, the train or long garment of state worn by a 'princess.' And when it is considered that there is a sort of appositeness in making the lady who wears the train condescend to marry the man who had charge of it, it offers, I think, a very probable interpretation of Malvolio's meaning." He also quotes from Camden's *Remains* an epitaph showing that "yeoman of the wardrobe" was a well known office in the households of high-born ladies: "Her lyes Richard Hobbs, Yeoman of the robes to our late sovereign Queene Mary."

\(^8\) Puffs him up. So in Bacon's *Advancement of Learning*: "Knowledge bloweth up, but charity buildeth up."

\(^9\) A bow for hurling stones.
Mal. — calling my officers about me, in my branch’d velvet gown; having come from a day-bed, where I have left Olivia sleeping; —

Sir To. Fire and brimstone!

Fab. O, peace, peace!

Mal. — and then to have the humour of state; and, after a demure travel of regard,¹⁰ — telling them I know my place, as I would they should do theirs, — to ask for my kinsman Toby. —

Sir To. Bolts and shackles!

Fab. O, peace, peace, peace! now now.

Mal. — Seven of my people, with an obedient start, make out for him: I frown the while; and perchance wind up my watch, or play with some rich jewel. Toby approaches; curtsies¹¹ there to me: —

Sir To. Shall this fellow live?

Fab. Though our silence be drawn from us by th’ears, yet peace.

Mal. — I extend my hand to him thus, quenching my familiar smile with an austere regard of control,¹² —

Sir To. And does not Toby take you a blow o’ the lips, then?

Mal. — saying, Cousin Toby, my fortunes having cast me on your niece, give me this prerogative of speech; —

Sir To. What, what?

Mal. — you must amend your drunkenness. —

Sir To. Out, scab!

¹⁰ This seems to be a Malvolian phrase for a stern and awful gaze or stare, with an air of dignified contempt.

¹¹ Curtsy was used, to denote acts of civility and reverence by either sex.

¹² “An austere regard of control” probably means such a look of sternness as would awe down or repress any approaches of familiarity.
Fab. Nay, patience, or we break the sinews of our plot.
Mal. — Besides, you waste the treasure of your time with a foolish knight,—
Sir And. That's me, I warrant you.
Mal. — one Sir Andrew.
Sir And. I knew 'twas I; for many do call me fool.
Mal. 'What employment have we here?

[Taking up the letter.

Fab. Now is the woodcock near the gin.  
Sir To. O, peace! and the spirit of humours intimate reading aloud to him!  
Mal. By my life, this is my lady's hand: these be her very C's, her U's, and her T's; and thus makes she her great P's. It is, in contempt of question, her hand.
Sir And. Her C's, her U's, and her T's: why that?
Mal. [Reads.] To the unknown beloved, this, and my good wishes: her very phrases! — By your leave, wax. — Soft! and the impressure her Lucrece, with which she uses to seal: 'tis my lady. To whom should this be?
Fab. This wins him, liver and all.

Mal. [Reads.] Love knows I love: but who?  
   Lips, do not move; no man must know.
No man must know. What follows? the numbers alter'd!
No man must know. If this should be thee, Malvolio!
Sir To. Marry, hang thee, brock! 16

Mal. [Reads.] I may command where I adore;
   But silence, like a Lucrece' knife,
   With bloodless stroke my heart doth gore:
   M, O, A, I, doth sway my life.

Fab. A fustian riddle!

Sir To. Excellent wench, say I.

Mal. M, O, A, I, doth sway my life.—Nay, but first, let me see, let me see, let me see.

Fab. What dish o' poison has she dress'd him! 17

Sir To. And with what wing the staniel checks at it! 18

Mal. I may command where I adore. Why, she may command me: I serve her; she is my lady. Why, this is evident to any formal capacity; 19 there is no obstruction in this: and the end,—what should that alphabetical position portend? if I could make that resemble something in me,—Softly!—M, O, A, I,—

Sir To. O, ay, make up that:—he is now at a cold scent. 20

Fab. Sowter will cry upon't, for all this, though it be as rank as a fox. 21

---

16 Brock is badger, and was used as a term of contempt.
17 An exclamative speech. We should say "What a dish," &c. See Julius Caesar, page 65, note 14.
18 The staniel is a species of hawk, which inhabits old buildings and rocks. To check, says Latham in his Book of Falconry, is, "when crows, rooks, pies, or other birds coming in view of the hawk, she forsaketh her natural flight to fly at them."
19 To any one in his senses, or whose capacity is not out of form.
20 A cold scent is a trail that has grown so faint as not to be traceable by the smell, or hardly so.
21 Sowter is used here as the name of a hound. — The Poet sometimes has though in a causal, not a concessive, sense; that is, as equivalent to because, for, since, or inasmuch as. In such cases, his meaning naturally appears to us just the opposite of what it really is. So, here, though it be
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Mal. M,—Malvolio; M,—why, that begins my name.

Fab. Did not I say he would work it out? the cur is excellent at faults. 22

Mal. M,—but then there is no consonancy in the sequel; that suffers under probation: 23 A should follow, but O does.

Fab. And O shall end, I hope.

Sir To. Ay, or I’ll cudgel him, and make him cry O!

Mal. And then I comes behind.

Fab. Ay, an you had any eye behind you, you might see more detraction at your heels than fortunes before you.

Mal. M, O, A, I; this simulation 24 is not as the former: and yet, to crush this a little, it would bow to me, for every one of these letters are in my name. Soft! here follows prose.

—[Reads.]  If this fall into thy hand, revolve. In my stars I am above thee; but be not afraid of greatness: some are born great, some achieve greatness, and some have greatness thrust upon ‘em. Thy Fates open their hands; let thy blood and spirit embrace them: and, to inure thyself to what thou art like to be, cast thy humble slough, and appear fresh. Be opposite with a kinsman, surly with servants; let thy tongue

stands for since or because it is. The logic of the passage requires it to be so understood; for, when a hound loses the trail, he sniffs all round till he recovers it, and then sets up a peculiar howl, “cries upon’t,” and starts off afresh in the pursuit. “Giving mouth” is the technical phrase for it; and Mr. Joseph Crosby writes me that “it is a cry well known both to the sportsmen and also to the rest of the pack, which immediately opens in concert.”

22 A fault, in the language of the chase, is a breach in the continuity of the trail, so that the hound loses the scent, and has to trace or snuff it out anew. The Poet has fault just so again in The Taming.

23 That is, fails or breaks down on being tried or put to the proof.

24 Simulation for resemblance or similarity. Malvolio cannot so easily find himself pointed out here as in what has gone before,
twang arguments of State; put thyself into the trick of singularity: she thus advises thee that sighs for thee. Remember who commended thy yellow stockings, and wish'd to see thee ever cross-garter'd. I say, remember. Go to, thou art made, if thou desirest to be so; if not, let me see thee a steward still, the fellow of servants, and not worthy to touch Fortune's fingers. Farewell. She that would alter services with thee,

THE FORTUNATE-UNHAPPY.

Daylight and champain discover not more: this is open. I will be proud, I will read politic authors, I will baffle Sir Toby, I will wash off gross acquaintance, I will be point-devise the very man. I do not now fool myself, to let imagination jade me; for every reason excites to this, that my lady loves me. She did commend my yellow stockings of late, she did praise my leg being cross-garter'd; and in this she manifests herself to my love, and, with a kind of injunction, drives me to these habits of her liking. I thank my stars, I am happy. I will be strange, stout, in yellow stockings, and cross-garter'd, even with the swiftness of putting on. God and my stars be praised! — Here is yet a postscript.

[Reads.] Thou canst not choose but know who I am. If thou entertain'st my love, let it appear in thy smiling: thy

25 A fashion once prevailed for some time of wearing the garters crossed on the leg. Rich and expensive garters worn below the knee were then in use. Olivia's detestation of these fashions probably arose from thinking them coxcombical.

26 Champain is open, level country, affording a free prospect.

27 "I will be punctiliously exacting and precise in all the dues and b-nings of my rank." — To baffle, as the word is here used, is to triumph over, to treat contemptuously, or to put down.

28 Strange, here, is reserved, distant, or standing aloof, and on his dignity. And stout is in " a concatenation accordingly"; that is, haughty, overbearing, or stout-tempered.
smiles become thee well; therefore in my presence still smile, dear my sweet, I pr'ythee.

God, I thank Thee.—I will smile; I will do every thing that thou wilt have me. [Exit.

Fab. I will not give my part of this sport for a pension of thousands to be paid from the Sophy. 29

Sir To. I could marry this wench for this device,—

Sir And. So could I too.

Sir To. —and ask no other dowry with her but such another jest.

Sir And. Nor I neither.

Fab. Here comes my noble gull-catcher.

Re-enter Maria.

Sir To. Wilt thou set thy foot o' my neck?

Sir And. Or o’ mine either?

Sir To. Shall I play my freedom at tray-trip, 30 and become thy bond-slave?

Sir And. I'faith, or I either?

Sir To. Why, thou hast put him in such a dream, that, when the image of it leaves him, he must run mad.

Mar. Nay, but say true; does it work upon him?

Sir To. Like aqua-vitae with a midwife.

Mar. If you will, then, see the fruits of the sport, mark

---

29 Sophy was the Persian title of majesty. At the time this play was written, Sir Robert Shirley had lately returned as ambassador from the Sophy. Sir Robert boasted of the great rewards he had received, and cut a big dash in London.

30 Tray-trip was probably a game of dice; though some hold it to have been the game of draughts. So in an old satire called Machiavel's Dog: "But, leaving cards, let's go to dice awhile; to passage, tretrippe, hazard, or mum-chance."—Play my freedom means play for my freedom; that is, stake it.
his first approach before my lady: he will come to her in yellow stockings, and 'tis a colour she abhors; and cross-garter'd, a fashion she detests: and he will smile upon her, which will now be so unsuitable to her disposition, being addicted to a melancholy as she is, that it cannot but turn him into a notable contempt. If you will see it, follow me.

Sir To. To the gates of Tartar,\textsuperscript{31} thou most excellent devil of wit!

Sir And. I'll make one too. \hfill \textit{[Execut.}

\textbf{ACT III.}

\textbf{SCENE I.—OILIVIA'S \textit{Garden}.}

\textit{Enter Viola, and the Clown with a tabor.}

\textit{Vio.} Save thee, friend, and thy music! dost thou live by thy tabor?\textsuperscript{1}

\textit{Clo.} No, sir, I live by the church.

\textit{Vio.} Art thou a churchman?\textsuperscript{2}

\textit{Clo.} No such matter, sir: I do live by the church; for I do live at my house, and my house doth stand by the church.

\textit{Vio.} So thou mayst say, the king lives by a beggar, if a beggar dwell near him; or, the church stands by thy tabor, if thy tabor stand by thy church.

\textsuperscript{31} Tartar is the old Tartarus or Hades. Note the sympathy of Tartar and devil.

\textsuperscript{1} It seems that the "allowed Fool" had a prescriptive right to the tabor as his musical instrument. Tarleton, the famous stage jester, is represented as armed with one, in a cut prefixed to his \textit{Fests}, 1611.

\textsuperscript{2} Churchman was in common use for clergyman.
Clo. You have said, sir. To see this age! A sentence is but a cheveril glove to a good wit: how quickly the wrong side may be turn'd outward!

Vio. Nay, that's certain; they that dally nicely with words may quickly make them wanton.

Clo. I would, therefore, my sister had had no name, sir.

Vio. Why, man?

Clo. Why, sir, her name's a word; and to dally with that word might make my sister wanton. But, indeed, words are very rascals, since bonds disgraced them.

Vio. Thy reason, man?

Clo. Troth, sir, I can yield you none without words; and words are grown so false, I am loth to prove reason with them.

Vio. I warrant thou art a merry fellow, and carest for nothing.

Clo. Not so, sir; I do care for something; but in my conscience, sir, I do not care for you: if that be to care for nothing, sir, I would it would make you invisible.

Vio. Art not thou the Lady Olivia's Fool?

Clo. No, indeed, sir; the Lady Olivia has no folly: she

---

8 This form of assent or affirmation, now obsolete, occurs in the Bible; as in our Lord's answer to Pilate, St. Mark, xv. 2: "Thou sayest it."

4 A cheveril glove is a kid glove. The term was used much as India rubber is now. So in one of Ray's proverbs: "He hath a conscience like a cheveril's skin."

5 This probably alludes to an order of the Privy Council, in June, 1600, laying very severe restrictions on the Poet's art. The order, besides that it allowed only two houses to be used for stage-plays in the city and suburbs, interdicted those two from playing at all during Lent, or in any time of great sickness, and also limited them to twice a week at all other times. If rigidly enforced it would have amounted almost to a total suppression of play-houses. As the penalty was imprisonment, it might well be said that words were disgraced by bonds.
will keep no fool, sir, till she be married; and fools are as like husbands as pilchards are to herring,⁶—the husband’s the bigger: I am, indeed, not her fool, but her corrupter of words.

_Vio._ I saw thee late at the Count Orsino’s.

_Clo._ Foolery, sir, does walk about the orb; like the Sun, it shines everywhere. I would be sorry, sir, but the fool should be as oft with your master as with my mistress: I think I saw your wisdom there.

_Vio._ Nay, an thou pass⁸ upon me, I’ll no more with thee. Hold, there’s expenses for thee. [Gives a piece of money.

_Clo._ Now Jove, in his next commodity of hair, send thee a beard!

_Vio._ By my troth, I’ll tell thee, I am almost sick for one; though I would not have it grow on my chin. Is thy lady within?

_Clo._ Would not a pair of these breed,⁹ sir?

_Vio._ Yes, being kept together, and put to use.

_Clo._ I would play Lord Pandarus of Phrygia, sir, to bring a Cressida to this Troilus.

_Vio._ I understand you, sir: ’tis well begged. [Gives another piece of money.

_Clo._ The matter, I hope, is not great, sir, begging but a beggar: Cressida was a beggar.¹⁰ My lady is within, sir. I

---

⁶ Pilchards are said to differ from herring only in that they can be fried in their own fat, whereas herring have not fat enough for that purpose.

⁷ But is here equivalent to if not. See The Merchant, ii. 5, note 19.

⁸ Pass for make a pass, thrust, or sally, of wit.

⁹ The Fool is quirikishly asking for a mate to the piece of money Viola has given him.

¹⁰ This famous jilt-heroine is thus addressed in Henryson’s Testament of Cresseid: “Great penurye shalt thou suffer, and as a beggar dye.” And again:

_Thou shalt go begging from houst to houst,_
_with cuppe and clapper like a Lasarous,_
will construe to them whence you come; who you are, and what you would, are out of my welkin, — I might say element,\textsuperscript{11} but the word is over-worn. \[Exit.\]

Vio. This fellow's wise enough to play the Fool; And to do that well craves a kind of wit: He must observe their mood on whom he jests, The quality of persons, and the time; Not, like the haggard, check at every feather That comes before his eye.\textsuperscript{12} This is a practice As full of labour as a wise man's art: For folly, that he wisely shows, is fit; But wise men's folly, shown, quite taints their wit.\textsuperscript{13}

\textit{Enter Sir Toby Belch and Sir Andrew Aguecheek.}

Sir To. Save you, gentleman!

Vio. And you, sir.

Sir And. \textit{Dieu vous garde, monsieur.}

Vio. \textit{Et vous aussi; votre serviteur.}

Sir And. I hope, sir, you are; and I am yours.

