

1 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
2 38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
3 415-325-5900
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

4 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

10	GUAVA LLC,)	No.
)	
11	Plaintiff,)	Judge:
	v.)	
12	JOHN DOE)	COMPLAINT
)	
13	Defendant.)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14)	

15
16 Plaintiff GUAVA LLC (“Plaintiff”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this
17 Complaint requesting damages and injunctive relief, and alleges as follows:

18 **NATURE OF THE CASE**

19 1. Plaintiff files this action for computer fraud and abuse, civil conspiracy, conversion
20 and negligence arising from unlawful computer-based breaches and data distribution. By this action,
21 Guava seeks, *inter alia*, compensatory damages, injunctive relief and attorney’s fees and costs.
22

23 **PARTIES**

24 2. Plaintiff is a limited liability company that operates protected computer systems,
25 including computer systems accessible throughout California.

26 3. Defendant’s actual name is unknown to Plaintiff. Instead, Defendant is known to
27 Plaintiff only by individual Internet Protocol address (“IP address”), each of which is a number
28

1 assigned to devices, such as computers, that are connected to the Internet. In the course of
2 monitoring individuals seeking unauthorized access to Plaintiff's websites, Plaintiff's agents
3 observed unlawful reproduction and distribution occurring over the IP address listed in Exhibit A
4 hereto. On information and belief, the IP address listed on Exhibit A was assigned to Doe Defendant
5 by his or her Internet Service Provider. Plaintiff cannot ascertain Defendant's actual identity without
6 limited expedited discovery.
7

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Federal Computer Fraud
10 and Abuse Act, codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030, *et seq.*, (the "CFAA"), and pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1331
11 (actions arising under the laws of the United States). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over
12 the conspiracy, conversion and negligence claims because they are so related to Plaintiff's CFAA
13 claim, which is within this Court's original jurisdiction, that the claims form part of the same case
14 and controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
15

16 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, upon information
17 and belief, they either reside in or committed copyright infringement within the State of California.
18 Plaintiff used geolocation technology to trace the IP address of Defendant to a point of origin within
19 the State of California. This Court has personal jurisdiction over non-resident Defendants, if any,
20 under the California long-arm statute, CA CIV PRO § 410.10, because each used one or more
21 hacked usernames/passwords to gain unauthorized access to Plaintiff's Internet website and take
22 protected systems, thus committing tortious acts within the meaning of the statute, and because they
23 participated in a civil conspiracy to hack into, and steal from, Plaintiff's websites with other
24 California residents.
25
26
27
28

1 6. Venue is properly founded in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and
2 1400(a) because Defendant resides in this District, may be found in this District, or a substantial part
3 of the events giving rise to the claims in this action occurred within this District.
4

5
6 **BACKGROUND**

7 7. The Internet has made nearly unlimited amounts of information and data readily
8 available to anyone who desires access to it. Some of this information and data is private, available
9 only to those who have a lawful access to it. Owners attempt to protect this private information
10 through the use of password authentication systems. Unfortunately, this safety device does not
11 ensure that information remains protected from unauthorized access.
12

13 8. Hacking is the act of gaining access without legal authorization to a computer or
14 computer system. This is normally done through the use of special computer programming software
15 that “cracks” the password. This password cracking software repeatedly attempts to guess a
16 password until the correct password is ascertained. The software can attempt a great number of
17 passwords in a short period of time, sometimes even a million per second, making this type of
18 software very efficient at obtaining a password. Individuals that utilize this type of software are
19 called hackers.¹ Hackers employ various other means to gain unauthorized access to data such as
20 identifying information exploitable flaws in database codes.
21

22 9. Once a password is obtained, the hacker has unauthorized access to the protected
23 information as long as the password remains valid. Sometimes a hacker will post the hacked
24 username/password on a hacked username/password website, making it available to the members or
25

26 ¹ The technical definition of a “hacker” is actually much broader and includes anyone who modifies a computer system
27 to accomplish a goal—whether authorized or not (very similar to a computer programmer). A “cracker” is the
28 technically correct definition of someone who gains unauthorized access to a computer. However, the common popular
definition of “hacking” is generally understood to be that of a “cracker.” In this document, any references to “hacker” or
“hacking” will refer to, and be indistinguishable from, the common definitions of “cracker” or “cracking.”