Sir To. Will you encounter the house? my niece is desirous you should enter, if your trade be to her.

Vio. I am bound to your niece, sir; I mean, she is the list\textsuperscript{14} of my voyage.

\textsuperscript{11} Element was constantly in the mouths of those who affected fine talking in the Poet's time. The intellectual esquisites thus run it into cant. Perhaps the word was as much overworked as idea and intuition are in our time.

\textsuperscript{12} A haggard is a wild or untrained hawk, which flies, checks, at all birds, or birds of every feather, indiscriminately. See Much Ado, page 67, note a.

\textsuperscript{13} To taint, as here used, is to impeach, attaint, or bring into an attainder. Wit, also, was used in the sense of wisdom, being in fact from the same original.

\textsuperscript{14} List was often used for limit or boundary; as, in the well-known language of the tilting-ground, for barrier.
Sir To. Taste\textsuperscript{15} your legs, sir; put them to motion.

Vio. My legs do better understand me, sir, than I understand what you mean by bidding me taste my legs.

Sir To. I mean, to go, sir, to enter.

Vio. I will answer you with gait and entrance: but we are prevented.\textsuperscript{16} —

Enter Olivia and Maria.

Most excellent-accomplish'd lady, the heavens rain odours on you!

Sir And. [Aside.] That youth's a rare courtier: Rain odours: well.

Vio. My matter hath no voice, lady, but to your own most pregnant\textsuperscript{17} and vouchsafed ear.

Sir And. [Aside.] Odours, pregnant, and vouchsafed: I'll get 'em all three ready.

Oli. Let the garden-door be shut, and leave me to my hearing. [Exeunt Sir Toby, Sir Andrew, and Maria.] — Give me your hand, sir.

Vio. My duty, madam, and most humble service.

Oli. What is your name?

Vio. Cesario is your servant's name, fair princess.

Oli. My servant, sir! 'Twas never merry world

Since lowly feigning was call'd compliment:
You're servant to the Count Orsino, youth.

Vio. And he is yours, and his must needs be yours:
Your servant's servant is your servant, madam.

Oli. For him, I think not on him: for his thoughts,

\textsuperscript{15} Taste was sometimes used in the sense of try. So in Chapman's Odyssey: "He now began to taste the bow.

\textsuperscript{16} Prevented in the classical sense of anticipated or forestalled. Often so.


\textsuperscript{17} Pregnant here means apprehensive, quick, or intelligent.
Would they were blanks, rather than fill’d with me!

Vio. Madam, I come to whet your gentle thoughts
On his behalf,—

Oli. O, by your leave, I pray you:
I bade you never speak again of him;
But, would you undertake another suit,
I had rather hear you to solicit that
Than music from the spheres.

Vio. Dear lady,—

Oli. Give me leave, I beseech you. I did send,
After the last enchantment you did here,
A ring in chase of you: so did I abuse
Myself, my servant, and, I fear me, you:
Under your hard construction must I sit,
To force that on you, in a shameful cunning,
Which you knew none of yours: what might you think?
Have you not set mine honour at the stake,
And baited it with all th’ unmuzzled thoughts
That tyrannous heart can think? To one of your
Receiving enough is shown:
A cyprus, not a bosom, hides my heart.
So, let me hear you speak.

Vio. I pity you.

Oli. That’s a degree to love.

Vio. No, not a grise; for ’tis a vulgar proof,
That very oft we pity enemies.

Oli. Why, then methinks 'tis time to smile again.

O world, how apt the poor are to be proud!
If one should be a prey, how much the better
To fall before the lion than the wolf! 

[Clock strikes.

The clock upbraids me with the waste of time. —
Be not afraid, good youth, I will not have you:
And yet, when wit and youth is come to harvest,
Your wife is like to reap a proper man:
There lies your way, due west.

Vio. Then westward-ho! 23

Grace and good disposition 'tend your ladyship!
You'll nothing, madam, to my lord by me?

Oli. Stay:

I pr'ythee, tell me what thou think'st of me.

Vio. That you do think you are not what you are.

Oli. If I think so, I think the same of you.

Vio. Then think you right: I am not what I am.

Oli. I would you were as I would have you be!

Vio. Would it be better, madam, than I am,

I wish it might; for now I am your fool.

Oli. [Aside.] O, what a deal of scorn looks beautiful
In the contempt and anger of his lip!
A murderous guilt shows not itself more soon
Than love that would seem hid: love's night is noon. —

Cesario, by the roses of the Spring,
By maidhood, honour, truth, and every thing,
I love thee so, that, maugre 24 all thy pride,
Nor wit nor reason can my passion hide.

23 An exclamation used by watermen on the Thames. *Westward ho,*

*Northward ho,* and *Eastward ho,* were also used as titles of plays.

24 *Maugre* is *in spite of,* from the French *malgre.*
Do not extort thy reasons from this clause, 25
For, that I woo, thou therefore hast no cause;
But, rather, reason thus with reason fetter,—
Love sought is good, but given unsought is better.

Vio. By innocence I swear, and by my youth,
I have one heart, one bosom, and one truth,
And that no woman has; nor never none 26
Shall mistress be of it, save I alone.
And so adieu, good madam; never more
Will I my master’s tears to you deplore.

Oli. Yet come again; for thou perhaps mayst move
That heart, which now abhors, to like his love.  [Exeunt.

Scene II.—A Room in Olivia’s House.

Enter Sir Toby Belch, Sir Andrew Aguecheek, and Fabian.

Sir And. No, faith, I’ll not stay a jot longer.
Sir To. Thy reason, dear venom: give thy reason.
Fab. You must needs yield your reason, Sir Andrew.
Sir And. Marry, I saw your niece do more favours to the
Count’s serving-man than ever she bestow’d upon me; I
saw’t i’ the orchard.

Sir To. Did she see thee the while, old boy? tell me
that:

25 This is rather darkly expressed; but the meaning appears to be, “Do
not, from what I have just said, force or gather reasons for rejecting my
offer.” Perhaps Olivia thinks her superiority of rank may excuse her in
thus making the first open advances.

26 We should say, “nor ever any.” The doubling of negatives is very
frequent in Shakespeare, as in all the writers of his time; but such a trebling
is rare, at least comparatively so.
Sir And. As plain as I see you now.

Fab. This was a great argument of love in her toward you.

Sir And. 'Slight, will you make an ass o' me?

Fab. I will prove it legitimate, sir, upon the oaths of judgment and reason.

Sir To. And they have been grand-jurymen since before Noah was a sailor.

Fab. She did show favour to the youth in your sight only to exasperate you, to awake your dormouse valour, to put fire in your heart, and brimstone in your liver. You should then have accosted her; and with some excellent jests, fire-new from the mint, you should have bang'd the youth into dumbness. This was look'd for at your hand, and this was balk'd: the double gilt of this opportunity you let time wash off, and you are now sail'd into the north of my lady's opinion; where you will hang like an icicle on a Dutchman's beard, unless you do redeem it by some laudable attempt either of valour or policy.

Sir And. An't be any way, it must be with valour; for policy I hate: I had as lief be a Brownist ¹ as a politician.

Sir To. Why, then build me ² thy fortunes upon the basis of valour. Challenge me the Count's youth to fight with him;

¹ The Brownists were one of the radical sects that arose during the reign of Elizabeth; so called from Robert Brown, their founder. Like others of their kind, their leading purpose was to prevent the abuse of certain things, such as laws, by uprooting the use of them. Malvolio appears to have been intended partly as a satire on the Puritans in general; they being especially strenuous at the time this play was written to have restrictions set upon playing. But there had been a deep-seated grudge between the Puritans and the Dramatists ever since Nash put out the eyes of Martin Marprelate with salt.

² In colloquial language, me was often thus used redundantly, though with a slight dash of humour.
hurt him in eleven places: my niece shall take note of it; and assure thyself, there is no love-broker in the world can more prevail in man's commendation with woman than report of valour.

Fab. There is no way but this, Sir Andrew.

Sir And. Will either of you bear me a challenge to him?

Sir To. Go, write it in a martial hand; be curst and brief; it is no matter how witty, so it be eloquent and full of invention: taunt him with the license of ink: if thou thou'st him some thrice, it shall not be amiss; and as many lies as will lie in thy sheet of paper, although the sheet were big enough for the bed of Ware in England, set 'em down: go, about it. Let there be gall enough in thy ink; though thou write with a goose-pen, no matter: about it.

Sir And. Where shall I find you?

Sir To. We'll call thee at thy cubiculo: go.

[Exit Sir ANDREW.

8 A love-broker is one who mediates or breaks the ice between two bashful lovers. Pandarus sustains that office in Troilus and Cressida; hence our word pander.

4 Curst is cross, snappish. We should say, "Be short," or "Be tart."

5 This has been generally thought an allusion to Coke's abusive thouing of Sir Walter Raleigh at his trial; but the play was acted a year and a half before that trial took place. And indeed it had been no insult to thou Sir Walter, unless there were some pre-existing custom or sentiment to make it so. What that custom was, may be seen by the following passage from a book published in 1661, by George Fox the Quaker: "For this thou and thee was a sore cut to proud flesh, and them that sought self-honour; who, though they would say it to God and Christ, would not endure to have it said to themselves. So that we were often beaten and abused, and sometimes in danger of our lives, for using those words to some proud men, who would say, What, you ill-bred clown, do you thou me!"

6 This curious piece of furniture was a few years since still in being at one of the inns in that town. It was reported to be twelve feet square, and capable of holding twenty-four persons.

7 Cubicolo, from the Latin cubiculum, is a sleeping-room.
Fab. This is a dear manikin⁸ to you, Sir Toby.

Sir To. I have been dear to him, lad,—some two thousand strong, or so.⁹

Fab. We shall have a rare letter from him: but you'll not deliver't?

Sir To. Never trust me, then; and by all means stir on the youth to an answer. I think oxen and wain-ropes cannot hale them together. For Andrew, if he were open'd, an you find so much blood in his liver⁠¹⁰ as will clog the foot of a flea, I'll eat the rest of the anatomy.

Fab. And his opposite, the youth, bears in his visage no great presage of cruelty.

Sir To. Look, where the youngest wren of nine comes.¹¹

Enter Maria.

Mar. If you desire the spleen,¹² and will laugh yourselves into stitches, follow me. Yond gull Malvalio is turn'd heathen, a very renegado; for there is no Christian, that means to be saved by believing rightly, can ever believe such impossible passages of grossness.¹³ He's in yellow stockings.

---

⁸ Manikin is an old diminutive of man; here it means pet.
⁹ Meaning that he hasfooled or dangled so much money out of him.
¹⁰ A red liver, or a liver full of blood, was the common badge of courage, as a white or bloodless liver was of cowardice.
¹¹ Alluding to the small stature of Maria. Sir Toby elsewhere calls her “the little villain,” and Viola ironically speaks of her as “giant.” The expression seems to have been proverbial; the wren generally laying nine or ten eggs, and the last hatched being the smallest of the brood.
¹² The spleen was held to be the special seat of unbenevolent risibility, and so the cause of teasing or pestering mirth; splenetic laughter. Here it seems to mean a fit or turn of excessive merriment, dashed with something of a spiteful humour.
¹³ A rather curious commentary on the old notion of “Salvation by orthodoxy,” or “belief in believing.” The meaning is, that even one who makes
SCENE III.
WHAT YOU WILL.

Sir To. And cross-garter'd?

Mar. Most villainously; like a pedant
14 that keeps a school
i' the church. I have dogg'd him, like his murderer. He
does obey every point of the letter that I dropp'd to betray
him: he does smile his face into more lines than are in the
new map, with the augmentation of the Indies:15 you have
not seen such a thing as 'tis; I can hardly forbear hurling
things at him. I know my lady will strike him: if she do,
he'll-smile, and take't for a great favour.

Sir To. Come, bring us, bring us where he is. [Exeunt.

SCENE III. — A Street.

Enter Sebastian and Antonio.

Seb. I would not, by my will, have troubled you;
But, since you make your pleasure of your pains,
I will no further chide you.

Ant. I could not stay behind you: my desire,
More sharp than file'd steel, did spur me forth;
And not all love to see you, — though so much
As might have drawn me to a longer voyage, —
But jealousy what might befall your travel,
Being skilless in these parts; which to a stranger,

a merit of being easy of belief, as thinking to be saved thereby, could not
believe a thing so grossly incredible as this. The Poet has impossible else-
where in the sense of incredible. See Much Ado, page 49, note 21.

14 The Poet uses pedant for pedagogue. So Holofernes the schoolmaster
is called repeatedly in Love's Labours Lost; also the tutors employed for
Catharine and Bianca in The Taming of the Shrew.

15 Alluding, no doubt, to a map which appeared in the second edition of
Hakluyt's Voyages, in 1598. This map is multilinear in the extreme, and is
the first in which the Eastern Islands are included.
Unguided and unfriended, often prove
Rough and unhospitable: my willing love,
The rather by these arguments of fear,
Set forth in your pursuit.

Seb. My kind Antonio,
I can no other answer make, but thanks,
And thanks, and ever thanks; too oft good turns
Are shuffled off with such uncourtly pay:
But, were my worth,¹ as is my conscience, firm,
You should find better dealing. What's to do?
Shall we go see the reliques² of this town?

Ant. To-morrow, sir; best first go see your lodging.

Seb. I am not weary, and 'tis long to night:
I pray you, let us satisfy our eyes
With the memorials and the things of fame
That do renown this city.

Ant. Would you'd pardon me;
I do not without danger walk these streets:
Once, in a sea-fight, 'gainst the County's galleys
I did some service; of such note indeed,
That, were I ta'en here, it would³ scarce be answer'd.

Seb. Belike you slew great number of his people.

Ant. Th' offence is not of such a bloody nature;
Albeit the quality of the time and quarrel
Might well have given us bloody argument.⁴

¹ Worth here stands for wealth or fortune. Repeatedly so.
² Reliques for antiquities, or, as it is said a little after, "the memorials and the things of fame" that confer renown upon the city.
³ Would for could; the auxiliaries could, should, and would being often used indiscriminately. The same with shall and will; as in a subsequent speech: "Haply your eyes shall light," &c.
⁴ Argument readily passes over into the sense of debate, and debate as readily into that of strive or conflict.
SCENE III. WHAT YOU WILL.

It might have since been answer'd in repaying
What we took from them; which, for traffic's sake,
Most of our city did: only myself stood out;
For which, if I be lapsèd in this place,
I shall pay dear.

Seb. Do not, then, walk too open.

Ant. It doth not fit me. Hold, sir, here's my purse.

In the south suburbs, at the Elephant, is
Best to lodge: I will bespeak our diet,
While you beguile the time and feed your knowledge
With viewing of the town: there shall you have me.

Seb. Why I your purse?

Ant. Haply your eye shall light upon some toy
You have desire to purchase; and your store,
I think, is not for idle markets, sir.

Seb. I'll be your purse-bearer, and leave you for
An hour.

Ant. To th' Elephant.

Seb. I do remember. [Exeunt.

---

5 Lapsèd is, properly, fallen; but here carries the sense of making a slip or mis-step, so as to be recognized and caught.

6 An inn so named; probably from its having a picture of an elephant for its sign; like the boar's-head of Falstaff's famous tavern in Eastcheap. In old times, when but few people could read, lettered signs would not do; and so pictured ones were used instead.
Scene IV. — Olivia's Garden.

Enter Olivia and Maria.

Oli. [Aside.] I have sent after him: says he, he'll come, How shall I feast him? what bestow of him?⁷ For youth is bought more oft than begg'd or borrow'd. I speak too loud. — Where is Malvolio? — he is sad¹ and civil, And suits well for a servant with my fortunes: — Where is Malvolio?

Mar. He's coming, madam; but in very strange manner. He is, sure, possess'd, madam.

Oli. Why, what's the matter? does he rave?

Mar. No, madam, he does nothing but smile: your ladyship were best to have some guard about you, if he come; for, sure, the man is tainted in's wits.

Oli. Go call him hither. [Exit Maria.] — I'm as mad as he, If sad and merry madness equal be.—

Re-enter Maria, with Malvolio.

How now Malvolio!

Mal. Sweet lady, ho, ho. [Smiles fantastically.

Oli. Smilest thou? I sent for thee upon a sad occasion.

Mal. Sad, lady! I could be sad: this does make some obstruction in the blood, this cross-gartering; but what of

⁷ We should say, "bestow on him." This indifferent use of on and of is very frequent.— In the line before, "says he, he'll come" of course means "if he says he'll come." This way of making the subjunctive is common.

¹ Sad in its old sense of serious or grave. See Much Ado, page 30, note 17.
that? if it please the eye of one, it is with me as the very true sonnet is, Please one, and please all.3

Oli. Why, how dost thou, man? what is the matter with thee?

Mal. Not black in my mind, though yellow in my legs. It did come to his hands, and commands shall be executed: I think we do know the sweet Roman hand.

Oli. Wilt thou go to bed, Malvolio?

Mal. To bed! ay, sweet-heart; and I'll come to thee.

Oli. God comfort thee! Why dost thou smile so, and kiss thy hand so oft?

Mar. How do you, Malvolio?

Mal. At your request! yes; nightingales answer daws.

Mar. Why appear you with this ridiculous boldness before my lady?

Mal. Be not afraid of greatness:—'twas well writ.

Oli. What mean'st thou by that, Malvolio?

Mal. Some are born great,—

Oli. Ha!

Mal. —some achieve greatness,—

Oli. What sayest thou?

Mal. —and some have greatness thrust upon them.

Oli. Heaven restore thee!

Mal. Remember who commended thy yellow stockings,—

Oli. My yellow stockings!

Mal. —and wish'd to see thee cross-garter'd.

3 A copy of this "very true sonnet" was discovered a few years ago. It is adorned with a rude portrait of Queen Elizabeth, with her feathered fan, starched ruff, and ample farthingale, and is said to have been composed by her Majesty's right merry and facetious droll, Dick Tarleton; and has the heading, "A prettie new Ballad, intituled, The Crowe sits upon the wall, Please one and please all." The last line forms the burden, and is repeated in each stanza.
"Oli. Cross-garter'd!
Mal. Go to, thou art made, if thou desirest to be so;—
"Oli. Am I made?
Mal. —if not, let me see thee a servant still.
"Oli. Why, this is very midsummer madness. 3

Enter a Servant.

Ser. Madam, the young gentleman of the Count Orsino's is return'd: I could hardly entreat him back: he attends your ladyship's pleasure.

"Oli. I'll come to him. [Exit Servant.]—Good Maria, let this fellow be look'd to. Where's my cousin Toby? Let some of my people have a special care of him: I would not have him miscarry for the half of my dowry.

[Exeunt Olivia and Maria.

Mal. O, ho! do you come near me now? no worse man than Sir Toby to look to me? This concurs directly with the letter: she sends him on purpose, that I may appear stubborn to him; for she incites me to that in the letter. Cast thy humble slough, says she: be opposite with a kinsman, surly with servants; let thy tongue twang arguments of State; put thyself into the trick of singularity: and, consequently, sets down the manner how; as, a sad face, a reverent carriage, a slow tongue, in the habit of some sir of note, and so forth. I have limed her; 4 but it is God's doing, and God make me thankful! And, when she went away now, Let this fellow be look'd to: fellow! not Malvolio, nor after my

8 "Tis midsummer moon with you" was a proverbial phrase, meaning you are mad. Hot weather was of old thought to affect the brain.
4 That is, caught her, as a bird is caught with lime. Lime was used for any trap or snare for catching birds. See Much Ado, page 200, note 10.
degree, but fellow. Why, every thing adheres together, that no dram of a scruple, no scruple of a scruple, no obstacle, no incredulous or unsafe circumstance,—What can be said? Nothing, that can be, can come between me and the full prospect of my hopes. Well, God, not I, is the doer of this, and He is to be thanked.

Re-enter Maria with Sir Toby Belch and Fabian.

Sir To. Which way is he, in the name of sanctity? If all the devils of Hell be drawn in little, and Legion himself possessed him, yet I’ll speak to him.

Fab. Here he is, here he is.—How is’t with you, sir? how is’t with you, man?

Mal. Go off; I discard you: let me enjoy my private: go off.

Mar. Lo, how hollow the fiend speaks within him! did not I tell you?—Sir Toby, my lady prays you to have a care of him.

Mal. Ah, ha! does she so?

Sir To. Go to, go to; peace, peace; we must deal gently with him: let me alone.—How do you, Malvolio? how is’t with you? What, man! defy the Devil: consider, he’s an enemy to mankind.

Mal. Do you know what you say?

Mar. La you, an you speak ill of the Devil, how he takes it at heart! Pray God, he be not bewitch’d! My lady would not lose him for more than I’ll say.

Mal. How now, mistress!

Mar. O Lord!

5 Malvolio takes fellow in the sense of companion or equal.

6 Incredulous for incredible; an instance of the indiscriminate use of active and passive forms. See As You Like It, page 96, note 4.

7 Defy, again, for renounce or abjure. See page 48, note 13.
Sir To. Pr'ythee, hold thy peace; this is not the way: do you not see you move him? let me alone with him.

Fab. No way but gentleness; gently, gently: the fiend is rough, and will not be roughly used.

Sir To. Why, how now, my bawcock! how dost thou, chuck? 8

Mal. Sir!

Sir To. Ay, Biddy,9 come with me. What, man! 'tis not for gravity to play at cherry-pit with Satan: hang him, foul collier! 10

Mar. Get him to say his prayers; good Sir Toby, get him to pray.

Mal. My prayers, minx!

Mar. No, I warrant you, he will not hear of godliness.

Mal. Go, hang yourselves all! you are idle shallow things: I am not of your element: you shall know more hereafter.

Sir To. Is't possible?

Fab. If this were play'd upon a stage now, I could condemn it as an improbable fiction.

Sir To. His very genius hath taken the infection of the device, man.

Mar. Nay, pursue him now, lest the device take air, and taint.

8 Bawcock and chuck were used as terms of playful familiarity, sometimes of endearment.

9 Biddy is a diminutive of Bridget. An old term of familiar endearment, applied to chickens and other fowl.

10 Cherry-pit was a game played by pitching cherry-stones into a hole. Collier was in Shakespeare's time a term of the highest reproach. The coal-venders were in bad repute, not only from the blackness of their appearance, but that many of them were also great cheats. The Devil is called collier for his blackness. Hence the proverb, "Like will to like, as the Devil with the collier."
Fab. Why, we shall make him mad indeed.

Mar. The house will be the quieter.

Sir To. Come, we'll have him in a dark room and bound. My niece is already in the belief that he's mad: we may carry it thus, for our pleasure and his penance, till our very pastime, tired out of breath, prompt us to have mercy on him; at which time we will bring the device to the bar, and crown thee for a finder of madmen. — But see, but see.

Fab. More matter for a May morning.

Enter Sir Andrew Aguecheek.

Sir And. Here's the challenge, read it: I warrant there's vinegar and pepper in't.

Fab. Is't so saucy?

Sir And. Ay, is't, I warrant him: do but read.

Sir To. Give me. [Reads.] Youth, whatsoever thou art, thou art but a scurvy fellow.

Fab. Good, and valiant.

Sir To. [Reads.] Wonder not, nor admire not in thy mind, why I do call thee so, for I will show thee no reason for't.

Fab. A good note: that keeps you from the blow of the law.

Sir To. [Reads.] Thou comest to the Lady Olivia, and

---

11 This seems to have been the common way of treating madness in the Poet's time. See As You Like It, page 93, note 49.

12 It was usual on the First of May to exhibit metrical interludes of the comic kind, as well as other sports, such as the Morris-Dance. — In the line before, “a finder of madmen” is probably meant in a legal sense; as when a coroner or jury finds, that is, brings in or renders, a verdict. See As You Like It, page 110, note 8.
in my sight she uses thee kindly: but thou liest in thy throat; that is not the matter I challenge thee for.

Fab. Very brief, and exceeding good sense—less.

Sir To. [Reads.] I will waylay thee going home; where if it be thy chance to kill me,—

Fab. Good.

Sir To. [Reads.]—thou kill'st me like a rogue and a villain.

Fab. Still you keep o' the windy side of the law: good.

Sir To. [Reads.] Fare thee well; and God have mercy upon one of our souls! He may have mercy upon mine; but my hope is better, and so look to thyself. Thy friend, as thou usest him, and thy sworn enemy, Andrew Aguecheek.

If this letter move him not, his legs cannot: I'll give't him.

Mar. You may have very fit occasion for't: he is now in some commerce with my lady, and will by-and-by depart.

Sir To. Go, Sir Andrew; scout me for him at the corner of the orchard, like a bum-baily: so soon as ever thou see'st him, draw; and, as thou drawest, swear horrible; for it comes to pass oft, that a terrible oath, with a swaggering accent sharply twang'd off, gives manhood more approba-
tion than ever proof itself would have earn'd him. Away!

Sir And. Nay, let me alone for swearing. [Exit.

Sir To. Now will not I deliver his letter: for the beha-

13 The man on whose soul he hopes that God will have mercy is the one that he supposes will fall in the combat: but Sir Andrew hopes to escape unhurt, and to have no present occasion for that blessing. — MASON.

14 Bum-baily is a waggish form of bum-bailiff, which, again, is a corruption of bound-bailiff; a subordinate officer, like our deputy-sheriff, so called from the bond which he had to give for the faithful discharge of his trust.
and my niece confirms no less: therefore this letter, being so excellently ignorant, will breed no terror in the youth,—he will find it comes from a clodpole. But, sir, I will deliver his challenge by word of mouth; set upon Aguecheek a notable report of valour; and drive the gentleman—as I know his youth will aptly receive it—into a most hideous opinion of his rage, skill, fury, and impetuosity. This will so fright them both, that they will kill one another by the look, like cockatrices.  

_Fab._ Here he comes with your niece: give them way till he take leave, and presently after him.

_Sir To._ I will meditate the while upon some horrid message for a challenge. [Execut Sir Toby, Fabian, and Maria.

_Re-enter Olivia, with Viola._

_Oli._ I've said too much unto a heart of stone,  
And laid mine honour too uncharily out:  
There's something in me that reproves my fault;  
But such a headstrong potent fault it is,  
That it but mocks reproof.

_Vio._ With the same haviour that your passion bears,  
Goes on my master's grief.

_Oli._ Here, wear this jewel for me,—'tis my picture:  
Refuse it not; it hath no tongue to vex you:  
And, I beseech you, come again to-morrow.  
What shall you ask of me that I'll deny,  
That honour, saved, may upon asking give?

_Vio._ Nothing but this,—your true love for my master.

---

15 This imaginary serpent was fabled to have the power of darting venom from its eyes, or of killing by its look. Shakespeare elsewhere has the phrase, "death-darting eye of cockatrice." He also has several allusions to the same beast under the name of basilisk.
Oli. How with mine honour may I give him that
Which I have given to you?

Vio. I will acquit you.

Oli. Well, come again to-morrow: fare thee well:
A fiend like thee might bear my soul to Hell. [Exit.

Re-enter Sir Toby Belch and Fabian.

Sir To. Gentleman, God save thee!

Vio. And you, sir.

Sir To. That defence thou hast, betake thee to't: of what
nature the wrongs are thou hast done him, I know not; but
thy interceptor, full of despite, bloody as the hunter, attends
thee at the orchard-end: dismount thy tuck, be yare\textsuperscript{16} in thy
preparation; for thy assailant is quick, skilful, and deadly.

Vio. You mistake, sir; I am sure no man hath any quar-
rel to me: my remembrance is very free and clear from any
image of offence done to any man.

Sir To. You'll find it otherwise, I assure you: therefore,
if you hold your life at any price, betake you to your guard;
for your opposite\textsuperscript{17} hath in him what youth, strength, skill, and
wrath can furnish man withal.

Vio. I pray you, sir, what is he?

Sir To. He is knight, dubb'd with unhack'd rapier and on
carpet consideration;\textsuperscript{18} but he is a devil in private brawl:

\textsuperscript{16} Tuck is a rapier or long dagger. — Yare is quick, nimble, or prompt. —
"Attends thee" here means waits for thee. So in Coriolanus, l. 10: "I am
attended at the cypress grove."

\textsuperscript{17} Opposite for opponent or adversary. So in the second scene of this
Act: "And his opposite, the youth, bears in his visage no great presage of
cruelty." Shakespeare never uses opponent.

\textsuperscript{18} The meaning of this may be gathered from Randle Holme. Speaking
of a certain class of knights, he says, "They are termed simply knights of
the carpet, or knights of the green cloth, to distinguish them from knights
souls and bodies hath he divorced three; and his incense-
ment at this moment is so implacable, that satisfaction can be
none but by pangs of death and sepulchre: hob-nob\(^{19}\) is his
word; give't or take't.

\textit{Vio.} I will return again into the house, and desire some
conduct\(^{20}\) of the lady. I am no fighter. I have heard of
some kind of men that put quarrels purposely on others, to
taste\(^{21}\) their valour: belike this is a man of that quirk.

\textit{Sir To.} Sir, no; his indignation derives itself out of a
very competent injury: therefore get you on, and give him
his desire. Back you shall not to the house, unless you un-
dertake that with me which with as much safety you might
answer him: therefore on, or strip your sword stark naked;
for meddle you must, that's certain, or forswear to wear iron
about you.

\textit{Vio.} This is as uncivil as strange. I beseech you, do me
this courteous office, as to know of the knight what my
offence to him is: it is something of my negligence, nothing
of my purpose.

\textit{Sir To.} I will do so.—Signior Fabian, stay you by this
gentleman till my return. \([\text{Exit.}]\)

\textit{Vio.} Pray you, sir, do you know of this matter?

\textit{Fab.} I know the knight is incensed against you, even to a
mortal arbitrement; but nothing of the circumstance more.

\textit{Vio.} I beseech you, what manner of man is he?

that are dubbed as soldiers in the field; though in these days they are cre-
ated or dubbed with the like ceremony as the others are, by the stroke of a
naked sword upon the shoulder."

\(^{19}\) Hob-nob, hab-nab, habbe or nabbe, is have or not have, hit or miss.

\(^{20}\) Conduct for conductor, escort, or convoy. So in \textit{The Tempest}, v. 1:
"There is in this business more than Nature was ever conduct of." Also in
\textit{The Merchant}, iv. 1: " Go give him courteous conduct to this place."

\(^{21}\) Taste in the sense of try has occurred before in this Act.
Fab. Nothing of that wonderful promise, to read him by his form, as you are like to find him in the proof of his valour. He is, indeed, sir, the most skilful, bloody, and fatal opposite that you could possibly have found in any part of Illyria. Will you walk towards him? I will make your peace with him, if I can.