1 visitors of that website. The posting hacker may even charge individuals for use of the hacked
2 username/password and make a profit off of the loss and harm that he or she has caused to the
3 website owner or users. There are not necessarily any limits on how often or by how many people a
4 password can be used, so a single hacked username/password can potentially allow unauthorized
5 access to significant numbers of individuals.
6

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. Plaintiff is the owner and operator protected computer systems, including protected
9 computer systems that are accessible in California.

10 11. Plaintiff invests significant capital in maintaining and operating its websites.
11 Plaintiff makes the websites available only to those individuals who have been granted access to
12 Plaintiff's website (i.e., paying members). This access is given to members of the Plaintiff's
13 websites who sign-up and pay a fee to access Plaintiff's websites. Access to this protected
14 information is protected by a password assigned to each individual member.
15

16 12. Plaintiff's computer systems are regularly targeted by hackers who wish to gain
17 unauthorized access to Plaintiff's valuable information.

18 13. When hackers successfully breach Plaintiff's protected systems, they and their fellow
19 co-conspirators take, and may distribute, the misappropriated information in a highly-coordinated
20 manner to their fellow Internet-based co-conspirators.
21

22 14. The process of probing Plaintiff's defenses, breaching Plaintiff's protected systems
23 and distributing misappropriated information is an ongoing problem that continues to this day.
24

25 15. On information and belief, security systems to prevent hacking are not infallible, and
26 can be successfully bypassed through the efforts of savvy hackers, allowing such hackers to access
27 the systems that a client, like Plaintiff, attempts to protect.
28

1 16. On information and belief, Defendant belongs to a hacking community where hacked
2 usernames/passwords are passed back and forth among members. Members of this community work
3 together to ensure that the members have access to normally inaccessible and unauthorized areas of
4 the Internet. The series of transactions in this case involved accessing and sharing hacked
5 username/passwords over the Internet and using the hacked username/passwords to access Plaintiff's
6 website and private systems. Defendant participated with other hackers in this community, in order
7 to disseminate the hacked usernames/passwords, and intentionally acted to access Plaintiff's website
8 and systems through the use of hacked usernames/passwords.
9

10 17. Defendant gained unauthorized access to Plaintiff's private websites. Defendant used
11 hacked usernames/passwords to gain unlawful access to the member's sections of Plaintiff's
12 websites. Through these hacked usernames/passwords Defendant accessed Plaintiff's systems as
13 though Defendant was a paying member. Further, Defendant downloaded Plaintiff's private
14 information, which is not available to members, and disseminated that information to other
15 unauthorized individuals.
16

17 18. Since Defendant accessed the website through hacked usernames/passwords,
18 Defendant would not have been required to provide any identifying personal information, such as his
19 or her true name, address, telephone number or email address.
20

21 19. Plaintiff retained a forensic computer consultant to identify IP address associated with
22 hackers who use hacked usernames/passwords and the Internet to access Plaintiff's private websites
23 and systems.

24 20. The forensic evidence gathered on behalf of Plaintiff identified that the IP address
25 attached at Exhibit A were used for hacking, unauthorized access, and/or password sharing activity
26 on Plaintiff's websites.
27
28

1 21. In addition to logging Defendant's IP address, Plaintiff obtained other important
2 information, such as the specific websites that were unlawfully accessed and the files that were
3 downloaded during that unauthorized access.

4 22. Once Defendant's IP address and dates and times of unlawful access were
5 ascertained, Plaintiff used publicly available reverse-lookup databases on the Internet to determine
6 what ISP issued the IP address and the putative location of those IP address used to perpetrate the
7 hacking.
8

9 23. On information and belief, Defendant was assigned a corresponding IP address listed
10 in Exhibit A hereto. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendant was in control of the
11 corresponding IP address during all relevant times.
12

13 **COUNT I – COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE**

14 24. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are hereby re-alleged as if fully
15 set forth herein.

16 25. Defendant, using his or her IP address as listed in Exhibit A, used specific private
17 hacked usernames/passwords ("hacked usernames/passwords") to knowingly, and with intent to
18 defraud, gain unauthorized access to Plaintiff's password-protected website and protected computer
19 systems described above.
20

21 26. Defendant's use of hacked usernames/passwords to gain access to Plaintiff's private
22 systems was based on an actual and/or implicit misrepresentation by Defendant that the hacked
23 usernames/passwords actually authorized the Defendant to access Plaintiff's website and private
24 systems.