Vio. I shall be much bound to you for't: I am one that had rather go with sir priest than sir knight: 22 I care not who knows so much of my mettle. [Exeunt.

Scene V.—The Street adjoining Olivia's Garden.

Enter Sir Toby Belch and Sir Andrew Aguecheek.

Sir To. Why, man, he's a very devil; I have not seen such a sirago. 1 I had a pass with him, rapier, scabbard, and all, and he gives me the stuck-in 2 with such a mortal motion, that it is inevitable; and, on the answer, he pays you as surely as your feet hit the ground they step on. They say he has been fencer to the Sophy.

Sir And. Pox on't, I'll not meddle with him.

Sir To. Ay, but he will not now be pacified: Fabian can scarce hold him yonder.

Sir And. Plague on't, an I thought he had been valiant and so cunning in fence, I'd have seen him damn'd ere I'd have challenged him. Let him let the matter slip, and I'll give him my horse, gray Capulet.

22 Viola's fright does not quench her humour, or her sense of the ludicrous in her position. Her meaning is, that she would rather be one of the parties in a marriage than in a duel.

1 Sirago, for virago. The meaning appears to be, "I have never seen a viraginous woman so obstreperous and violent as he is."

2 A corruption of stoccata, an Italian term in fencing.
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Sir To. I'll make the motion: stand here, make a good show on't: this shall end without the perdition of souls.—[Aside.] Marry, I'll ride your horse as well as I ride you.—

Enter Fabian and Viola.

[To Fab.] I have his horse to take up the quarrel: I have persuaded him the youth's a devil.

Fab. He is as horribly conceited of him; and pants and looks pale, as if a bear were at his heels.

Sir To. [To Vio.] There's no remedy, sir; he will fight with you for's oath-sake: marry, he hath betterbethought him of his quarrel, and he finds that now scarce to be worth talking of: therefore draw, for the supportance of his vow; he protests he will not hurt you.

Vio. [Aside.] Pray God defend me! A little thing would make me tell them how much I lack of a man.

Fab. Give ground, if you see him furious.

Sir To. Come, Sir Andrew, there's no remedy; the gentleman will, for his honour's sake, have one bout with you; he cannot by the duello avoid it: but he has promised me, as he is a gentleman and a soldier, he will not hurt you. Come on; to't.

Sir And. Pray God, he keep his oath! [Draws.

Vio. I do assure you, 'tis against my will. [Draws.

Enter Antonio.

Ant. Put up your sword. If this young gentleman Have done offence, I take the fault on me; If you offend him, I for him defy you.

3 Take up is the old phrase for make up or settle. See As You Like It, page 134, note 7.
4 He has as horrid a conception of him.
Sir To. You, sir! why, what are you?

Ant. [Drawing.] One, sir, that for his love dares yet do more
Than you have heard him brag to you he will.

Sir To. Nay, if you be an undertaker, I am for you.

[Draws.

Fab. O good Sir Toby, hold! here come the officers.

Sir To. [To Antonio.] I'll be with you anon.

Vio. [To Sir And.] Pray, sir, put your sword up, if you
please.

Sir And. Marry, will I, sir; and, for that I promised you,
I'll be as good as my word: he will bear you easily, and
reins well.

Enter Officers.

1 Off. This is the man; do thy office.

2 Off. Antonio, I arrest thee at the suit

Of Count Orsino.

Ant. You do mistake me, sir.

1 Off. No, sir, no jot; I know your favour well,
Though now you have no sea-cap on your head.—
Take him away: he knows I know him well.

Ant. I must obey.—[To Vio.] This comes with seeking
you:
But there's no remedy; I shall answer it.
What will you do; now my necessity
Makes me to ask you for my purse? It grieves me
Much more for what I cannot do for you
Than what befalls myself. You stand amazed;
But be of comfort.6

6 One who takes up or undertakes the quarrels of others; an intermeddler
or intruder.

6 Be of comfort is old language for be comforted.
2 Off. Come, sir, away.

Ant. I must entreat of you some of that money.

Vio. What money, sir?

For the fair kindness you have show'd me here,
And, part, being prompted by your present trouble,
Out of my lean and low ability
I'll lend you something: my having is not much;
I'll make division of my present with you:
Hold, there is half my coffer.

Ant. Will you deny me now?

Is't possible that my deserts to you
Can lack persuasion? Do not tempt my misery,
Lest that it make me so unsound a man
As to upbraid you with those kindesses.
That I have done for you.

Vio. I know of none:

Nor know I you by voice or any feature:
I hate ingratitude more in a man
Than lying, vainness, babbling, drunkenness,
Or any taint of vice whose strong corruption
Inhabits our frail blood.

Ant. O Heavens themselves!

2 Off. Come, sir, I pray you, go.

Ant. Let me speak a little. This youth that you see here
I snatch'd one half out of the jaws of death;
Relieved him with all sanctity of love;
And to this image, which methought did promise
Most venerable worth, did I devotion.

1 Off. What's that to us? The time goes by: away?

Ant. But, O, how vile an idol proves this god!—
Thou hast, Sebastian, done good feature shame.
In nature there's no blemish but the mind;
None can be call'd deform'd but the unkind;\footnote{Unkind, here, is unnatural, ungrateful, or without natural affection. So the Poet often has kind for nature. See As You Like It, page 117, note 2.}
Virtue is beauty; but the beauteous-evil
Are empty trunks,\footnote{Trunks, being then part of the furniture of apartments, were ornamented with scroll-work or flourished devices.} o'erflourish'd by the Devil.

1 Off. The man grows mad: away with him!—Come, come, sir.

Ant. Lead me on. \[Exeunt Officers with ANTONIO.\]

Vio. Methinks his words do from such passion fly,
That he believes himself; so do not I.\footnote{That is, “I do not yet believe myself, when from this accident I gather hope of my brother's life.”}
Prove true, imagination, O, prove true,
That I, dear brother, be now ta'en for you!

Sir To. Come hither, knight;—come hither, Fabian: we'll whisper o'er a couplet or two of most sage saws.

Vio. He named Sebastian: I my brother know
Yet living in my glass;\footnote{“His resemblance survives in the reflection of my own figure.”} even such, and so,
In favour was my brother; and he went
Still in this fashion, colour, ornament,
For him I imitate: O, if it prove,
Tempeasts are kind, and salt waves fresh in love! \[Exit.\]

Sir To. A very dishonest paltry boy, and more a coward
than a hare: his dishonesty appears in leaving his friend here
in necessity, and denying him; and, for his cowardship, ask
Fabian.

Fab. A coward, a most devout coward, religious in it.

Sir And. ’Slid, I'll after him again, and beat him.

Sir To. Do; cuff him soundly, but never draw thy sword.

Sir And. An I do not,— \[Exit.\]
Scene I.  What You Will.

Fab. Come, let's see the event.

Sir To. I dare lay any money 'twill be nothing yet.

[Exeunt.

ACT IV.

Scene I. — The Street adjoining Olivia's Garden.

Enter Sebastian and the Clown.

Clo. Will you make me believe that I am not sent for you?

Seb. Go to, go to, 1 thou art a foolish fellow:
Let me be clear of thee.

Clo. Well held out, i'faith! No, I do not know you;
nor I am not sent to you by my lady, to bid you come speak
with her; nor your name is not Master Cesario; nor this is
not my nose neither. Nothing that is so is so.

Seb. I pr'ythee, vent thy folly somewhere else:
Thou knowst not me.

Clo. Vent my folly! he has heard that word of some great
man, and now applies it to a Fool: vent my folly. I am
afraid this great lubberly world will prove a cockney. 2 — I

1 The phrase go to, now pretty much obsolete, was very common in the
Poet's time, especially in colloquial language. Sometimes it is nearly equi-
valent to our be off, which appears to be the sense of it in this place; and
sometimes it means about the same as come on.

2 The meaning seems to be, "I am afraid this great lumpish world will
be all given over to cockneyism." — Cockney seems to be understood
the world over as a term for a Londoner. Minsheu's Ductor in Linguis, 1617,
explains it thus: "A Cockney may be taken for a child tenderly and wan-
tonly brought up." So, too, in Phillips's World of Words, 1670: "Cockney,
a nickname commonly given to one born and bred in the city of London;
pr’ythee, now, ungird thy strangeness, and tell me what I shall vent to my lady: shall I vent to her that thou art coming?

_Seb._ I pr’ythee, foolish Greek, depart from me: There’s money for thee: if you tarry longer, I shall give worse payment.

_Clo._ By my troth, thou hast an open hand.—These wise men, that give Fools money, get themselves a good report after fourteen years’ purchase.

_Enter Sir ANDREW AGUECHEEK._

_Sir And._ Now, sir, have I met you again? there’s for you.

_[Striking SEBASTIAN._

_Seb._ Why, there’s for thee, and there, and there, and there!_[Beating Sir ANDREW._

Are all the people mad?

_Enter Sir TOBY BELCH and FABIAN._

_Sir To._ Hold, sir, or I’ll throw your dagger o’er the house.

_Clo._ This will I tell my lady straight: I would not be in some of your coats for twopence.

_[Exit._

_Sir To._ Come on, sir; hold._

_[Holding SEBASTIAN._

_Sir And._ Nay, let him alone: I’ll go another way to work with him; I’ll have an action of battery against him, if there be any law in Illyria: though I struck him first, yet it’s no matter for that.

also a fondling child, tenderly brought up and cocker’d.” — “Ungird thy strangeness” is put off thy estrangement. The Clown, mistaking Sebastian for Cesario, thinks his non-recognition to be put on or assumed.

3 A merry Greek, and a foolish Greek, were ancient proverbial expressions applied to boon companions, good fellows, as they were called, who spent their time in riotous mirth.

4 That is, at a very extravagant price; twelve years’ purchase being then the current price of estates.
Seb. Let go thy hand.

Sir To. Come, sir, I will not let you go. Come, my young soldier, put up your iron: you are well flesh'd; come on.

Seb. I will be free from thee. [Disengages himself.] What wouldst thou now?

If thou darest tempt me further, draw thy sword. [Draws.

Sir To. What, what? Nay, then I must have an ounce or two of this malapert blood from you. [Draws.

Enter Olivia.

Oli. Hold, Toby; on thy life, I charge thee, hold!

Sir To. Madam!

Oli. Will it be ever thus? Ungracious wretch, Fit for the mountains and the barbarous caves, Where manners ne'er were preach'd, out of my sight!—Be not offended, dear Cesario.—Rudesby, be gone!—[Exeunt Sir To., Sir And., and Fab.

I pr'ythee, gentle friend, Let thy fair wisdom, not thy passion, sway In this uncivil and unjust extent Against thy peace. Go with me to my house; And hear thou there how many fruitless pranks This ruffian hath botch'd up, that thou thereby Mayst smile at this: thou shalt not choose but go: Do not deny. Beshrew his soul for me,

5 The verb to flesh and the noun fleshment were used of one's first service with the sword. So, too, an unfleshed sword is called a maiden sword. Sir Toby means to intimate that Sebastian, whom he supposes to be Viola, is too young to have ever handled that manly weapon before.

6 An old term for rude fellow.

7 Extent, as here used, is a legal term for a civil process whereby the person and property of a debtor were seized and held to answer in payment of the debt. See As You Like It, page 78, note 3.
He started one poor heart of mine in thee.

Seb. [Aside.] What relish is in this? how runs the stream?
Or I am mad, or else this is a dream:
Let fancy still my sense in Lethe steep;
If it be thus to dream, still let me sleep!

Oli. Nay, come, I pray: would thou'dst be ruled by me!
Seb. Madam, I will.
Oli. O, say so, and so be! [Exit.

SCENE II.—A Room in Olivia's House.

Enter Maria and the Clown.

Mar. Nay, I pr'ythee, put on this gown and this beard;
make him believe thou art Sir Topas the curate: do it quickly;
I'll call Sir Toby the whilst.

Clo. Well, I'll put it on, and I will dissemble myself in't;
and I would I were the first that ever dissembled in such a
gown. I am not tall enough to become the function well;
nor lean enough to be thought a good student: but to be said
an honest man and a good housekeeper, goes as fairly as to
say a careful man and a great scholar. The competitors
enter.

Enter Sir Toby Belch and Maria.

Sir To. God bless thee, master parson!

8 An equivoc is here intended between kert and heart, which were
formerly written alike.

1 That is, disguise. Shakespeare has here used a Latinism. "Dissimulo,
to dissemble, to cloak, to hide," says Hutton's Dictionary, 1583.

2 Tall was sometimes used in the sense of lusty, thus making a good an-
tithesis to lean.

3 Confederate or partner is one of the old senses of competitor.—To be a
good housekeeper is to be hospitable. So, in 2 Henry VI., i. 1, we have house-
keeping for hospitality, or keeping open house: "Thy deeds, thy plainness,
and thy housekeeping, have won the greatest favour of the commons."
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Clo. Bonos dies, Sir Toby: for, as the old hermit of Prague, that never saw pen and ink, very wittily said to a niece of King Gorboduc, That that is is; so I, being master parson, am master parson; for, what is that but that, and is but is? 4

Sir To. To him, Sir Topas.

Clo. What, ho, I say, peace in this prison!

Sir To. The knave counterfeits well; a good knave.

Mal. [Within.] Who calls there?

Clo. Sir Topas the curate, who comes to visit Malvolio the lunatic.

Mal. [Within.] Sir Topas, Sir Topas, good Sir Topas, go to my lady.

Clo. Out, hyperbolical fiend! 5 how vexest thou this man! talkest thou nothing but of ladies?

Sir To. Well said, master parson.

Mal. [Within.] Sir Topas, never was man thus wronged: good Sir Topas, do not think I am mad: they have laid me here in hideous darkness.

Clo. Fie, thou dishonest Satan! I call thee by the most modest terms; for I am one of those gentle ones that will use the Devil himself with courtesy: say'st thou this house is dark?

Mal. [Within.] As Hell, Sir Topas.

Clo. Why, it hath bay-windows 6 transparent as barrica-

4 A humorous banter upon the language of the schools.

5 This use of hyperbolical seems to be original with the Clown. Cowley, however, in his Essay Of Greatness, applies the phrase "hyperbolical fop" to one Senecio, who is described by Seneca the Elder as possessed with "a ridiculous affectation of grandeur"; insomuch that he would speak none but big words, eat nothing but what was big, nor wear any shoe that was not big enough for both his feet.

6 Bay-windows were large projecting windows, probably so called because they occupied a whole bay or space between two cross-beams in a building.
does, and the clere-storeys toward the south-north are as lustrous as ebony; and yet complainest thou of obstruction?

Mal. [Within.] I am not mad, Sir Topas: I say to you, this house is dark.

Clo. Madman, thou errest: I say, there is no darkness but ignorance; in which thou art more puzzled than the Egyptians in their fog.

Mal. [Within.] I say, this house is as dark as ignorance, though ignorance were as dark as Hell; and I say, there was never man thus abused. I am no more mad than you are: make the trial of it in any constant question.

Clo. What is the opinion of Pythagoras concerning wild-fowl?

Mal. [Within.] That the soul of our grandam might haply inhabit a bird.

Clo. What thinkest thou of his opinion?

Mal. [Within.] I think nobly of the soul, and no way approve his opinion.

Clo. Fare thee well. Remain thou still in darkness: thou shalt hold the opinion of Pythagoras ere I will allow of thy wits; and fear to kill a woodcock, lest thou dispossess the soul of thy grandam. Fare thee well.

Mal. [Within.] Sir Topas, Sir Topas,—

1 Clere-storeys, in Gothic architecture, are the row of windows running along the upper part of a lofty hall or of a church, over the arches of the nave.

2 That is, by repeating the same question. A crazy man, on being asked to repeat a thing he has just said, is very apt to go on and say something else. So in Hamlet, iii. 4: "'Tis not madness that I have utter'd: bring me to the test, and I the matter will re-word; which madness would gambol from."

3 The Clown mentions a woodcock, because it was proverbial as a foolish bird, and therefore a proper ancestor for a man out of his wits.
Sir To. My most exquisite Sir Topas!
Clo. Nay, I am for all waters.¹⁰
Mar. Thou mightst have done this without thy beard and gown: he sees thee not.
Sir To. To him in thine own voice, and bring me word how thou findest him: I would we were well rid of this knavery. If he may be conveniently deliver'd, I would he were; for I am now so far in offence with my niece, that I cannot pursue with any safety this sport to the upshot. Come by-and-by to my chamber. [Exeunt Sir Toby and Maria.
Clo. [Singing.] Hey, Robin, jolly Robin,
Tell me how thy lady does.¹¹
Mal. [Within.] Fool,—
Clo. [Singing.] My lady is unkind, perdy.
Mal. [Within.] Fool,—
Clo. [Singing.] Alas, why is she so?
Mal. [Within.] Fool, I say,—¹¹
Clo. [Singing.] She loves another—Who calls, ha?
Mal. [Within.] Good Fool, as ever thou wilt deserve well at my hand, help me to a candle, and pen, ink, and paper: as I am a gentleman, I will live to be thankful to thee for't.
Clo. Master Malvolio?
Mal. [Within.] Ay, good Fool.
Clo. Alas, sir; how fell you beside your five wits?
Mal. [Within.] Fool, there was never man so notoriously¹² abused: I am as well in my wits, Fool, as thou art.

¹⁰ The meaning appears to be, I can turn my hand to any thing, or assume any character. Florio in his translation of Montaigne, speaking of Aristotle, says, “He hath an oar in every water, and meddles with all things.” And in his Second Frutes: “I am a knight for all saddles.”
¹¹ This ballad may be found in Percy’s Reliques. Dr. Nott has also printed it among the poems of Sir Thomas Wyatt the elder.
¹² Notoriously in the sense of prodigiously or outrageously. We have notorious in the same sense near the end of the play.
Clo. But as well? then you are mad indeed, if you be no better in your wits than a fool.

Mal. [Within.] They have here propertied me; keep me in darkness, send ministers to me, asses, and do all they can to face me out of my wits.

Clo. Advise you what you say; the minister is here. — Malvolio, Malvolio, thy wits the Heavens restore! endeavour thou thyself to sleep, and leave thy vain bibble-babble.

Mal. [Within.] Sir Topas, —


Mal. [Within.] Fool, Fool, Fool, I say, —

Clo. Alas, sir, be patient. What say you, sir? I am shent for speaking to you.

Mal. [Within.] Good Fool, help me to some light and some paper: I tell thee, I am as well in my wits as any man in Illyria.

Clo. Well-a-day, that you were, sir!

Mal. [Within.] By this hand, I am. Good Fool, some ink, paper, and light; and convey what I will set down to my lady: it shall advantage thee more than ever the bearing of letter did.

13 "Taken possession of me as of a man unable to look to himself."

14 The Clown, in the dark, acts two persons, and counterfeit, by variation of voice, a dialogue between himself and Sir Topas; the preceding part of this speech being spoken as Clown, the following as Priest. — "Advise you" is bethink you, consider, or be careful. — In the next line, "endeavour thyself to sleep" is induce, persuade, or compose thyself; endeavour being used transitively.

15 Here we have the old phrase "God be with you" in the process of contraction into the modern phrase good bye. See As You Like It, page 131, note 6.

16 Shent is an old word for scolded, blamed, or reprimanded.
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Clo. I will help you to't. But tell me true, are you not
mad indeed? or do you but counterfeit?

Mal. [Within.] Believe me, I am not; I tell thee true.

Clo. Nay, I'll ne'er believe a madman till I see his brains.
I will fetch you light, and paper, and ink.

Mal. [Within.] Fool, I'll requite it in the highest degree:
I pr'ythee, be gone.

Clo. [Singing.]

I am gone, sir; and anon, sir,
I'll be with you again,
In a trice, like to the old Vice,17
You need to sustain;

Who, with dagger of lath, in his rage and his wrath,
Cries, ah, ha! to the Devil:
Like a mad lad, pare thy nails, dad;

Adieu, goodman18 Devil. [Exit.

17 Both the Vice and the Devil were stereotyped personages in the old
Moral-plays which were in use for many ages before the Poet's time, and
were then just going out of use. The Vice, sometimes called Iniquity, was
grotesquely dressed in a cap with ass's ears, and a long coat, and armed
with a dagger of lath. He commonly acted the part of a broad, rampant
jester and buffoon, full of mad pranks and mischief-making, liberally dashed
with a sort of tumultuous, swaggering fun. Especially, he was given to
cracking ribald and saucy jokes with and upon the Devil, and treating him
with a style of coarse familiarity and mockery; and a part of his ordinary
functions was to besride the Devil, and beat him with his dagger till he
roared, and the audience roared with him; the scene ending with his being
carried off to Hell on the Devil's back. The Vice was the germ of the pro-
fessional Fool or Clown, which Shakespeare delivers in so many forms, and
always so full of matter.

18 Goodman in old language is nearly equivalent to master, or to our flat-
tened form of it, mister. It was common for women to speak of their hus-
bands as my goodman. And in St. Matthew, xx. 11: "They murmured
against the goodman of the house." Also in St. Luke, xii. 39. The verses
in the text are most likely from an old popular song, of which nothing fur-
ther is known.
Scene III.—Olivia’s Garden.

Enter Sebastian.

Seb. This is the air; that is the glorious Sun;
This pearl she gave me, I do feel’t and see’t:
And though ’tis wonder that enwraps me thus,
Yet ’tis not madness. Where’s Antonio, then?
I could not find him at the Elephant:
Yet there he was; and there I found this credit,¹
That he did range the town to seek me out.
His counsel now might do me golden service;
For, though my soul disputes well with my sense,
That this may be some error, but no madness,
Yet doth this accident and flood of fortune
So far exceed all instance, all discourse,
That I am ready to distrust mine eyes,
And wrangle with my reason, that persuades me
To any other trust but that I’m mad,—
Or else the lady’s mad: yet, if ’twere so,
She could not sway her house, command her followers,
Take and give back affairs, and their dispatch,²
With such a smooth, discreet, and stable bearing,
As I perceive she does. There’s something in’t

¹ Credit is oddly used here, but in the sense, apparently, of information or intelligence. So in a letter from Queen Elizabeth to Sir Nicholas Throckmorton: “This bearer came from you with great speed. We have heard his credit, and find your carefulness and diligence very great.”

² The language is very odd and obscure, and gives but a slight hint of the speaker’s probable meaning. A good housekeeper, at the head of a large domestic establishment, naturally has her time a good deal occupied in taking account or receiving word of things that need to be done, and in issuing orders and directions for the doing of them, or for “their dispatch.”
That is deceivable. But here the lady comes.

*Enter Olivia and a Priest.*

**Oi.** Blame not this haste of mine. If you mean well, Now go with me and with this holy man Into the chantry by: there, before him, And underneath that consecrated roof, Plight me the full assurance of your faith; That my most jealous and too doubtful soul May live at peace: he shall conceal it, Whiles you are willing it shall come to note, What time we will our celebration keep According to my birth. What do you say? **Seb.** I'll follow this good man, and go with you; And, having sworn truth, ever will be true. **Oi.** Then lead the way, good father;—and heavens so shine, That they may fairly note this act of mine! [*Exeunt.*

---

3 *Deceivable* for *deceiving* or *deceptive*; the passive form, again, with the active sense. See page 99, note 6.

4 A *chantry* was a little chapel, or particular altar in some cathedral or parochial church, endowed for the purpose of having Masses sung therein for the souls of the founders; a place for *chanting*.

5 *Doubtful* in the sense of *fearful*. The Poet often uses *doubt* for *fear*.

6 *Whiles* was often used thus in the sense of *until*.—Note, from the Latin *notitia*, is several times used by the Poet in the sense of *knowledge*.—The ceremony to which Olivia here so sweetly urges Sebastian is the ancient solemn troth-plight, as it was called, which, as it had the binding force of an actual marriage, might well give peace to an anxious maiden till the day of full nuptial possession should arrive.

7 A bright, glad sunshine falling upon a bride or new-made wife was formerly thought auspicious; it inspired a feeling that the Powers above were indeed smiling their benediction upon the act; and so was fitting cause for prayer beforehand, and of thanksgiving afterwards. Of course this was a fond old superstition: but I believe marriage is not even yet so far enlightened and "de-religionized" but that something of the old feeling still survives.
ACT V.

SCENE I. — The Street before Olivia’s House.

Enter the Clown and Fabian.

Fab. Now, as thou lovest me, let me see his letter.
Clo. Good Master Fabian, grant me another request.
Fab. Any thing.
Clo. Do not desire to see this letter.
Fab. This is, to give a dog, and, in recompense, desire my dog again.

Enter the Duke, Viola, Curio, and Attendants.

Duke. Belong you to the Lady Olivia, friends?
Clo. Ay, sir; we are some of her trappings.
Duke. I know thee well: how dost thou, my good fellow?
Clo. Truly, sir, the better for my foes, and the worse for my friends.

Duke. Just the contrary; the better for thy friends.
Clo. No, sir, the worse.
Duke. How can that be?

Clo. Marry, sir, they praise me, and make an ass of me. Now my foes tell me plainly I am an ass: so that by my foes, sir, I profit in the knowledge of myself; and by my friends I am abused: so that, conclusions to be as kisses,¹ if your

¹ Warburton thought this should be, “conclusion to be asked is”; upon which Coleridge remarks thus: “Surely Warburton could never have wooed by kisses and won, or he would not have flounder-flatted so just and humorous, nor less pleasing than humorous, an image into so profound a
four negatives make your two affirmatives, why, then the worse for my friends, and the better for my foes.

_Duke._ Why, this is excellent.

_Clo._ By my troth, sir, no; though it please you to be one of my friends.

_Duke._ Thou shalt not be the worse for me: there's gold. 
[ _Gives money._

_Clo._ But that it would be double-dealing, sir, I would you could make it another.

_Duke._ O, you give me ill counsel.

_Clo._ Put your grace in your pocket,² sir, for this once, and let your flesh and blood obey it.

_Duke._ Well, I will be so much a sinner to be a double-dealer: there's another.  
[ _Gives money._

_Clo._ _Primo, secundo, tertio_, is a good play; and the old saying is, the third pays for all: the _triplex_, sir, is a good tripping measure; as the bells of Saint Bennet, sir, may put you in mind, — one, two, three.

_Duke._ You can fool no more money out of me at this throw: if you will let your lady know I am here to speak with her, and bring her along with you, it may awake my bounty further.

_Clo._ Marry, sir, lullaby to your bounty till I come again.

² The Clown puns so swiftly here that it is not easy to keep up with him. The quibble lies between the two senses of grace as a title and as a gracious impulse or thought.
I go, sir; but I would not have you to think that my desire
of having is the sin of covetousness: but, as you say, sir, let
your bounty take a nap, I will awake it anon. [Exit.

Vio. Here comes the man, sir, that did rescue me.

Enter Officers, with Antonio.

Duke. That face of his I do remember well;
Yet, when I saw it last, it was besmear'd
As black as Vulcan in the smoke of war:
A bawbling vessel was he captain of,
For shallow draught and bulk unprizable; 3
With which such scathful grapple did he make
With the most noble bottom of our fleet,
That very envy and the tongue of loss 4
Cried fame and honour on him.—What's the matter?

1 Off. Orsino, this is that Antonio
That took the Phœnix and her fraught from Candy;
And this is he that did the Tiger board,
When your young nephew Titus lost his leg:
Here in the streets, desperate of shame and state, 5
In private brabble did we apprehend him.

Vio. He did me kindness, sir; drew on my side;
But, in conclusion, put strange speech upon me,—
I know not what 'twas, but distraction.

Duke. Notable pirate! thou salt-water thief!
What foolish boldness brought thee to their mercies,

3 Unprizable is evidently used here in the sense of worthless, or of no price. The Poet elsewhere has it in the opposite sense of inestimable.
4 "The tongue of lost" here means the tongue of the loser; but is much more elegant.—Scathful is harmful, damaging, or destructive.
5 Inattentive to his character or condition, like a desperate man.
Whom thou, in terms so bloody and so dear,⁶
Hast made thine enemies?

_Ant._

Orsino, noble sir,
Be pleased that I shake off these names you give me:
Antonio never yet was thief or pirate,
Though, I confess, on base and ground enough,
Orsino’s enemy. A witchcraft drew me hither:
That most ingratitude boy there by your side,
From the rude sea’s enraged and foamy mouth
Did I redeem; a wreck past hope he was:
His life I gave him, and did thereto add
My love, without retention or restraint,
All his in dedication; for his sake
Did I expose myself, pure for his love,
Unto the danger of this adverse town;
Drew to defend him when he was beset:
Where being apprehended, his false cunning—
Not meaning to partake with me in danger—
Taught him to face me out of his acquaintance,
And grew a twenty-years-removed thing
While one would wink; denied me mine own purse,
Which I had recommended to his use
Not half an hour before.

_Vio._

How can this be?

_Duke._ When came he to this town?

_Ant._ To-day, my lord: and for three months before—

---

⁶ _Dear_ is used in the same sense here as in _Hamlet_: “Would I had met my dearest foe in Heaven!” Tooke has shown that this is much nearer the original sense of the word than the meaning commonly put upon it; _dear_ being from the Anglo-Saxon verb to _dere_, which signifies to _hurt_. An object of love, any thing that we hold _dear_, may obviously cause us pain, distress, or solicitude: hence the word came to be used in the opposite senses of hateful and beloved.
No interim, not a minute's vacancy —
Both day and night did we keep company.

Duke. Here comes the Countess: now Heaven walks on earth. —
But for thee, fellow, fellow, thy words are madness:
Three months this youth hath tended upon me;
But more of that anon. — Take him aside.

Enter OLIVIA and Attendants.

Oli. What would my lord, but that he may not have,
Wherein Olivia may seem serviceable? —
Cesario, you do not keep promise with me.

Vio. Madam!

Duke. Gracious Olivia, —

Oli. What do you say, Cesario? — Good my lord, —

Vio. My lord would speak; my duty hushes me.

Oli. If it be aught to the old tune, my lord,
It is as fat and fulsome⁷ to mine ear
As howling after music.

Duke. Still so cruel?

Oli. Still so constant, lord.

Duke. What, to perverseness? you uncivil lady,
To whose ingrate and unauspicious altars
My soul the faithfull'st offerings hath breath'd out
That e'er devotion tender'd! What shall I do?

Oli. Even what it please my lord, that shall become him.