25 27. Defendant's use of hacked usernames/passwords to gain that access, however, was
26 clearly not authorized by Plaintiff.
27
28

1 28. Defendant's actions, as well as identity, while using hacked usernames/passwords
2 were concealed from Plaintiff in the manner described above.

3 29. Once Defendant gained this access, on information and belief, he or she accessed
4 Plaintiff's private systems and purposefully took information, and/or shared it with unauthorized
5 individuals. Those systems contained, among other things, information regarding the identities of
6 Plaintiff's customers; account information; financial information and/or computer programming or
7 security information; and other information that Plaintiff protects and to which it does not give third
8 parties access, even those who pay for and obtain legitimate passwords to access Plaintiff's websites.
9

10 30. Those actions on the part of Defendant constitute violations of the Computer Fraud
11 and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030. A private right of action exists under the Act under 18 U.S.C.
12 § 1030(g).
13

14 31. Defendant has caused loss to Plaintiff during a one-year period in excess of \$5,000,
15 including fees paid to its computer forensics agents, fees paid to legal counsel, fees paid to secure its
16 systems, fees paid to investigators, bandwidth fees, and other costs.

17 32. Plaintiff has suffered damage due to the foregoing actions. Normally, in the absence
18 of those actions, Plaintiff would charge a fee to Defendant, as well as the others, to access its
19 privately-owned systems. Defendant, by hacking and taking information from those systems, not
20 only substantially devalued Plaintiff's services, it also gave to hundreds, if not thousands, of other
21 individuals the ability to access such private systems for no charge. As such, Plaintiff sustained
22 damages through the prevention of these sales, and devaluation of the value of its websites.
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT II – CIVIL CONSPIRACY

1
2 33. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the
3 preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

4 34. Defendant used hacked usernames/passwords to gain access to Plaintiff's private
5 systems. That access was based on an actual and/or implicit misrepresentation by Defendant that the
6 hacked username/password actually authorized the Defendant to access Plaintiff's websites and
7 systems.

8 35. Defendant, upon information and belief, belongs to a hacking community whose
9 members share hacked usernames/passwords among other members. Members of this work together
10 to ensure that the members have access to normally inaccessible and unauthorized areas of the
11 Internet.

12 36. By using and sharing hacked passwords/usernames, Defendant acted in concert with
13 other members of this hacking community, and in a concerted action with other members, to
14 accomplish unlawful transfers of Plaintiff's protected information.

15 37. Each time Defendant used a shared and hacked password/username, he or she reached
16 an agreement with another co-conspirator(s) within the hacking community whereby the member
17 provided the username/password in order to allow the Defendant to unlawfully access and obtain
18 protected information from Plaintiff's websites.

19 38. Defendant had express or constructive knowledge that, in accomplishing the purposes
20 of their common agreement, they were not acting unilaterally, and it was not fortuitous or accidental
21 that the Defendant performed acts in agreement with others for the purpose of misappropriating
22 Plaintiff's protected systems.

23 39. Defendant understood the general objectives of the conspiratorial scheme, accepted
24 them, and agreed, either explicitly or implicitly to do its part to further those objectives.
25

1 40. In furtherance of this civil conspiracy, Defendant committed overt tortious and
2 unlawful acts by using hacked usernames/passwords to impermissibly obtain access to, and
3 misappropriate private information from, Plaintiff's websites.

4 41. As a proximate result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff has been damaged, as is more fully
5 alleged above.
6

7 **COUNT III – CONVERSION**

8 42. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are hereby re-alleged as if fully
9 set forth herein.
10

11 43. In committing the acts and deeds herein ascribed to him or her, Defendant
12 appropriated and converted access to Plaintiff's members-only website, and its private information,
13 to his or own use and benefit, in express violation of duties and obligations owed to Plaintiff.

14 44. Plaintiff has the exclusive property interest in allowing access to the systems
15 contained on its members-only websites, and in its private information, and is solely permitted to
16 allow access to and disseminate that private information.
17

18 45. Plaintiff has an absolute and unconditional right to the immediate possession of the
19 property as the owner of the websites and private information at issue.