Duke. Why should I not, had I the heart to do it,
Like to th' Egyptian thief at point of death,

⁷ Both fat and fulsome seem here to have nearly the sense of dull, gross, or sickening. The Poet uses fulsome of a wine that soon falls upon the taste from its excessive sweetness.
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Kill what I love? a savage jealousy
That sometime savours nobly. But hear me this:
Since you to non-regardance cast my faith,
And that I partly know the instrument
That screws me from my true place in your favour,
Live you the marble-breasted tyrant still;
But this your minion, whom I know you love,
And whom, by Heaven I swear, I tender dearly,
Him will I tear out of that cruel eye,
Where he sits crownèd in his master's spite.—
Come, boy, with me; my thoughts are ripe in mischief:
I'll sacrifice the lamb that I do love,
To spite a raven's heart within a dove. [Going.

Vio. And I, most jocund, apt, and willingly,
To do you rest, a thousand deaths would die. [Following.

Oli. Where goes Cesario?

Vio. After him I love
More than I love these eyes, more than my life,
More, by all mores, than ere I shall love wife.—
If I do feign, you witnesses above,
Punish my life for tainting of my love!

Oli. Ah me, detested! how am I beguiled!

Vio. Who does beguile you? who does do you wrong?

8 An allusion to the story of Thyamis, as told by Heliodorus in his Ethi-
opics, of which an English version by Thomas Underdowne was published
a second time in 1587. Thyamis was a native of Memphis, and chief of a
band of robbers. Chariclea, a Greek, having fallen into his hands, he grew
passionately in love with her, and would have married her; but, being sur-
prised by a stronger band of robbers, and knowing he must die, he went to
the cave where he had secreted her with his other treasures, and, seizing her
by the hair with his left hand, with his right plunged a sword in her breast;
it being the custom with those barbarians, when they despaired of their own
life, first to kill those whom they held most dear, so as to have them as com-
panions in the other world.
Oli. Hast thou forgot thyself? is it so long?—
Call forth the holy father. [Exit an Attendant.

Duke. [To Viola.] Come, away!
Oli. Whither, my lord?—Cesario, husband, stay.
Duke. Husband!
Oli. Ay, husband: can he that deny?
Duke. Her husband, sirrah!
Vio. No, my lord, not I.
Oli. Alas, it is the baseness of thy fear
That makes thee strangle thy propriety:9
Fear not, Cesario; take thy fortunes up;
Be that thou know'st thou art, and then thou art
As great as that thou fear'st.—

Re-enter Attendant, with the Priest.

O, welcome, father!

Father, I charge thee, by thy reverence,
Here to unfold—though lately we intended
To keep in darkness what occasion now
Reveals before 'tis ripe—what thou dost know
Hath newly pass'd between this youth and me.

Priest. A contract and eternal bond of love,
Confirm'd by mutual joinder of your hands,
Attested by the holy close of lips,
Strengthen'd by interchangement of your rings;10
And all the ceremony of this compact
Seal'd in my function, by my testimony:
Since when, my watch hath told me, toward my grave
I've travell'd but two hours.

Duke. O thou dissembling cub! what wilt thou be

9 "Suppress or disown thy proper self; deny what you really are."
10 In ancient espousals the man received as well as gave a ring.
When time hath sow'd a grizzle on thy case?  
Or will not else thy craft so quickly grow,  
That thine own trip shall be thine overthrow?  
Farewell, and take her; but direct thy feet  
Where thou and I henceforth may never meet.

Vio. My lord, I do protest,—

Oli. O, do not swear!

Hold little faith, though thou hast too much fear.

Enter Sir Andrew Aguecheek with his head broken.

Sir And. For the love of God, a surgeon! send one presently to Sir Toby.

Oli. What's the matter?

Sir And. 'Has broke my head across, and has given Sir Toby a bloody coxcomb too: for the love of God, your help! I had rather than forty pound I were at home.

Oli. Who has done this, Sir Andrew?

Sir And. The Count's gentlemen, one Cesario: we took him for a coward, but he's the very devil incardinate.

Duke. My gentleman Cesario?

Sir And. 'Od's lifelings,\textsuperscript{12} here he is!—You broke my head for nothing; and that that I did, I was set on to do't by Sir Toby.

Vio. Why do you speak to me? I never hurt you:  
You drew you sword upon me without cause;  
But I bespake you fair, and hurt you not.

\textsuperscript{11} The skin of a fox or rabbit was often called its case. So in Cary's \textit{Present State of England}, 1626: "Queen Elizabeth asked a knight, named Young, how he liked a company of brave ladies. He answered, "As I like my silver-haired conciles at home: the cases are far better than the bodies."

\textsuperscript{12} Lifelings is a diminutive of life, as pittikins is of pity. 'Od's is one of the disguised oaths so common in old colloquial language; the original form being God's. We have Imogen exclaiming 'Od's pittikins in \textit{Cymbeline}, lv. 2.
Sir And. If a bloody coxcomb be a hurt, you have hurt me: I think you set nothing by a bloody coxcomb. — Here comes Sir Toby halting, — you shall hear more: but if he had not been in drink, he would have tickled you othergates\(^{13}\) than he did.

Enter Sir Toby Belch, led by the Clown.

Duke. How now, gentleman! how is't with you?

Sir To. That's all one: 'has hurt me, and there's the end on't. — Sot, didst see Dick surgeon, sot?

Clo. O, he's drunk, Sir Toby, an hour agone; his eyes were set at eight i' the morning.

Sir To. Then he's a rogue and a passy-measures paynim:\(^{14}\) I hate a drunken rogue.

Oli. Away with him! Who hath made this havoc with them?

Sir And. I'll help you, Sir Toby, because we'll be dress'd together.

Sir To. Will you help? — an ass-head and a coxcomb and a knave! a thin-faced knave, a gull!

Oli. Get him to bed, and let his hurt be look'd to.

[Exeunt Clown, Fabian, Sir Toby, and Sir Andrew.

Enter Sebastian.

Seb. I'm sorry, madam, I have hurt your kinsman; But, had it been the brother of my blood, I must have done no less with wit and safety.

\(^{13}\) Othergates is an old word meaning the same as our otherwise.

\(^{14}\) Paynim, meaning pagan or heathen, was of old a common term of reproach. Sir Toby is too deeply fuddled to have his tongue in firm keeping, and so uses passy-measures for past-measure, probably.
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You throw a strange regard on me; by that
I do perceive it hath offended you:
Pardon me, sweet one, even for the vows
We made each other but so late ago.

_Duke._ One face, one voice, one habit, and two persons,—
A natural perspective,¹⁶ that is and is not!

_Seb._ Antonio, O my dear Antonio!
How have the hours rack'd and tortured me,
Since I have lost thee!

_Ant._ Sebastian are you?

_Seb._ Fear'st thou that, Antonio?

_Ant._ How have you made division of yourself?—
An apple, cleft in two, is not more twin
Than these two creatures. Which is Sebastian?

_Oth._ Most wonderful!

_Seb._ Do I stand there? I never had a brother;
Nor can there be that deity in my nature,
Of here and everywhere. I had a sister,
Whom the blind waves and surges have devour'd.—

_[To VIOLA.]_ Of charity, what kin are you to me?
What countryman? what name? what parentage?

_Vio._ Of Messaline: Sebastian was my father;
Such a Sebastian was my brother too,
So went he suited to his watery tomb:
If spirits can assume both form and suit,
You come to fright us.

¹⁵ _A strange regard is a look of estrangement or alienation._

¹⁶ _A perspective formerly meant a glass that assisted the sight in any way. The several kinds used in Shakespeare's time are enumerated in Scot's _Discovery of Witchcraft_, 1584, where that alluded to by the Duke is thus described: "There be glasses also wherein one man may see another man's image and not his own,"—where that which is, is not; or appears, in a different position, another thing._
Seb. A spirit I am indeed;
But am in that dimension grossly clad
Which from the womb I did participate.
Were you a woman, as the rest goes even,
I should my tears let fall upon your cheek,
And say, Thrice-welcome, drownèd Viola!

Vio. My father had a mole upon his brow,—

Seb. And so had mine.

Vio. — And died that day when Viola from her birth
Had number'd thirteen years.

Seb. O, that record is lively in my soul!
He finish'd, indeed, his mortal act
That day that made my sister thirteen years.

Vio. If nothing lets\textsuperscript{17} to make us happy both
But this my masculine usurp'd attire,
Do not embrace me till each circumstance
Of place, time, fortune, do cohere and jump,\textsuperscript{18}
That I am Viola: which to confirm,
I'll bring you to a captain's in this town,
Where lie my maid's weeds; by whose gentle help
I was preferr'd\textsuperscript{19} to serve this noble Count.
All the occurrence of my fortune since.
Hath been between this lady and this lord.

Seb. [To OLIVIA.] So comes it, lady, you have been
mistook:
But Nature to her bias drew in that.\textsuperscript{20}

\textsuperscript{17} Let, often used in the English Bible, but now obsolete, is an old word
for hinder or prevent.

\textsuperscript{18} The Poet repeatedly has jump in the sense of agree or accord.

\textsuperscript{19} Prefer was often used in the sense of recommend.

\textsuperscript{20} To be mistook was sometimes used, as to be mistaken now is, in the
sense of making a mistake. The mistake Olivia has made is in being be-
You would have been contracted to a maid;
Nor are you therein, by my life, deceived,—
You are betroth’d both to a maid and man.  

Duke. Be not amazed; right noble is his blood.—
If this be so, as yet the glass seems true,
I shall have share in this most happy wreck.—
[To Viola.] Boy, thou hast said to me a thousand times
Thou never shouldst love woman like to me.

Vio. And all those sayings will I over-swear;
And all those swearings keep as true in soul
As doth that orbéd continent the fire
That severs day from night.

Duke. Give me thy hand;
And let me see thee in thy woman’s weeds.

Vio. The captain that did bring me first on shore
Hath my maid’s garments: he, upon some action,
Is now in durance, at Malvolio’s suit,
A gentleman and follower of my lady’s.

OlI. He shall enlarge him:—fetch Malvolio hither:—
And yet, alas, now I remember me,
They say, poor gentleman, he’s much distract.

Re-enter the Clown with a letter, and Fabian.

A most distracting frenzy of mine own
From my remembrance clearly banish’d his.—
How does he, sirrah?

Clo. Truly, madam, he holds Beelzebub at the stave’s end
trothed to Sebastian instead of Viola; but this was owing to the bias or pre-
disposition of Nature, who would not have a woman betrothed to a woman.

Sebastian applies the term maid apparently to himself, in the sense of

virgin. And why not maiden man as well as maiden sword or maiden

speech?

Continent formerly meant any thing that contains.
as well as a man in his case may do. 'Has here writ a letter to you: I should have given't you to-day morning; but, as a madman's epistles are no gospels, so it skills not much when they are deliver'd.

Oli. Open't, and read it.

Clo. Look, then, to be well edified when the Fool delivers the madman. [Reads.] By the Lord, madam,—

Oli. How now! art thou mad?

Clo. No, madam, I do but read madness: an your ladyship will have it as it ought to be, you must allow vox.

Oli. Pr'ythee, read i' thy right wits.

Clo. So I do, madonna; but to read his right wits is to read thus: therefore perpend, my Princess, and give ear.

Oli. [To Fabian.] Read it you, sirrah.

Fab. [Reads.] By the Lord, madam, you wrong me, and the world shall know it: though you have put me into darkness, and given your drunken cousin rule over me, yet have I the benefit of my senses as well as your ladyship. I have your own letter that induced me to the semblance I put on; with the which I doubt not but to do myself much right, or you much shame. Think of me as you please. I leave my duty a little unthought of, and speak out of my injury.

THE madly-used MALVOLIO.

Oli. Did he write this?

Clo. Ay, madam.

Duke. This savours not much of distraction.

Oli. See him deliver'd, Fabian; bring him hither.—

[Exit Fabian.

23 A common phrase in the Poet's time, meaning it signifies not much.
24 "If you would have the letter read in character, you must allow me to assume the voice or frantic tone of a madman."
25 Perpend is consider or weigh.
My lord, so please you, these things further thought on,
To think me as well a sister as a wife,
One day shall crown th' alliance on's, so please you,
Here at my house, and at my proper cost.

Duke. Madam, I am most apt t' embrace your offer.—
[To Viola.] Your master quits you; and, for your service
done him,
So much against the mettle of your sex,
So far beneath your soft and tender breeding,
And since you call'd me master for so long,
Here is my hand: you shall from this time be
Your master's mistress.

Oli. A sister!—you are she.

Re-enter Fabian, with Malvolio.

Duke. Is this the madman?

Oli. Ay, my lord, this same.—
How now, Malvolio!

Mal. Madam, you have done me wrong,
Notorious wrong.


Mal. Lady, you have. Pray you, peruse that letter:
You must not now deny it is your hand,—
Write from it, if you can, in hand or phrase;
Or say 'tis not your seal, not your invention:
You can say none of this. Well, grant it then;
And tell me, in the modesty of honour,
Why you have given me such clear lights of favour,

26 Quit for acquit, and in the sense of release, discharge, or set free. So in Henry V, iii. 4: “For your great seats, now quit you of great shames.” See, also, As You Like It, page 78, note 2.

27 Write differently from it. We have similar phraseology in common use; as, “His speaking was from the purpose.”
Bade me come smiling and cross-garter’d to you,  
To put on yellow stockings, and to frown  
Upon Sir Toby and the lighter people:  
And, acting this in an obedient hope,  
Why have you suffer’d me to be imprison’d,  
Kept in a dark house; visited by the priest,  
And made the most notorious geck⁴⁸ and gull  
That e’er invention play’d on? tell me why.

Oli. Alas, Malvolio, this is not my writing,  
Though, I confess, much like the character:  
But, out of question, ’tis Maria’s hand.  
And now I do bethink me, it was she  
First told me thou wast mad: thou camest in smiling,  
And in such forms which here were presupposed  
Upon thee in the letter. Pr’ythee, be content:  
This practice hath most shrewdly pass’d upon thee;  
But, when we know the grounds and authors of it,  
Thou shalt be both the plaintiff and the judge  
Of thine own cause.

Fab. Good madam, hear me speak;  
And let no quarrel nor no brawl to come  
Taint the condition of this present hour,  
Which I have wonder’d at. In hope it shall not,  
Most freely I confess, myself and Toby  
Set this device against Malvolio here,  
Upon some stubborn and uncourteous parts  
We had conceived in him: Maria writ  
The letter at Sir Toby’s great importance;⁴⁹

⁴⁸ Geck is from the Saxon geac, a cuckoo, and here means a fool. — Here, as twice before in this play, notorious is used, apparently, for egregious.

⁴⁹ Importance for importunity. So, in King Lear, iv. 4: “Therefore great France my mourning and important tears hath pitied.”
In recompense whereof he hath married her.
How with a sportful malice it was follow'd,
May rather pluck on laughter than revenge;
If that the injuries be justly weigh'd
That have on both sides pass'd.

Oli. Alas, poor soul, how have they baffled thee!

Clo. Why, some are born great, some achieve greatness,
and some have greatness thrown upon them. I was one, sir,
in this interlude, — one Sir Topas, sir; but that's all one. —
By the Lord, Fool, I am not mad; — but do you remember?
Madam, why laugh you at such a barren rascal? an you
smile not, he's gag'd: and thus the whirligig of time brings
in his revenges.

Mal. I'll be reveng'd on the whole pack of you. [Exit.

Oli. He hath been most notoriously abused.

Duke. Pursue him, and entreat him to a peace:
He hath not told us of the captain yet:
When that is known, and golden time conven'ts,
A solemn combination shall be made
Of our dear souls. Meantime, sweet sister,
We will not part from hence.—Cesario, come;
For so you shall be, while you are a man;
But, when in other habits you are seen,
Orsino's mistress and his fancy's queen.

[Exeunt all but the Clown.

---

30 To treat with mockery or insult, to run a rig upon, and to make a butt
of, are among the old senses of baffle.

31 Convents is agrees or comes fit; a Latinism.
Song.

Clo. When that I was and a little tiny boy,
     With hey, ho, the wind and the rain,
A foolish thing was but a toy,
     For the rain it raineth every day.

But when I came to man's estate,
     With hey, ho, the wind and the rain,
'Gainst knave and thief men shut their gate,
     For the rain it raineth every day.

But when I came, alas! to wive,
     With hey, ho, the wind and the rain,
By swaggering could I never thrive,
     For the rain it raineth every day.

But when I came unto my bed,
     With hey, ho, the wind and the rain,
With toss-pots still had drunken head,
     For the rain it raineth every day.

A great while ago the world begun,
     With hey, ho, the wind and the rain:
But that's all one, our play is done,
     And we'll strive to please you every day.  [Exit.

32 This redundant use of and is not uncommon in old ballads.
33 "When I was a boy, my mischievous pranks were little regarded; but, when I grew to manhood, men shut their doors against me as a knave and a thief." Gate and door were often used synonymously.
34 "I had my head drunk with tossing off pots or drams of liquor." So a grog-shop is sometimes called a pot-house; and to toss is still used for to drink.
CRITICAL NOTES.

ACT I., SCENE I.

Page 30. O, it came o'er my ear like the sweet south,
    That breathe upon a bank of violets,

Stealing and giving odour.—The original has sound instead of south. Pope, as is well known, substituted south, meaning, of course, the south wind, and was followed, I think, by all subsequent editors until Knight. The change is most certainly right. For with what propriety can a sound be said to "breathe upon a bank of violets, stealing and giving odour"? Moreover, in the old reading, we have a comparison made between a thing and itself! It is as much as to say, "The sweet sound came o'er my ear like the sweet sound." The Poet evidently meant to compare the music to a sweet breeze loaded with fragrance; the former coming over the ear as the latter comes over another sense. So that the old reading is simply absurd. Knight and Grant White waste a deal of ingenious and irrelevant rhetoric in trying to make it good; but nothing of that sort can redeem it from absurdity. And by the methods they use we can easily read almost any sense we please into whatever words come before us. In this case, they but furnish an apt illustration of how a dotage of the old letter, and a certain exegetical jugglery, may cheat even good heads into an utter dereliction of common sense. —Some one has noted, that to suppose a comparison was here intended between the effect of music on the ear and that of fragrance on the sense of smell, is almost to ignore "the difference between poetry and prose." O no! it is merely to recognize the difference between sense and nonsense. For how should odour affect us but through the sense of smell? But perhaps the writer, being in a jocose humour, caught the style of "sweet bully Bottom," and so played the Duke into the funny idea of hearing an odour that he smelled, or of smelling a sound that he heard. For why not a sweet-
sounding smell as well as a sweet-smelling sound? — In England, however, the south winds generally are so ill conditioned, that English editors are naturally reluctant to admit such a phrase as "the sweet south." But south winds are not the same everywhere as in England: and why may not the Poet have had in mind such a south as often breathes in other places? Nor do English writers always speak ill of winds that blow from southerly quarters. Sir Philip Sidney, in his *Arcadia*, 1590, has the following: "Her breath is more sweet than a gentle south-west wind, which comes creeping over flowery fields and shadowed waters." And Lettsom notes upon the passage, "A south-wester is *a heavy gale* from the south-west; but we often have genial, bright, and growing weather from that quarter, as well as from the south."

P. 31. The element itself, till seven years hence. — The original has *heate* for hence. Corrected by Rowe. *Heat* is ridiculous.

P. 31. *When liver, brain, and heart,*

*These sovereign thrones, her sweet perfections,*

Are all supplied and fill'd *with one self king.* — The original prints "Are all supplied and fill'd " as the latter part of the second line, and "her sweet perfections " as the first part of the third. Sense, logic, grammar, and prosody, all, I think, plead together for the transposition, which was made by Capell.

**ACT I., SCENE 2.**

P. 31. Vio. *What country, friends, is this?*

Cap. *Illyria, lady.* — The original has "*This is Illyria, Ladie.*" Pope omitted *This is*, and Dyce suspected it to be an interpolation.

P. 32. *When you, and this poor number saved with you.* — The original has *those* instead of *this.* Corrected by Capell.

P. 33. *For whose dear loss,*

*They say, she hath abjured the company*

*And sight of men.* — The original transposes *company* and *sight,* and has *love* instead of *loss.* The former correction is Hanmer’s; the latter, Walker’s.
Yet of thee
I well believe thou hast a mind that suits
With this thy fair and outward character.—The old text reads “I will believe.” The correction is Walker’s. We have many instances of well and will confounded.

ACT I. SCENE 3.

P. 36. He hath, indeed, all most natural.—So Collier’s second folio. The original has “almost naturall.”

P. 36. What, wenck! Castiliano volto.—So Hanmer. The original has vulgo for volto.

P. 37. An thou let her part so.—Her is wanting in the original. Supplied in the third folio.

P. 38. Never in your life, I think; unless you saw canary put me down.—The original has see instead of saw.

P. 39. For thou seest it will not curl by nature.—The original reads “coole my nature.” One of Theobald’s happy corrections.

P. 39. And yet I will not compare with a nobleman.—Instead of a nobleman, the original has an old man. But why should Sir Andrew here speak of comparing himself with an old man? The whole drift of the foregoing dialogue is clearly against that reading. Theobald proposed the change; and Dr. Badham, in Cambridge Essays, 1856, justly remarks upon it thus: “Sir Andrew has just been speaking of the Count Orsino as a rival whom he cannot pretend to cope with; so that the allusion to nobleman is most natural.”

P. 40. It does indifferent well in a flame-colour’d stock.—The old text reads “a dam’d colour’d stocke.” Corrected by Rowe. Knight changed dam’d to damask, which has been adopted in some editions. Collier’s second folio has dun-colour’d.

ACT I. SCENE 4.

P. 42. Thy small pipe
Is as the maiden’s organ, shrill in sound.—The original has
TWELFTH NIGHT.

"shriil, and sound." I suspect it should be "shriil of sound." We have other instances where of and & were apparently confounded. The correction in was proposed anonymously.

ACT I., SCENE 5.

P. 45. That's as much as to say. — The original transposes the second as, thus: "That's as much to say as."

P. 46. I take those wise men, that crow so at these set kind of Fools, to be no better than the fools' sanies. — The original has "these wise men," and omits to be. The former correction is Hanmer's; the latter was made by Capell, and is also found in Collier's second folio.

P. 47. For here comes one of thy kin. — In the original, "heere he comes." Rowe's correction.

P. 50. If you be mad, be gone; if you have reason, be brief. — The original reads "If you be not mad." The correction is Mason's, and is amply sustained by the context.

   Oli. Tell me your mind.
   Vio. I am a messenger. — So Warburton. The original runs the three speeches all into one; the prefixes having probably dropped out accidentally. See foot-note 20.

P. 52. Look you, sir, such a one I was this present. — For my own part, I see no difficulty here; but many have stumbled at the text, and several changes have been proposed; the only one of which that seems to me much worth considering is Lettsom's: "Such a one as I this presents." See foot-note 22.

P. 52. With adoration, with fertile tears.
   With groans that thunder love, &c. — The second with is lacking in the old text. Inserted by Pope.

P. 53. If I did love you in my master's flame,
   With such a suffering, such a deadly love. — The original has "such a deadly life." A very evident misprint, I think; yet it has waited a good while to be corrected.
ACT II, SCENE 1.

P. 56. My father was that Sebastian of Messaline.—There is no such place known as Messaline; so some think, and apparently with good reason, that we ought to read Mytilene, the name of an island in the Archipelago.

P. 56. Though I could not, with such an estimable wonder, over-far believe that.—The original omits an, and thus leaves the passage so very obscure, to say the least, that it might well be, as indeed it has been, a great puzzle to the editors. Various changes have been proposed; but the insertion of an is by far the simplest and most satisfactory. It was proposed by Mr. W. W. Williams in The Literary Gazette, March 29, 1862, with the following remark: “I would submit that, if Sebastian’s speech be read carefully, it will require no long pondering to perceive that he is modestly deprecating any comparison of himself with such a beautiful girl as his sister. If that be the purport of the words,—and there can hardly be a doubt about it,—the simple insertion of the indefinite article will meet all the necessities of the case.” See foot-note 4.

ACT II, SCENE 2.

P. 58. She took no ring of me: I’ll none of it.—The original reads “She took the ring.” As this is not true, the explanation sometimes given of it is, that Viola, with instantaneous tact, divines the meaning of the ring, and takes care, at the expense of a fib, not to expose Olivia’s tender weakness. But this, perhaps, is putting too fine a point upon it. Dyce at one time retained the old text; but in his last edition he says, “I now think it quite wrong, and that what has been said in defence of it is ridiculously over-subtle.” The correction is from Collier’s second folio.

P. 58. That, as methought, her eyes had lost her tongue.—So Walker. The original has “That me thought her eyes.” The second folio fills up the gap in the verse by inserting sure instead of as.
P. 58. *Alas, our frailty is the cause, not we!*

*For, such as we are made of, such we be.* — The original has "Alas, O frailtie is the cause," and "such as we are made, if such we be." The second folio substitutes *our* for *O,* and Hanmer printed "ev'n such we be." The common reading is as in the text. Tyrwhitt's correction.

P. 59. *And I, poor monster, fond as much on him,*

*As she, mistaken, seems to dote on me.* — The original has "*And she, mistaken,*" &c. Corrected by Dyce.

ACT II., SCENE 3.

P. 64. *Out o' time sir? ye lie. Art any more than a steward?* — So Theobald. The old text has *tune* instead of *time.* As the whole speech is evidently addressed to Malvolio, *tune* cannot be right; while *time* accords perfectly with what has passed a little before between Sir Toby and the steward.

P. 65. *To challenge him the field.* — So the old copies; but commonly printed "to the field"; "improperly, I believe," says Dyce.

P. 65. Sir And. *Possess us, possess us.* — In the old text, this speech is given to Sir Toby. Corrected by Walker; who remarks, "Surely Sir Toby needed no information respecting Malvolio."

P. 66. Sir To. *And your horse now would make him an ass.* — Here we have just the converse of the preceding instance: the speech has the prefix "An." in the original. But the speech is too keen for Sir Andrew to make. Tyrwhitt pointed out the error.

ACT II., SCENE 4.

P. 68. Go *seek him out:* — and *play the tune the while.* — The original lacks *Go* at the beginning of this line. Supplied by Capell.

P. 69. *Our fancies are more giddy and unfirm,*

*More longing, wavering, sooner lost and won.* — So Hanmer and Collier's second folio. The original has "lost and worn."
P. 70. Lay me, O, where
Sad true-love never find my grave.—The original has “Sad
true lover.” Corrected by Capell.

P. 72. No motion of the liver, but the palate,—
That suffers surfeit, cloyment, and revolt.—The original has
suffer, which is convicted of error by the explanations it has called
forth. Corrected by Rowe.

ACT II., SCENE 5.

P. 76. And perchance wind up my watch, or play with some rich
jewel.—The original has “play with my some rich jewel” ; my being
probably repeated by mistake.

P. 76. Though our silence be drawn from us by th’ ears, yet peace.
—So Hanmer and Collier’s second folio. The original has the strange
reading, “drawn from us with cars”; which has provoked some ex-
planations equally strange. As Dyce remarks, “bith was very common
as the contraction of by the; and therefore bith ears might easily be
corrupted into with cars.” So I leave the text, though I have little
doubt it should be wi’ th’ ears: for the Poet very often uses with in
such cases where we should use by, and the double elision of with and
the, so as to make one syllable, is very frequent with him.

P. 78. And with what wing the staniel checks at it! — The original
has stallion. Corrected by Hanmer.

P. 80. God and my stars be praised.—God, I thank Thee.—In
both these places, the original has Jove. But Malvolio is not a
Heathen; he is rather a strait-laced sort of Christian; such a one as
would be very apt to ascribe his supposed good fortune to the fact of
his being among the elect.” So I suspect that Jove was inserted by
some second hand in compliance with the well-known statute against
profanation. Halliwell prints as in the text; and I was fully convinced
it ought to be so, long before I knew he had printed it so.
ACT III., SCENE 1.

P. 82. So thou mayst say, the king lives by a beggar.—The original has *lyes* instead of *lives*; an error which the context readily corrects.

P. 84. Would not a pair of these breed, sir?—The original reads "Would not a pair of these have bred." But the course of the dialogue plainly requires the sense of the future.

P. 85. Not, like the haggard, check at every feather

That comes before his eye.—So Collier's second folio. The old text has "And like the Haggard," which just contradicts the sense required. Johnson suggested the reading in the text, and rightly explained the meaning of the passage to be, "He must choose persons and times, and observe tempers; he must fly at proper game, like the trained hawk, and not fly at large like the unreclaimed haggard, to seize all that comes in his way."

P. 85. For folly, that he wisely shows, is fit;

But wise men's folly, shown, quite taints their wit.—The original has "But wisemens folly falne, quite taint their wit"; from which no rational meaning can be gathered. The word *shows*, in the preceding line, points out the right reading. Hanmer made the correction. See foot-note 12.

P. 86. I'll get 'em all three ready.—The original has "all three already." Corrected in the third folio.

P. 87. Give me leave, I beseech you.—So the third folio. The earlier editions omit *I*.

ACT III., SCENE 2.

P. 89. Did she see thee the while, old boy?—So the third folio. The earlier editions omit *thee*.

P. 91. We'll call thee at thy cubiculo.—So Hanmer. The original has *the* instead of *thy*. 
CRITICAL NOTES.

P. 92. For Andrew, if he were open'd, an you find so much blood in his liver, &c.—The original has “if he were open'd, and you find.” The correction is Walker's. And is indeed an archaic form of the old concessive an.

P. 92. Look, where the youngest wren of nine comes.—So Theobald. The old text has mine instead of nine. See foot-note 11.

ACT III., SCENE 3.

P. 93. As might have drawn me to a longer voyage.—The original has one instead of me. Corrected by Heath.

P. 94. I can no other answer make, but thanks,
And thanks, and ever thanks; too oft good turns
Are shuffled off with such uncurrent pay.—In the original, the second line stands thus: “And thankes: and ever oft good turnes.” A large number of readings has been made or proposed. That in the text is by Seymour.

ACT III., SCENE 4.

P. 96. I have sent after him: says he, he'll come,
How shall I feast him?—The old text reads “he says hee'll come.” But the concessive sense is evidently required, not the affirmative. Theobald saw this clearly, and so printed “say he will come.” The simple transposition made in the text gets the same sense naturally enough; the subjunctive being often formed in that way.

P. 97. My yellow stockings!—The original has Thy instead of My. The correction is Lettsom's, and a very happy one it is too.

P. 98. Let thy tongue twang arguments of State.—The original has “let thy tongue langer with arguments.” The second folio substitutes tang for langer; tang being merely an old form or spelling of twang. See the letter as given in full in ii. 5, page 80.

P. 98. But it is God's doing, and God make me thankful.—Here, again, as also later in the same speech, the original has Jove. See note on “God and my stars be praised,” page 145.
P. 102. Very brief, and exceeding good sense—less.—So Rowe and various others. The original has “and to exceeding.” I cannot see what business to has there.