20 46. Defendant wrongfully, intentionally, and without authorization gained access to
21 Plaintiff's protected website and disseminated that access information to other unauthorized
22 individuals. These actions are inconsistent with Plaintiff's right of possession and resulted in
23 wrongful deprivation of Plaintiff's property interest in its exclusive systems.
24

25 47. Defendant, through the act of accessing Plaintiff's private systems and removing
26 information, converted that information to a tangible form.
27
28

1 48. Defendant knows, or has reason to know, that he or she does not have permission to
2 access the private and password-protected areas of Plaintiff's website.

3 49. As a direct and proximate result of the forgoing, Plaintiff sustained damages in an
4 amount to be determined at trial, together with interest thereon.

5 **COUNT IV – NEGLIGENCE**

6 50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the
7 preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

8 51. Defendant accessed, or controlled access to, the Internet connection used in
9 performing the unauthorized hacking of Plaintiff's exclusive and protected information, proximately
10 causing financial harm to Plaintiff.

11 52. In the alternative, on information and belief, Defendant had a duty to secure his or her
12 Internet connection, and breached that duty by failing to secure his or her Internet connection and
13 allowing a third-party to use that connection. It was reasonably foreseeable that, if the Defendant
14 failed to secure his or her Internet connection, a third-party could use the connection to hack into
15 Plaintiff's websites and removed protected information from it.

16 53. Reasonable Internet users take steps to secure their Internet access accounts
17 preventing the use of such accounts for an illegal purpose. Defendant's failure to secure his or her
18 Internet access account, thereby allowing for its illegal use, constitutes a breach of the ordinary care
19 that a reasonable Internet account holder would observe under like circumstances.

20 54. In the alternative, Defendant secured his or her connection, but permitted an unknown
21 third party to use his Internet connection to hack into, and disseminate, Plaintiff's private
22 information. Defendant knew, or should have known, that this unidentified individual used
23 Defendant's Internet connection for the aforementioned illegal activities. Defendant declined to
24
25
26
27
28

1 monitor the unidentified third-party hacker's use of his or her computer Internet connection,
2 demonstrating further negligence.

3 55. In the alternative, Defendant knew of, and allowed for, the unidentified third party
4 infringer's use of his or her Internet connection for illegal purposes and thus was complicit in the
5 unidentified third party's actions.
6

7 56. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's failure to secure his
8 Internet access account directly allowed for the hacking and sharing of Plaintiff's protected
9 information through the Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive
10 rights and privacy in Plaintiff's exclusive and protected information, which, from there, was shared
11 with numerous others.

12 57. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant knew, or should have
13 known, of the unidentified third party's infringing actions, and, despite this, the Defendant directly,
14 or indirectly, allowed for the hacking Plaintiff's website and private information through the
15 Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive rights.
16

17 58. By virtue of his or her failure to secure access to his or her Internet connection,
18 Defendant negligently allowed the use of Internet access account to perform the above-described
19 unlawful actions that caused direct harm to Plaintiff.
20

21 59. Had Defendant taken reasonable care in securing access to this Internet connection, or
22 monitoring the unidentified third-party individual's use of his or her Internet connection, such
23 hacking as those described above would not have occurred by the use of the Defendant's Internet
24 access account.

25 60. Defendant's actions allowed others to unlawfully copy and share access to Plaintiff's
26 private website and protected information, proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff and
27 unlawfully interfering with Plaintiff's exclusive rights.
28

JURY DEMAND

61. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays judgment and relief against Defendant as follows:

- 1) Judgment against Defendant that he or she has: a) committed computer fraud and abuse against Plaintiff pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g); b) converted Plaintiff's protected information; c) become unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff; d) breached the contractual agreement he had with Plaintiff; and, alternatively, e) that Defendant was negligent in his allowance of this hacking to occur via his Internet access connection;
- 2) Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g) and common law, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount in excess of \$100,000 to be ascertained at trial;
- 3) Order of impoundment under 17 U.S.C. §§ 503 & 509(a) impounding all copies of Plaintiff's audiovisual works, photographs or other materials, which are in Defendant's possession or under his control;
- 4) Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendant awarding the Plaintiff attorneys' fees, litigation expenses (including fees and costs of expert witnesses), and other costs of this action; and

Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendant, awarding Plaintiff declaratory and injunctive or other equitable relief as may be just and warranted.

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Respectfully Submitted,

PRENDA LAW INC.

DATED: October 5, 2012

By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by FRCP 38(a).

By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)

Attorney for Plaintiff