P. 103. I’ve said too much unto a heart of stone,  
And laid mine honour too unchar on’ t out.—So Theobald. The original has “too unchar on’ t”; which some editors still retain, and try to support with arguments more ingenious than sound.

P. 104. He is knight, dubb’d with unhack’d rapier and on carpet consideration.—So Pope. The original has “with unhatch’d rapier.” To hatch was used for to ornament; so that unhatch’d rapier would hardly accord with the occasion. Of course an unhack’d rapier is a rapier that has done no service in fight. So in King John, ii. 1: “With unhack’d swords and helmets all unbruised.”

ACT III., SCENE 5.

P. 106. SCENE V.—The Street adjoining Olivia’s Garden.—The original and most modern editions print this scene as a continuation of the preceding one. In the Poet’s time, changes of scene were not unfrequently left to the imagination of the audience; the machinery and furniture not being so ample then as in later days. The course of the action and various particulars of the dialogue, as any one will see who notes them carefully, plainly require a change of scene in this place. Dyce arranges as in the text.

P. 109. Relieved him with all sanctity of love;  
And to this image, which methought did promise  
Most venerable worth, did I devotion.  
But, O, how vile an idol proves this god!—The original has “with such sanctity,” and “to his image.” With the former, the text has so abrupt and misplaced a break in the sense, that Walker thought, as he well might, that a line had dropped out after love. The context, I think, fairly requires the sense of all instead of such. Much might more easily be misprinted such, but is not strong enough for the place. The common reading sets a dash after love, of course to indicate a break in the sense: the original has a (;) as if not aware of any break.
"To this image" is proposed by Walker; and the occurrence of idol in the last line shows it to be right. Antonio does not mean that he has been worshipping an image of the supposed Sebastian, but that what he has taken for something divine turns out to be but a hollow image.

**ACT IV., SCENE I.**

P. 111. *I am afraid this great lubberly world will prove a cockney.* — So Collier's second folio. The original has "this great lubber the World." Douce proposed to read "this great lubberly word," taking word as referring to vent, and that reading is adopted by White, who explains great lubberly as meaning pretentious. Dyce says, "I can hardly believe that Shakespeare would have made the Clown speak of vent as a 'great lubberly word.'"

P. 112. *Why, there's for thee, and there, and there, and there! Are all the people mad?* — The original lacks the last and there, which was added by Capell. Such omissions are apt to occur in case of such repetitions.

P. 114. *Nay, come, I pray: would thou'dst be ruled by me.* — So Pope. The original has "Nay come I prethee." Walker says, "Read I pray; the other is too rugged for a rhyming couplet."

**ACT IV., SCENE 2.**

P. 114. *Sir To. God bless thee, master parson.* — Here also the old text has Jove; quite as much out of place as in the former instances.

P. 115. *Say'st thou this house is dark?* — The original has that instead of this. Corrected by Rann.

P. 117. *I cannot pursue with any safety this sport to the upshot.* — The original omits to. Supplied by Rowe.

P. 119. *Are you not mad indeed? or do you but counterfeit?* — This must mean "Are you really sane? or do you but pretend to be so?" Johnson proposed to strike out not, and, I suspect, rightly. That
would give the meaning, "Are you really mad? or have you merely been shamming madness?" which seems more in keeping with the Clown's humour.

P. 119. Adieu, goodman Devil.—The original has "goodman divell"; thus making a rhyme by repeating the same word. Many recent editors change divell to drivel. Still I must think the change to be wrong: for such repetitions, instead of rhymes proper, are not unfrequent in old ballads; especially where the rhymes are not consecutive.

ACT V., SCENE I.

P. 123. The triplex, sir, is a good tripping measure; as the bells of Saint Bennet, &c.—So Hanmer. The old text has or instead of as.

P. 128. A contract and eternal bond of love.—So Collier's second folio. Instead of and, the original repeats of by anticipation.

P. 130. Then he's a rogue and a passy-measures paynim.—The original has payyn, which Pope corrected to paynim, an old form of pagan. The second folio changes payyn to Panin. See foot-note 14.

P. 131. You throw a strange regard on me; by that I do perceive it hath offended you.—The original reads "a strange regard upon me, and by that." The reading in the text is Lettsom's; who remarks, "and is wretchedly flat here; it probably crept in from the line above. Pope and others have 'on me, by which,' &c."

P. 132. I'll bring you to a captain's in this town, Where lie my maid's weeds; by whose gentle help I was preferr'd to serve this noble Count.—The old text has Captaine instead of captain's, maiden instead of maid's, and preserv'd instead of preferr'd. The first change is from Collier's second folio; the other two were made by Theobald, one for the metre, the other for the sense; as preserv'd gives an untrue meaning. A little further on, Viola speaks of "my maid's garments."
P. 133. A most distracting frenzy of mine own. — So Hanmer and Collier's second folio. The original has "most extracting frenzy." Here extracting has to be explained in the sense of distracting, while it does not appear that the word was ever used in that sense. And the preceding line has distract in the same sense.

P. 135. One day shall crown th' alliance on's, so please you. — The old text has "th' alliance on't"; the easiest of misprints. Of course on's is a contraction of on us. The Poet has many such.

P. 136. It was she
First told me thou wast mad: thou camest in smiling. — So Collier's second folio, and with manifest propriety. The old text has then instead of the second thou.

P. 136. Upon some stubborn and uncourteous parts
We had conceived in him. — The original reads "conceiv'd against him," defeating both sense and verse. No doubt against crept in from the second line before. Corrected by Tyrwhitt.

P. 137. Alas, poor soul, how have they baffled thee! — So Walker and Collier's second folio. The old text has fool instead of soul. It is true, as Dyce notes, that the Poet has poor fool repeatedly as a term of familiar endearment or of pitying fondness; but that seems to me too strong a sense for this place.

P. 138. 'Gainst knave and thief men shut their gate. — So Farmer. The original has "Knaves and Theeves." Also, in the second stanza after, it has "unto my beds," and "drunken heades." See foot-note 33.

Biographical and Critical.

Designed mainly to show characteristics of style. By William Minto, M.A., Professor of Logic and English Literature in the University of Aberdeen, Scotland. 12mo. Cloth. 566 pages. Mailing Price, $1.65; Introduction, $1.50.

The main design is to assist in directing students in English composition to the merits and defects of our principal writers of prose, enabling them, in some degree at least, to acquire the one and avoid the other. The Introduction analyzes style: elements of style, qualities of style, kinds of composition. Part First gives exhaustive analyses of De Quincey, Macaulay, and Carlyle. These serve as a key to all the other authors treated. Part Second takes up the prose authors in historical order, from the fourteenth century up to the early part of the nineteenth.

H. C. De Motte, Pres. of Chaddock College, Quincy, Ill.: We are delighted with it. It is one of the most serviceable books I have seen on the subject. I shall recommend it for our work here. (Sept. 23, 1886.)

Hiram Corson, Prof. of English Literature, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y.: Without going outside of this book, an earnest student could get a knowledge of English prose styles, based on the soundest principles of criticism, such as he could not get in any twenty volumes which I know of. (May 14, 1886.)

Minto’s Characteristics of the English Poets.

from Chaucer to Shirley.

By William Minto, M.A., Professor of Logic and English Literature in the University of Aberdeen, Scotland. 12mo. Cloth. xi + 483 pages. Mailing Price, $2.15; for Introduction, $2.00.

The chief objects of the author are: (1) To bring into clear light the characteristics of the several poets; and (2) to trace how far each was influenced by his literary predecessors and his contemporaries.
Hudson's Expurgated Shakespeare.


Some of the special features of this edition are the convenient size and shape of the volumes; the clear type, superior presswork, and attractive binding; the ample introductions; the explanatory notes, easily found at the foot of the page; the critical notes for special study; the judicious expurgation, never mangling either style or story; the acute and sympathetic criticism that has come to be associated with Dr. Hudson's name; and, finally, the reasonableness of the price.

Oliver Wendell Holmes: An edition of any play of Shakespeare's to which Mr. Hudson's name is affixed does not need a line from anybody to commend it.

Cyrus Northrop, Prof. of English Literature, Yale College: They are convenient in form and edited by Hudson,—two good things which I can see at a glance.

Hiram Corson, Prof. of Rhet. and Eng. Lit., Cornell University: I consider them altogether excellent. The notes give all the aid needed for an understanding of the text, without waste and distraction of the student's mind. The introductory matter to the several plays is especially worthy of approbation. (Jan. 28, 1887.)

G. F. P. Bancroft, Prin. of Phillips Academy, Andover, Mass.: Mr. Hudson's appreciation of Shakespeare amounted to genius. His editing accordingly exhibits more than learning and industry.—it reveals insight, sympathy, and conviction. He leads the pupil into the very mind and heart of "the thousand-souled Shakespeare."

Byron Groce, Master in Public Latin School, Boston: The amended text is satisfactory; the typography is excellent; the notes are brief, always helpful, not too numerous, and put where they will do the most good; the introductions are vigorous, inspiring, keenly and soundly critical, and very attractive to boys, especially on account of their directness and warmth, for all boys like enthusiasm. (Jan. 22, 1887.)

C. T. Winchester, Prof. of English, Wesleyan University: The notes and comments in the school edition are admirably fitted to the need of the student, removing his difficulties by stimulating his interest and quickening his perception. (Feb. 10, 1887.)

A. C. Perkins, Prin. of Adelphi Academy, Brooklyn: In the preparation of the School Shakespeare, Mr. Hudson met fully the capacities and needs of students in our schools and colleges. (Feb. 4, 1887.)
The series consists of the twenty-three plays enumerated below

We furnish of the Old Edition, in paper covers, the plays starred in the following list (Mailing Price, 25 cents; Introduction, 20 cents):

*A Midsummer-Night's Dream.  
*The Merchant of Venice.  
*Much Ado About Nothing.  
*As You Like It.  
Twelfth Night.  
*The Tempest.  
The Winter's Tale.  
King John.  
Richard Second.  
Richard Third.  
*Henry Fourth, Part First.  
Henry Fourth, Part Second.  
*Henry the Fifth.  
*Henry the Eighth.  
*Romeo and Juliet.  
*Julius Cæsar.  
*Hamlet.  
*King Lear.  
*Macbeth.  
Antony and Cleopatra.  
*Othello.  
Cymbeline.  
*Coriolanus.

Hudson's Three-Volume Shakespeare.

For Schools, Families, and Clubs. With Introductions and Notes on each Play. 12mo. Cloth. 636-678 pages per volume. Mailing Price, per volume, $1.40; Introduction, $1.25.

The plays included in the three volumes respectively are indicated by figures in the above list.


By Henry N Hudson, LL.D., Author of the Life, Art, and Characters of Shakespeare, Editor of School Shakespeare, etc. In Twenty Volumes; 12mo; two plays in each volume; also in Ten Volumes, of four plays each.

Retail Prices.

Twenty-vol. edition, cloth . $25.00 | Ten-vol. edition, cloth . . $20.00
Half-calf . . . . 55.00 | Half-calf . . . . 40.00

Caution: Buyers should be careful in ordering not to confound the Harvard Shakespeare with an Old Edition made in 1851, and sold under another name.

This is pre-eminently the edition for libraries, students, and general readers. The type, paper, and binding are attractive and superior, and the notes represent the editor's finest thought.
An obvious merit of this edition is, that each volume has two sets of notes; one mainly devoted to explaining the text, and placed at the foot of the page; the other mostly occupied with matters of textual comment and criticism, and printed at the end of each play. This arrangement is particularly suited to the convenience of the general student, who does not wish to hunt for an explanation; and to the wants of the special student, who desires extended discussion of a difficulty.

**E. P. Whipple, The Noted Critic:** Hudson’s is the most thoughtful and intelligent interpretative criticism which has, during the present century, been written, either in English or German.

**N. Y. Evening Express:** The most satisfactory and complete edition we have.

**N. Y. Tribune:** As an interpreter of Shakespeare, imbued with the vital essence of the great English dramatist, and equally qualified by insight and study to penetrate the deepest significance of his writings, it would be difficult to name an English or American scholar who can be compared with the editor of this edition.

---

**Hudson’s Life, Art, and Characters of Shakespeare (Revised Edition, 1882).**

By **Henry N. Hudson, LL.D., Editor of The Harvard Shakespeare, etc.**

In 2 vols. 12mo. 969 pages. Uniform in size with The Harvard Shakespeare, and matches it in the following bindings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cloth</th>
<th>Retail Price, $4.00 per set.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half-calf</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; 8.00 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**These** two volumes contain: *The Life of Shakespeare; An Historical Sketch of the Origin and Growth of the Drama in England; Shakespeare’s Contemporaries; Shakespeare’s Art,* discussing under this head, Nature and Use of Art, Principles of Art, Dramatic Composition, Characterization, Humour, Style, Moral Spirit; *Shakespeare’s Characters,* containing critical discourses on twenty-five of the Plays.

**London Athenaeum:** They deserve to find a place in every library devoted to Shakespeare, to editions of his works, to his biography, or to the works of commentators.
Hudson's Classical English Reader.

For High Schools, Academies, and the upper grades of Grammar Schools. 12mo. Cloth. 425 pages. Mailing Price, $1.10; Introduction, $1.00; Allowance for old book in use, 30 cents.

It contains selections from Bryant, Burke, Burns, Byron, Carlyle, Coleridge, Cowley, Cowper, Dana, Froude, Gladstone, Goldsmith, Gray, Helps, Herbert, Hooker, Hume, Irving, Keble, Lamb, Landor, Longfellow, Macaulay, Milton, Peabody, Scott, Shakespeare, Southey, Spenser, Talfourd, Taylor, Webster, Whittier, Wordsworth, and other standard authors, with explanatory and critical foot-notes. This is a book that seems to merit a place in every school of advanced grade below the college.

F. J. Child, Prof. of English in Harvard University: A boy who knew this book as well as boys who are good for anything generally know their readers, might almost be said to be liberally educated.


Hudson's Text-Book of Poetry.


Selections from Wordsworth, Coleridge, Burns, Beattie, Goldsmith, and Thomson. With sketches of the authors' lives, and instructive foot-notes, historical and explanatory.

Hudson's Text-Book of Prose.


From Burke, Webster, and Bacon. With sketches of the authors' lives, and foot-notes, historical and explanatory.
Hudson’s Selections of Prose and Poetry.


Lord Bacon. A Sketch of his Life, and extracts from thirty Essays.

Wordsworth. Section I. Life of Wordsworth, the Prelude, and thirty-three Poems. Section II. Sixty Poems and Sonnets, accompanied by foot-notes, historical and explanatory.

Coleridge and Burns. Biographies of the Poets, and forty-five Poems.

Addison and Goldsmith. A Life of each, fifteen Papers from Addison, and eleven Prose Selections from Goldsmith, with The Deserted Village.

Craik’s English of Shakespeare.


An exposition in regard both to the language or style of Shakespeare, and to the English language generally.

Shakspere’s Versification.


Two Shakespeare Examinations.

With some remarks on the “Class-Room Study of Shakespeare.” By William Taylor Thom, M.A., Professor of English in Hollins Institute, Va. Square 16mo. Cloth. 162 pages. Mailing Price, 50 cents; Introduction, 45 cents. See also the Announcements.

The examinations were held at Hollins Institute,—in 1881, on Hamlet; in 1882, on Macbeth,—for the annual prize offered by the New Shakspere Society, of England.